2021

Epilithic diatom of the Güvercinlik pond (Arguvan/ Malatya, Turkey)

Caglar M.¹; Selamoglu Z.^{2*}

Received: June 2020

Accepted: October 2020

Abstract

In this study, the epilithic diatoms of the Güvercinlik Pond, which is located in Arguvan, Malatya, were investigated between March and November of 2016. During the study, a total of 39 taxa, 3 belonging to centric diatoms and 36 belonging to pennate diatoms, were recorded. The diatom types represented by the highest number of species were *Cymbella* (5 taxa), *Nitzschia* (4 taxa) and *Navicula* (7 taxa). Diatoms showed their best growth in late spring and summer, when water temperature and light began to increase. Sorensen similarity index between the diatoms at both stations was found as 58.18%.

Keywords: Epilithic, Diatom, Güvercinlik, Pond, Malatya-Turkey

¹⁻Department of Fundamental Science, Faculty of Fisheries, Firat University, Elazig, Turkey

²⁻Department of Medical Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Nigde Ömer Halisdemir University, Nigde, Turkey

^{*}Corresponding author's Email: zselamoglu@ohu.edu.tr

Introduction

Algae, which are the primary producers of aquatic environments, exist in waters in planktonic and benthic forms. The number and diversity of algae affect all living things, including fish in the aquatic environment. Surrounded by seas on three sides, our country has a large water potential with its rivers and lakes. Eastern Anatolia is the region with the greatest potential for lakes and rivers in Turkey. The structure of diatom communities is directly related to the physical and chemical state of water. Therefore, diatoms are used in the calculation and comparison of water of rivers quality with different morphodynamics (Allan, 1995).

In general, the diatoms in the form colonies with mucilage of and filamentous masses on the sediments form the epipsammic flora while the diatom species clinging to the stones in region constitute the benthic the epilithic flora (Round, 1984). Together understanding with the of the importance of algae in waters, as well as their significance in economic terms, the number of studies on algae in rivers, lakes, ponds and dam lakes in different parts of our country has rapidly increased. There are many studies on epilithic and epiphytic diatoms in our country. While some of these studies are on rivers (Altuner and Gürbüz, 1989; Dere and Sıvacı, 1995; Yıldız and Atıcı, 1996; Pala and Çağlar, 2006; Pala and Çağlar, 2008; Kıvrak and Gürbüz 2010; Çiçek et al., 2010, Fakıoğlu et al., 2012; Cağlar and Pala, 2016), some are on lakes and ponds (Şen *et al.*, 2001; Özer and Pala, 2009; Pala, 2014; Çağlar and Pala, 2016).

The present study is of importance as it is the first algal study of the Güvercinlik Pond located in Arguvan district of Malatya and provides the first data acquired in the pond.

Materials and methods

The Güvercinlik Pond, which is located in the northeast of Malatya, is 65 km away from the province. The pond's construction started in 1970 and it has a water storage volume of 3650 hm³. The pond is supplied with rainwater from stream beds (URL, 1). Samplings from the Güvercinlik Pond were taken once a month between March and November 2016. The first station is between 38° 43'58'' north latitude and 38° 20' 02'' east longitudes while the second one is between 38° 43' 50'' north latitude and 38° 20' 03'' east longitudes (Fig. 1).

Epilithic samples were scraped from the stones at the stations using hard objects such as toothbrushes or knives. The scraped part was cleaned with pure water and taken into sampling bottles. Bacillariophyta members were identified from the permanent preparations. Permanent preparates were made after boiling certain volumes of samples in a mixture of concentrated sulfuric acid and nitric acid (1:1, v/v). Some of the neutralized samples were transferred to previously labeled bottles. Permanent preparates were made by taking a drop of suspension of diatom shells, placing it on a slide and then allowing it to dry. The cover glasses were placed with forceps after some entellan was dripped on the dried slides. A Nikon branded microscope was used for species identification and counting of the diatoms whose permanent preparates were made. The counting in the permanent preparates was based on relative density and the results were given as "organism%".

Relative density (Rd) = $NA/N \times 100$

NA =Total number of A species individuals, N=Number of all species individuals (Kocataş, 1999).

The Sorensen Similarity Index was applied to determine the similarity

between the epilithic algae at the stations.

The Sorensen Similarity Index: Q= 2J/+B

A= Total number of species in the first sample

B= Total number of species in the second sample

J= Number of common species in both samples (Sorensen, 1948).

A Nikon branded research microscope was used in the diatom sample identification, and Krammer and Lange-Bertalot (1991a, 1991b, 1999a and 1999b) were benefited from for the species identification.



Figure 1: General view of the stations in the Güvercinlik Pond from which the epilithic samples were taken (URL, 2).

Results

Among the total organisms in the Güvercinlik Pond, 3 species from Centrales and 36 species from Pennales were found (Table 1). At both stations, the species reached the maximum number of individuals in spring and autumn months (Tables 2 and 3). The

habitat characteristics of the diatoms recorded in the Güvercinlik Pond are given in Table 1.

The monthly changes in the relative density of the diatoms recorded at the first station are shown in Table 2. At this station, *Navicula* (7 taxa) and *Nitzschia* (6 taxa) were the types with the highest taxa numbers.

Diatom taxa	Station 1	Station 2
Centrales		
Cyclotella glomerata (H. Bachmann) Houk&Klee	+	-
Pantocsekiella ocellata (Pantocsek) K.T. Kiss & E.Acs	+	-
Stephanodiscus astrea(Kützing)Grunow	+	+
Pennales		
Amphora pediculus (Kützing)Grunow ex A. Schmidt	+	+
Cocconeis placentula Ehr.	+	-
Cymbella affinis Kütz.	+	-
Cymbella cistula (Ehr.) O. Kirchner	+	+
Cymbella leptoceros (Ehr.) Kütz.	-	+
Cymbella prostrata (W.Smith) Cleve	+	+
Cymbella tumidula Grunow	-	+
Cymatopleura solea (Breb.) W. Smith	+	-
Cymbopleura lata (Grunow) Krammer	+	_
Diatoma vulgaris Bory.	+	_
Encyonema minitum (Hilse) D.G. Mann	+	-
Encyonema caespitosum Kützing	+	-
<i>Epithemia turgida</i> (Ehr) Kütz.	+	-
<i>Epithemia sorex</i> Kütz.	_	+
Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) Rabh.	+	+
Gomphonema olivaceum (Hornemann) Brebisson	_	+
Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) Kütz.	+	+
Gyrosigma acuminatum (Kütz.) Rabenhorst	+	+
Sellaphora bacillum (Ehr.) D.G. Mann	+	-
Navicula cincta (Ehrenberg)Ralfs	+	+
Navicula cari Ehr.	+	-
Navicula gregaria Donkin	+	+
Navicula lanceolata Ehr.	+	+
Navicula radiosa Kütz.	+	-
Navicula tripunctata (O.F.Müller) Bory	+	_
Navicula viridula (Kütz.) Ehr.	+	+
Nitzschia amphibia Grun.	+	-
Nitzschia dissipata (Kütz.) Rabenhorst	+	+
Nitzschia palea (Kütz.) W. Smith	+	-
Nitzschia sigma (Kütz.) W.Smith	+	+
Nitzschia signa (Kutz.) w.shifu	+	+
Nitzschia tryblionella Hantzsch	+	-
Pinnularia viridis (Nitzsch.) Ehr.	+ +	-
Surirella ovalis Brebbisson	+	- +
Surirella minuta Breb ex Kütz.		+
	+	
Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Compere	+	+

Table 1. The hebited	ah awa at awiati ag af tha	distance uses and ad in	the Cärnensinkle Dend

Stephanodiscus astrea, Amphora pediculus, Cymbella cistula, Cymbella prostrata, Gomphonema angustatum, Gomphonema parvulum, Gyrosigma acuminatum, Navicula cincta, Navicula gregaria, Navicula lanceolata, Navicula viridula, Nitzschia dissipata, *Nitzschia sigma*, *Nitzschia tenuis*, *Surirella ovalis* and *Ulnaria ulna* were common species recorded at both stations. The monthly changes in the relative density of the species recorded at the first station are shown in Table 2.

Diatom taxaMar.Apr.May.Jun.Jul.Aug.Sep.Oct.Nov.Cyclotella glomerata2.862.612.602.342.312.252.262.502.90Pantocsekiella ocellata2.222.372.232.142.552.462.643.173.38Stephanodiscus astrea1.912.132.041.901.962.052.132.172.66PennalesAmphora pediculus3.183.082.742.632.672.562.893.173.38Cocconeis placentula2.542.372.422.342.552.462.512.843.14Cymbella affinis3.503.322.972.783.042.823.143.673.63Cymbella prostrata3.823.563.353.733.283.143.673.63Cymatopleura solea2.542.612.602.632.432.462.382.672.66Cymbella prostrata3.503.082.973.222.912.662.642.672.66Cymetopleura lata3.503.083.273.143.172.42Diatoma vulgaris4.453.803.533.663.403.283.143.172.42Encyonema cambinium2.242.492.55<	Table 2: The monthly changes in the relative density of the diatoms recorded at the first station.									station.
Cyclotella glomerata 2.86 2.61 2.60 2.34 2.31 2.25 2.26 2.50 2.90 Pantocsekiella ocellata 2.22 2.37 2.23 2.14 2.55 2.46 2.64 3.17 3.38 Stephanodiscus astrea 1.91 2.13 2.04 1.90 1.96 2.05 2.13 2.17 2.66 Pennales	Diatom taxa	Mar.	Apr.	May.	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.
Cyclotella glomerata 2.86 2.61 2.60 2.34 2.31 2.25 2.26 2.50 2.90 Pantocsekiella ocellata 2.22 2.37 2.23 2.14 2.55 2.46 2.64 3.17 3.38 Stephanodiscus astrea 1.91 2.13 2.04 1.90 1.96 2.05 2.13 2.17 2.66 Pennales	Centrales									
Pantocsekiella ocellata 2.22 2.37 2.23 2.14 2.55 2.46 2.64 3.17 3.38 Stephanodiscus astrea 1.91 2.13 2.04 1.90 1.96 2.05 2.13 2.17 2.66 Pennales Amphora pediculus 3.18 3.08 2.74 2.63 2.67 2.56 2.89 3.17 3.38 Cocconeis placentula 2.54 2.57 2.42 2.34 2.55 2.46 2.51 2.84 3.14 Cymbella affinis 3.50 3.32 2.97 2.93 2.79 2.77 1.76 1.67 1.93 Cymbella prostrata 3.82 3.56 3.53 3.73 3.28 3.08 3.27 3.17 3.38 Cymetopleura lata 3.50 3.08 2.97 3.22 2.91 2.66 2.64 2.67 2.66 Encyonema minitum 2.22 2.37 2.42 2.49 2.55 2.66 2.64		2.86	2.61	2.60	2.34	2.31	2.25	2.26	2.50	2.90
Stephanodiscus astrea 1.91 2.13 2.04 1.90 1.96 2.05 2.13 2.17 2.66 Pennales Amphora pediculus 3.18 3.08 2.74 2.63 2.67 2.56 2.89 3.17 3.38 Cocconeis placentula 2.54 2.37 2.42 2.34 2.55 2.46 2.51 1.64 1.93 Cymbella affinis 3.50 3.32 2.97 2.93 2.79 2.77 1.76 1.67 1.63 Cymbella prostrata 3.82 3.56 3.35 3.37 3.28 3.08 3.27 3.17 3.38 Cymatopleura solea 2.54 2.61 2.60 2.63 2.43 2.46 2.38 2.67 2.66 Cymenpleura lata 3.50 3.08 3.53 3.66 3.40 3.28 3.14 3.17 2.42 Encyonema minitum 2.22 2.37 2.42 2.49 2.55 2.66 2.64 2.67 2.66 Encyonema agustatum 3.82 3.56 3.35 3										
Amphora pediculus3.183.082.742.632.672.562.893.173.38Cocconeis placentula2.542.372.422.342.552.462.512.843.14Cymbella affinis3.503.322.972.932.792.771.761.671.93Cymbella cistula2.862.852.792.783.042.823.143.673.63Cymbella prostrata3.823.563.533.373.283.083.273.173.38Cymatopleura solea2.542.612.602.632.432.462.382.672.66Cymetopleura lata3.503.082.973.222.912.662.642.672.66Encyonema minitum2.222.372.422.492.552.662.642.672.66Encyonema caespitosum1.592.132.232.342.432.462.382.001.93Epithemia turgida2.542.612.602.782.912.772.892.503.14Gomphonema angustatum3.823.563.353.513.283.383.393.343.87Gomphonema parvulum4.454.033.723.813.643.573.172.90Sellaphora bacillum2.222.372.422.782.792.823.012.842.42Navicula cincta3.823.803.										
Amphora pediculus 3.18 3.08 2.74 2.63 2.67 2.56 2.89 3.17 3.38 Cocconeis placentula 2.54 2.37 2.42 2.34 2.55 2.46 2.51 2.84 3.14 Cymbella affinis 3.50 3.32 2.97 2.73 2.77 1.76 1.67 1.93 Cymbella cistula 2.86 2.85 2.79 2.78 3.04 2.82 3.14 3.67 3.63 Cymbella prostrata 3.82 3.56 3.35 3.37 3.28 3.08 3.27 3.17 3.38 Cymatopleura solea 2.54 2.61 2.60 2.63 2.43 2.46 2.38 2.67 2.66 Cymetopleura lata 3.50 3.08 2.97 3.22 2.91 2.66 2.64 2.67 2.66 Encyonema minitum 2.22 2.37 2.42 2.49 2.55 2.66 2.64 2.67 2.66 Encyonema caespitosum 1.59 2.13 2.23 2.34 2.43 2.46 2.38 2.00 1.93 Epithemia turgida 2.54 2.61 2.60 2.78 2.91 2.77 2.89 2.50 3.14 Gomphonema angustatum 3.82 3.56 3.35 3.51 3.28 3.39 3.44 3.87 Gomphonema argustatum 2.86 2.85 2.97 2.93 3.04 3.08 3.27 3.17 2.90 Sell	•									
$\begin{array}{c} Cocconeis placentula \\ Cymbella affinis \\ S.50 \\ S.32 \\ Cymbella cistula \\ Cymbella cistula \\ 2.86 \\ Cymatople ar solea \\ 2.54 \\ 2.85 \\ 2.79 \\ 2.78 \\ 3.04 \\ 2.82 \\ 3.14 \\ 3.67 \\ 3.63 \\ 3.67 \\ 3.63 \\ Cymatople ar solea \\ 2.54 \\ 2.61 \\ 2.60 \\ 2.63 \\ 2.43 \\ 2.46 \\ 2.38 \\ 2.76 \\ 2.48 \\ 2.46 \\ 2.38 \\ 2.67 \\ 2.66 \\ 2.76 \\ 2.84 \\ 2.90 \\ Diatoma vulgaris \\ 4.45 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.53 \\ 3.66 \\ 3.40 \\ 3.28 \\ 3.14 \\ 3.17 \\ 2.42 \\ Encyonema minitum \\ 2.22 \\ 2.37 \\ 2.42 \\ 2.49 \\ 2.55 \\ 2.66 \\ 2.64 \\ 2.67 \\ 2.68 \\ 2.67 \\ 2.84 \\ 2.90 \\ Diatoma vulgaris \\ 4.45 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.53 \\ 3.66 \\ 3.40 \\ 3.28 \\ 3.14 \\ 3.17 \\ 2.42 \\ Encyonema minitum \\ 2.22 \\ 2.37 \\ 2.42 \\ 2.49 \\ 2.55 \\ 2.66 \\ 2.64 \\ 2.67 \\ 2.64 \\ 2.67 \\ 2.64 \\ 2.67 \\ 2.66 \\ 2.76 \\ 2.88 \\ 2.90 \\ 1.93 \\ Encyonema angustatum \\ 3.82 \\ 3.56 \\ 3.35 \\ 3.51 \\ 3.28 \\ 3.38 \\ 3.39 \\ 3.34 \\ 3.87 \\ Gomphonema angustatum \\ 3.82 \\ 3.56 \\ 3.35 \\ 3.51 \\ 3.28 \\ 3.38 \\ 3.39 \\ 3.34 \\ 3.87 \\ Gomphonema angustatum \\ 3.82 \\ 3.56 \\ 3.35 \\ 3.51 \\ 3.28 \\ 3.88 \\ 3.99 \\ 3.34 \\ 3.87 \\ Gomphonema angustatum \\ 2.86 \\ 2.85 \\ 2.97 \\ 2.93 \\ 3.04 \\ 3.08 \\ 3.27 \\ 3.17 \\ 2.90 \\ Sellaphora bacillum \\ 2.22 \\ 2.37 \\ 2.42 \\ 2.78 \\ 2.79 \\ 2.82 \\ 3.01 \\ 2.84 \\ 2.42 \\ Navicula cincta \\ 3.82 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.91 \\ 3.66 \\ 3.52 \\ 3.49 \\ 3.77 \\ 4.01 \\ 4.35 \\ Navicula cincta \\ 3.82 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.91 \\ 3.66 \\ 3.52 \\ 3.49 \\ 3.77 \\ 4.01 \\ 4.35 \\ Navicula cincta \\ 3.82 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.91 \\ 3.66 \\ 3.52 \\ 3.49 \\ 3.77 \\ 4.01 \\ 4.35 \\ Navicula cincta \\ 3.82 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.91 \\ 3.66 \\ 3.52 \\ 3.49 \\ 3.77 \\ 4.01 \\ 4.35 \\ Navicula cincta \\ 3.82 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.91 \\ 3.66 \\ 3.52 \\ 3.49 \\ 3.77 \\ 4.01 \\ 4.35 \\ Navicula cincta \\ 3.82 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.91 \\ 3.66 \\ 3.52 \\ 3.49 \\ 3.77 \\ 4.01 \\ 4.35 \\ Navicula cincta \\ 3.82 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.91 \\ 3.66 \\ 3.52 \\ 3.49 \\ 3.77 \\ 4.01 \\ 4.35 \\ Navicula cincta \\ 3.82 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.91 \\ 3.66 \\ 3.52 \\ 3.49 \\ 3.77 \\ 4.01 \\ 4.35 \\ Navicula cincta \\ 3.82 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.91 \\ 3.66 \\ 3.52 \\ 3.49 \\ 3.77 \\ 4.01 \\ 4.35 \\ Navicula cincta \\ 3.82 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.97 \\ 3.77 \\ 4.01 \\ 4.35 \\ Navicula cincta \\ 3.82 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.97 \\ 3.77 \\ 4.01 \\ 4.35 \\ Navicula cincina \\ $		3.18	3.08	2.74	2.63	2.67	2.56	2.89	3.17	3.38
Cymbella affinis3.503.322.972.932.792.771.761.671.93Cymbella cistula2.862.852.792.783.042.823.143.673.63Cymbella prostrata3.823.563.353.373.283.083.273.173.38Cymatopleura solea2.542.612.602.632.442.462.382.672.66Cymetopleura lata3.503.082.973.222.912.662.762.842.90Diatoma vulgaris4.453.803.533.663.403.283.143.172.42Encyonema minitum2.222.372.422.492.552.662.642.672.66Encyonema caespitosum1.592.132.232.342.432.462.382.001.93Epithemia turgida2.542.612.602.782.912.772.892.503.14Gomphonema angustatum3.823.563.353.513.283.383.393.343.87Gomphonema parvulum4.454.033.723.813.643.593.643.673.38Gyrosigma acuminatum2.862.852.972.933.043.083.273.172.90Sellaphora bacillum2.222.372.422.782.792.823.012.842.42Navicula cari3.183.32										
Cymbella cistula2.862.852.792.783.042.823.143.673.63Cymbella prostrata3.823.563.353.373.283.083.273.173.38Cymatopleura solea2.542.612.602.632.432.462.382.672.66Cymetopleura lata3.503.082.973.222.912.662.762.842.90Diatoma vulgaris4.453.803.533.663.403.283.143.172.42Encyonema minitum2.222.372.422.492.552.662.642.672.66Encyonema caespitosum1.592.132.232.342.432.462.382.001.93Epithemia turgida2.542.612.602.782.912.772.892.503.14Gomphonema angustatum3.823.563.353.513.283.383.393.343.87Gomphonema parvulum4.454.033.723.813.643.593.643.673.38Gyrosigma acuminatum2.862.852.972.933.043.083.273.172.90Sellaphora bacillum2.222.372.422.782.792.823.012.842.42Navicula cincta3.823.803.913.663.523.493.774.014.35Navicula gregaria2.862.										
$ \begin{array}{c} \hline Cymbella \ prostrata \\ S.82 \ 3.56 \ 3.35 \ 3.37 \ 3.28 \ 3.08 \ 3.27 \ 3.17 \ 3.38 \\ Cymatopleura solea \\ 2.54 \ 2.61 \ 2.60 \ 2.63 \ 2.43 \ 2.46 \ 2.38 \ 2.67 \ 2.66 \\ Cymetopleura lata \\ 3.50 \ 3.08 \ 2.97 \ 3.22 \ 2.91 \ 2.66 \ 2.76 \ 2.84 \ 2.90 \\ Diatoma vulgaris \\ 4.45 \ 3.80 \ 3.53 \ 3.66 \ 3.40 \ 3.28 \ 3.14 \ 3.17 \ 2.42 \\ Encyonema minitum \\ 2.22 \ 2.37 \ 2.42 \ 2.49 \ 2.55 \ 2.66 \ 2.64 \ 2.67 \ 2.66 \\ Encyonema caespitosum \\ 1.59 \ 2.13 \ 2.23 \ 2.34 \ 2.43 \ 2.46 \ 2.38 \ 2.00 \ 1.93 \\ Epithemia turgida \\ 2.54 \ 2.61 \ 2.60 \ 2.78 \ 2.91 \ 2.77 \ 2.89 \ 2.50 \ 3.14 \\ Gomphonema angustatum \\ 3.82 \ 3.56 \ 3.35 \ 3.51 \ 3.28 \ 3.38 \ 3.39 \ 3.34 \ 3.87 \\ Gomphonema parvulum \\ 4.45 \ 4.03 \ 3.72 \ 3.81 \ 3.64 \ 3.59 \ 3.64 \ 3.67 \ 3.38 \\ Gyrosigma acuminatum \\ 2.86 \ 2.85 \ 2.97 \ 2.93 \ 3.04 \ 3.08 \ 3.27 \ 3.17 \ 2.90 \\ Sellaphora bacillum \\ 2.22 \ 2.37 \ 2.42 \ 2.78 \ 2.79 \ 2.82 \ 3.01 \ 2.84 \ 2.42 \\ Navicula cincta \ 3.82 \ 3.80 \ 3.91 \ 3.66 \ 3.52 \ 3.49 \ 3.77 \ 4.01 \ 4.35 \\ Navicula cincta \ 3.18 \ 3.32 \ 3.35 \ 3.37 \ 3.16 \ 3.57 \ 3.14 \ 3.17 \ 3.14 \\ Navicula gregaria \ 2.86 \ 2.85 \ 2.79 \ 2.49 \ 2.55 \ 2.77 \ 2.89 \ 2.34 \ 1.93 \\ Navicula cincta \ 3.18 \ 3.32 \ 3.35 \ 3.37 \ 3.16 \ 3.57 \ 3.77 \ 4.01 \ 4.35 \\ Navicula cincta \ 3.50 \ 3.08 \ 2.97 \ 2.78 \ 2.91 \ 2.66 \ 2.76 \ 2.84 \ 2.90 \\ Navicula cincta \ 3.50 \ 3.08 \ 2.97 \ 2.78 \ 2.91 \ 2.66 \ 2.76 \ 2.84 \ 2.90 \\ Navicula cincta \ 3.50 \ 3.08 \ 2.97 \ 2.78 \ 2.91 \ 2.66 \ 2.76 \ 2.84 \ 2.90 \\ Navicula cincta \ 3.50 \ 3.08 \ 2.97 \ 2.78 \ 2.91 \ 2.66 \ 2.76 \ 2.84 \ 2.90 \\ Navicula cincta \ 3.50 \ 3.08 \ 2.97 \ 2.78 \ 2.91 \ 2.66 \ 2.76 \ 2.84 \ 2.90 \\ Navicula cincta \ 3.50 \ 3.08 \ 2.97 \ 2.78 \ 2.91 \ 2.66 \ 2.76 \ 2.84 \ 2.90 \\ Navicula cincta \ 3.50 \ 3.08 \ 2.97 \ 2.78 \ 2.91 \ 2.66 \ 2.76 \ 2.84 \ 2.90 \\ Navicula cincta \ 3.50 \ 3.08 \ 2.97 \ 2.78 \ 2.91 \ 2.66 \ 2.76 \ 2.84 \ 2.90 \\ Navicula cincta \ 3.50 \ 3.08 \ 2.97 \ 2.78 \ 2.79 \ 2.66 \ 2.51 \ 2.50 \ 2.66 \ 2.67 \ 2.67 \ 2.42 \ 2.42 \ 2.42 \ 2.42 \ 2.42 \ 2$	2 00									
Cymatopleura solea2.542.612.602.632.432.462.382.672.66Cymetopleura lata3.503.082.973.222.912.662.762.842.90Diatoma vulgaris4.453.803.533.663.403.283.143.172.42Encyonema minitum2.222.372.422.492.552.662.642.672.66Encyonema caespitosum1.592.132.232.342.432.462.382.001.93Epithemia turgida2.542.612.602.782.912.772.892.503.14Gomphonema angustatum3.823.563.353.513.283.383.393.343.87Gomphonema parvulum4.454.033.723.813.643.593.643.673.38Gyrosigma acuminatum2.862.852.972.933.043.083.273.172.90Sellaphora bacillum2.222.372.422.782.792.823.012.842.42Navicula cincta3.823.803.913.663.523.493.774.014.35Navicula gregaria2.862.852.792.492.552.772.892.341.93Navicula radiosa4.144.034.093.813.773.593.773.673.63Navicula radiosa4.144.03										
$\begin{array}{c} Cymetopleura lata \\ S.50 \\ Jiatoma vulgaris \\ 4.45 \\ 3.80 \\ 3.53 \\ 3.66 \\ 3.40 \\ 3.28 \\ 3.14 \\ 3.17 \\ 2.42 \\ Lecyonema minitum \\ 2.22 \\ 2.37 \\ 2.42 \\ 2.49 \\ 2.55 \\ 2.66 \\ 2.66 \\ 2.64 \\ 2.67 \\ 2.66 \\ 2.67 \\ 2.66 \\ Lecyonema caespitosum \\ 1.59 \\ 2.13 \\ 2.23 \\ 2.34 \\ 2.43 \\ 2.44 \\ 2.46 \\ 2.38 \\ 2.00 \\ 1.93 \\ Log $										
Diatoma vulgaris4.453.803.533.663.403.283.143.172.42Encyonema minitum2.222.372.422.492.552.662.642.672.66Encyonema caespitosum1.592.132.232.342.432.462.382.001.93Epithemia turgida2.542.612.602.782.912.772.892.503.14Gomphonema angustatum3.823.563.353.513.283.383.393.343.87Gomphonema parvulum4.454.033.723.813.643.593.643.673.38Gyrosigma acuminatum2.862.852.972.933.043.083.273.172.90Sellaphora bacillum2.222.372.422.782.792.823.012.842.42Navicula cincta3.823.803.913.663.523.493.774.014.35Navicula araic3.183.323.353.373.162.973.143.173.14Navicula araicosa4.144.034.093.813.773.593.773.673.63Navicula rainosa4.144.034.093.813.773.593.773.673.63Navicula ripunctata2.543.323.533.513.523.383.273.343.38Navicula ripunctata2.542.3										
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
Epithemia turgida2.542.612.602.782.912.772.892.503.14Gomphonema angustatum3.823.563.353.513.283.383.393.343.87Gomphonema parvulum4.454.033.723.813.643.593.643.673.38Gyrosigma acuminatum2.862.852.972.933.043.083.273.172.90Sellaphora bacillum2.222.372.422.782.792.823.012.842.42Navicula cincta3.823.803.913.663.523.493.774.014.35Navicula gregaria2.862.852.792.492.552.772.892.341.93Navicula lanceolata3.503.082.972.782.912.662.762.842.90Navicula radiosa4.144.034.093.813.773.593.773.673.63Navicula viridula2.862.612.602.632.672.772.642.672.42Nitzschia amphibia1.911.902.042.192.182.462.382.171.69Nitzschia dissipata3.183.082.972.782.792.662.512.502.66Nitzschia isigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia isigma2.863.32	•									
Gomphonema angustatum3.823.563.353.513.283.383.393.343.87Gomphonema parvulum4.454.033.723.813.643.593.643.673.38Gyrosigma acuminatum2.862.852.972.933.043.083.273.172.90Sellaphora bacillum2.222.372.422.782.792.823.012.842.42Navicula cincta3.823.803.913.663.523.493.774.014.35Navicula cari3.183.323.353.373.162.973.143.173.14Navicula gregaria2.862.852.792.492.552.772.892.341.93Navicula lanceolata3.503.082.972.782.912.662.762.842.90Navicula radiosa4.144.034.093.813.773.593.773.673.63Navicula viridula2.862.612.602.632.672.772.642.672.42Nitzschia amphibia1.911.902.042.192.182.462.382.171.69Nitzschia dissipata3.183.082.972.782.792.662.512.502.66Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tenuis2.222.13										
Gomphonema parvulum4.454.033.723.813.643.593.643.673.38Gyrosigma acuminatum2.862.852.972.933.043.083.273.172.90Sellaphora bacillum2.222.372.422.782.792.823.012.842.42Navicula cincta3.823.803.913.663.523.493.774.014.35Navicula cari3.183.323.353.373.162.973.143.173.14Navicula gregaria2.862.852.792.492.552.772.892.341.93Navicula lanceolata3.503.082.972.782.912.662.762.842.90Navicula radiosa4.144.034.093.813.773.593.773.673.63Navicula tripunctata2.543.323.533.513.523.383.273.343.38Navicula viridula2.862.612.602.632.672.772.642.672.42Nitzschia amphibia1.911.902.042.192.182.462.382.171.69Nitzschia figma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia isigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tenuis2.222.13	1 8									
Gyrosigma acuminatum2.862.852.972.933.043.083.273.172.90Sellaphora bacillum2.222.372.422.782.792.823.012.842.42Navicula cincta3.823.803.913.663.523.493.774.014.35Navicula cari3.183.323.353.373.162.973.143.173.14Navicula gregaria2.862.852.792.492.552.772.892.341.93Navicula lanceolata3.503.082.972.782.912.662.762.842.90Navicula radiosa4.144.034.093.813.773.593.773.673.63Navicula ripunctata2.543.323.533.513.523.383.273.343.38Navicula viridula2.862.612.602.632.672.772.642.672.42Nitzschia amphibia1.911.902.042.192.182.462.382.171.69Nitzschia palea2.542.372.422.342.552.562.132.002.17Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.232.342.552.562.132.002.17Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.60<	1 0									
Sellaphora bacillum2.222.372.422.782.792.823.012.842.42Navicula cincta3.823.803.913.663.523.493.774.014.35Navicula cari3.183.323.353.373.162.973.143.173.14Navicula gregaria2.862.852.792.492.552.772.892.341.93Navicula lanceolata3.503.082.972.782.912.662.762.842.90Navicula radiosa4.144.034.093.813.773.593.773.673.63Navicula tripunctata2.543.323.533.513.523.383.273.343.38Navicula viridula2.862.612.602.632.672.772.642.672.42Nitzschia amphibia1.911.902.042.192.182.462.382.171.69Nitzschia dissipata3.183.082.972.782.792.662.512.502.66Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.232.342.312.662.762.672.66Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.602.783.043.183.012.342.42Pinnularia viridis2.221.902.										
Navicula cincta3.823.803.913.663.523.493.774.014.35Navicula cari3.183.323.353.373.162.973.143.173.14Navicula gregaria2.862.852.792.492.552.772.892.341.93Navicula lanceolata3.503.082.972.782.912.662.762.842.90Navicula radiosa4.144.034.093.813.773.593.773.673.63Navicula tripunctata2.543.323.533.513.523.383.273.343.38Navicula viridula2.862.612.602.632.672.772.642.672.42Nitzschia amphibia1.911.902.042.192.182.462.382.171.69Nitzschia dissipata3.183.082.972.782.792.662.512.502.66Nitzschia palea2.542.372.422.342.552.562.132.002.17Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.232.342.312.662.762.672.66Nitzschia tenuis2.221.902.042.342.432.822.512.842.17Nitzschia tenuis2.221.902.04 <td></td>										
Navicula cari3.183.323.353.373.162.973.143.173.14Navicula gregaria2.862.852.792.492.552.772.892.341.93Navicula lanceolata3.503.082.972.782.912.662.762.842.90Navicula radiosa4.144.034.093.813.773.593.773.673.63Navicula tripunctata2.543.323.533.513.523.383.273.343.38Navicula viridula2.862.612.602.632.672.772.642.672.42Nitzschia amphibia1.911.902.042.192.182.462.382.171.69Nitzschia dissipata3.183.082.972.782.792.662.512.502.66Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tryblionella1.592.132.602.783.043.183.012.342.42Pinnularia viridis2.221.902.042.342.432.822.512.842.17Surirella ovalis1.912.372.792.782.673.082.892.342.66Surirella minuta1.271.66 <td< td=""><td>*</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	*									
Navicula gregaria2.862.852.792.492.552.772.892.341.93Navicula lanceolata3.503.082.972.782.912.662.762.842.90Navicula radiosa4.144.034.093.813.773.593.773.673.63Navicula tripunctata2.543.323.533.513.523.383.273.343.38Navicula viridula2.862.612.602.632.672.772.642.672.42Nitzschia amphibia1.911.902.042.192.182.462.382.171.69Nitzschia dissipata3.183.082.972.782.792.662.512.502.66Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.232.342.312.662.762.672.66Nitzschia tryblionella1.592.132.602.783.043.183.012.342.42Pinnularia viridis2.221.902.042.342.432.822.512.842.17Surirella ovalis1.912.372.792.782.673.082.892.342.66Surirella minuta1.271.66										
Navicula lanceolata3.503.082.972.782.912.662.762.842.90Navicula radiosa4.144.034.093.813.773.593.773.673.63Navicula tripunctata2.543.323.533.513.523.383.273.343.38Navicula viridula2.862.612.602.632.672.772.642.672.42Nitzschia amphibia1.911.902.042.192.182.462.382.171.69Nitzschia dissipata3.183.082.972.782.792.662.512.502.66Nitzschia palea2.542.372.422.342.552.562.132.002.17Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.232.342.312.662.762.672.66Nitzschia tryblionella1.592.132.602.783.043.183.012.342.42Pinnularia viridis2.221.902.042.342.432.822.512.842.17Surirella ovalis1.912.372.792.782.673.082.892.342.66Surirella minuta1.271.661.862.192.182.252.131.831.45										
Navicula radiosa4.144.034.093.813.773.593.773.673.63Navicula tripunctata2.543.323.533.513.523.383.273.343.38Navicula viridula2.862.612.602.632.672.772.642.672.42Nitzschia amphibia1.911.902.042.192.182.462.382.171.69Nitzschia dissipata3.183.082.972.782.792.662.512.502.66Nitzschia palea2.542.372.422.342.552.562.132.002.17Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.232.342.312.662.762.672.66Nitzschia tryblionella1.592.132.602.783.043.183.012.342.42Pinnularia viridis2.221.902.042.342.432.822.512.842.17Surirella ovalis1.912.372.792.782.673.082.892.342.66Surirella minuta1.271.661.862.192.182.252.131.831.45	0 0	3.50	3.08			2.91	2.66		2.84	2.90
Navicula tripunctata2.543.323.533.513.523.383.273.343.38Navicula viridula2.862.612.602.632.672.772.642.672.42Nitzschia amphibia1.911.902.042.192.182.462.382.171.69Nitzschia dissipata3.183.082.972.782.792.662.512.502.66Nitzschia palea2.542.372.422.342.552.562.132.002.17Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.232.342.312.662.762.672.66Nitzschia tryblionella1.592.132.602.783.043.183.012.342.42Pinnularia viridis2.221.902.042.342.432.822.512.842.17Surirella ovalis1.912.372.792.782.673.082.892.342.66Surirella minuta1.271.661.862.192.182.252.131.831.45	Navicula radiosa		4.03		3.81					
Navicula viridula2.862.612.602.632.672.772.642.672.42Nitzschia amphibia1.911.902.042.192.182.462.382.171.69Nitzschia dissipata3.183.082.972.782.792.662.512.502.66Nitzschia palea2.542.372.422.342.552.562.132.002.17Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.232.342.312.662.762.672.66Nitzschia tryblionella1.592.132.602.783.043.183.012.342.42Pinnularia viridis2.221.902.042.342.432.822.512.842.17Surirella ovalis1.912.372.792.782.673.082.892.342.66Surirella minuta1.271.661.862.192.182.252.131.831.45	Navicula tripunctata	2.54	3.32	3.53	3.51	3.52	3.38	3.27	3.34	3.38
Nitzschia dissipata3.183.082.972.782.792.662.512.502.66Nitzschia palea2.542.372.422.342.552.562.132.002.17Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.232.342.312.662.762.672.66Nitzschia tryblionella1.592.132.602.783.043.183.012.342.42Pinnularia viridis2.221.902.042.342.432.822.512.842.17Surirella ovalis1.912.372.792.782.673.082.892.342.66Surirella minuta1.271.661.862.192.182.252.131.831.45	*	2.86	2.61	2.60	2.63	2.67	2.77	2.64	2.67	2.42
Nitzschia palea2.542.372.422.342.552.562.132.002.17Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.232.342.312.662.762.672.66Nitzschia tryblionella1.592.132.602.783.043.183.012.342.42Pinnularia viridis2.221.902.042.342.432.822.512.842.17Surirella ovalis1.912.372.792.782.673.082.892.342.66Surirella minuta1.271.661.862.192.182.252.131.831.45	Nitzschia amphibia	1.91	1.90	2.04	2.19	2.18	2.46	2.38	2.17	1.69
Nitzschia sigma2.863.323.163.073.163.083.143.172.90Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.232.342.312.662.762.672.66Nitzschia tryblionella1.592.132.602.783.043.183.012.342.42Pinnularia viridis2.221.902.042.342.432.822.512.842.17Surirella ovalis1.912.372.792.782.673.082.892.342.66Surirella minuta1.271.661.862.192.182.252.131.831.45	Nitzschia dissipata	3.18	3.08	2.97	2.78	2.79	2.66	2.51	2.50	2.66
Nitzschia tenuis2.222.132.232.342.312.662.762.672.66Nitzschia tryblionella1.592.132.602.783.043.183.012.342.42Pinnularia viridis2.221.902.042.342.432.822.512.842.17Surirella ovalis1.912.372.792.782.673.082.892.342.66Surirella minuta1.271.661.862.192.182.252.131.831.45	Nitzschia palea	2.54	2.37	2.42	2.34	2.55	2.56	2.13	2.00	2.17
Nitzschia tryblionella1.592.132.602.783.043.183.012.342.42Pinnularia viridis2.221.902.042.342.432.822.512.842.17Surirella ovalis1.912.372.792.782.673.082.892.342.66Surirella minuta1.271.661.862.192.182.252.131.831.45	Nitzschia sigma	2.86	3.32	3.16	3.07	3.16	3.08	3.14	3.17	2.90
Pinnularia viridis2.221.902.042.342.432.822.512.842.17Surirella ovalis1.912.372.792.782.673.082.892.342.66Surirella minuta1.271.661.862.192.182.252.131.831.45	Nitzschia tenuis	2.22	2.13	2.23	2.34	2.31	2.66	2.76	2.67	2.66
Surirella ovalis1.912.372.792.782.673.082.892.342.66Surirella minuta1.271.661.862.192.182.252.131.831.45	Nitzschia tryblionella	1.59	2.13	2.60	2.78	3.04	3.18	3.01	2.34	2.42
Surirella minuta 1.27 1.66 1.86 2.19 2.18 2.25 2.13 1.83 1.45	Pinnularia viridis	2.22	1.90	2.04	2.34	2.43	2.82	2.51	2.84	2.17
	Surirella ovalis	1.91	2.37	2.79	2.78	2.67	3.08	2.89	2.34	2.66
<i>Ulnaria ulna</i> 4.14 4.27 4.46 4.10 3.89 3.69 4.02 4.68 4.60	Surirella minuta	1.27	1.66	1.86	2.19	2.18	2.25	2.13	1.83	1.45
	Ulnaria ulna	4.14	4.27	4.46	4.10	3.89	3.69	4.02	4.68	4.60

Navicula, Nitzschia and Cymbella were the species represented by the highest number of taxa at the first station. At this station. relative densities of Cymbella prostrata, Gomphonema angustatum, Gomphonema parvulum, Navicula cincta. Navicula cari, Navicula radiosa and Ulnaria ulna never dropped below 3%. Ulnaria ulna's relative density in October

(4.68%) was the highest among the relative densities of the other diatoms at this station. *Surirella minuta*'s relative density in March (1.27%) was the lowest relative density recorded at this station. The monthly changes in the relative density of the species recorded at the second station are shown in Table 3.

224 Caglar and Selamoglu, Epilithic diatom of the Güvercinlik pond (Arguvan/ Malatya, Turkey)

Diatom taxa	Mar.	Apr.	May.	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.
Centrales									
Stephanodiscus astrea	2.75	4.00	4.10	3.83	3.65	3.78	3.46	3.85	4.31
Pennales									
Amphora pediculus	5.51	4.88	4.73	4.34	4.30	4.16	4.38	4.45	5.17
Cymbella cistula	4.13	4.00	3.76	3.58	3.87	3.78	3.92	4.15	4.31
Cymbella leptoceros	4.82	5.33	5.13	4.85	5.16	4.92	5.08	5.04	4.74
Cymbella prostrata	6.89	6.22	5.82	5.11	5.59	5.68	5.77	5.63	5.60
Cymbella tumidula	6.20	5.33	4.79	4.60	5.16	5.30	5.54	5.04	5.17
Epithemia sorex	4.13	4.44	4.10	4.09	4.73	4.73	4.84	4.45	4.31
Gomphonema angustatum	5.51	5.77	5.47	5.37	5.59	5.49	5.31	5.34	5.60
Gomphonema olivaceum	6.89	6.22	6.16	6.39	6.02	5.87	6.23	5.93	6.89
Gomphonema parvulum	6.20	5.33	5.13	5.62	5.16	5.30	5.54	5.63	6.03
Gyrosigma acuminata	4.82	4.44	4.79	4.85	4.73	5.11	5.08	4.74	5.17
Navicula cincta	6.89	6.66	5.82	5.88	5.37	5.30	5.31	5.04	4.31
Navicula gregaria	4.13	4.44	4.45	4.34	4.30	4.35	5.77	6.23	5.17
Navicula lanceolata	5.51	6.22	6.50	6.39	6.23	6.06	6.00	6.23	7.32
Navicula viridula	4.82	4.88	5.47	5.62	5.59	5.49	5.08	5.63	4.74
Nitzscha dissipata	4.13	4.00	4.79	4.85	4.94	5.11	4.61	4.45	5.17
Nitzschia sigma	4.82	4.44	5.13	5.37	5.16	4.92	4.15	4.15	3.87
Nitzschia tenuis	2.75	3.55	3.76	4.09	4.30	4.54	3.69	3.56	3.44
Surirella ovalis	2.06	3.11	3.42	3.83	3.87	3.97	3.92	3.26	2.58
Ulnaria ulna	6.89	6.66	6.50	6.90	6.23	6.06	6.23	7.12	6.03

At the second station, a total of 20 diatom taxa, 1 belonging to Centrales and 19 belonging to Pennales, were recorded. Diatoms represented by the most species at this station were Cymbella and Navicula (4 taxa). At the second station, the relative density of (7.32%)Navicula lanceolata in November and the relative density of Ulnaria ulna (7.12%) in October were the highest relative densities at both stations. The relative density of Ulnaria ulna never fell below 6% throughout the study. At the second station, Ulnaria ulna was followed by Navicula lanceolata, Gomphonema olivaceum, Gomphonema angustatum, Gomphonema parvulum and Cymbella prostrata in terms of relative density. The lowest relative densities recorded at this station belong to Surirella ovalis (2.06%) and Nitzschia tenuis (2.75%) in March. Sorensen similarity index between the species at both stations was found as 58.18%.

Discussion and Conclusion

The species belonging to Pennales have found to be predominant in the epilithic flora of the Güvercinlik Pond. This predominance was also reported in other studies (Soylu various and Gönülol, 2006; Sıvacı and Papuçcu, 2007; Çağlar and Pala, 2016; Pala et al., 2017; Çağlar et al, 2017) carried out in the fresh waters of our country. In Çağlar and Pala's (2016) study titled "Epiphytic and Epipsammic Diatom of Communities Gölbaşı Lake". Navicula, Nitzschia and Cyclotella were reported to be the most remarkable species with their relative densities; and in our study, the most remarkable diatoms at both stations with their relative densities were *Cymbella*, *Navicula*, *Nitzschia* and *Ulnaria*. In the epilithic flora of the Güvercinlik Pond, no new record for our country's diatom flora was detected.

In the Güvercinlik Pond, a total of 35 taxa were recorded at the first station while a total of 20 taxa were recorded at the second station. The diatoms recorded at the first station are more than those at the second station because the first station is located in shallower part and inlands compared to the second station and are also quite rich in macrophytes. The second station is in a more open area and is very poor in terms of macrophytes. The stones are washed with streams flowing to the pond. During the study, Cyclotella glomerata, Pantocsekiella ocellata, Cocconeis placentula, Cymbella affinis, Cymatopleura solea, Cymbopleura lata, Diatoma vulgaris, Encyonema minitum, Encyonema caespitosum, Epithemia turgida, Sellaphora bacillum, Navicula cari, Nitzschia amphibia, Nitzschia tryblionella, Pinnularia viridis and Surirella minuta were the taxa recorded only at the first station while Cymbella leptoceros, Cymbella tumidula, *Epithemia* sorex and Gomphonema were the diatom taxa olivaceum recorded only at the second station.

Chessman (1986) indicated that Navicula and Nitzschia are cosmopolitan species. In our study in the Güvercinlik Pond, Navicula and Nitzschia species were the permanent species of both stations and they recorded with high relative densities each month. In their stud titled "Epilithic Diatoms of Upper Porsuk Stream (Kütahya)", Bingöl et al. (2007) identified 58 diatom taxa in the samples collected from three different stations on a monthly basis between December 2004 and August 2005. In these samples, the most prevalent species were Navicula, Nitzschia and Cymbella. The identification of Navicula and Nitzschia species in various studies (Pala and Cağlar, 2006; Pala and Cağlar, 2008) conducted in our country supports the finding that the types belonging to these species are cosmopolitan.

In studies on benthic algae in the lakes, dam lakes and ponds located in the other regions of Turkey, Centrales members, which are also referred to as planktonic forms, were also identified sediments. on the though in insignificant numbers. In our study on the epilithic diatoms of the Güvercinlik Pond. Centrales members were recorded every month with 3 taxa (Cyclotella glomerata, Pantocsekiella ocellata, Stephanodiscus astrea) at the first station, and with one taxon (S. astrea) at the second station.

With 7.32% in November, *Navicula lanceolata* from the pennate diatoms had the highest recorded relative density of the diatoms at the two stations. This was followed by *Ulnaria ulna* with 7.12% in October, and again at the same station, *Ulnaria ulna*, *Cymbella prostrata*, *Gomphonema olivaceum* and *Navicula cincta* with 6.89%. *Navicula lanceolata* reached its highest relative density at the first station in March with 3.50%. The highest relative density of the first station belonged to *U. Ulna* with 4.68% in October. At this station *U. ulna*'s relative density was always higher than the other diatoms in every month. The fact that *Navicula lanceolata* and *Ulnaria ulna* were always recorded with high populations at both stations can be seen as an indication that these diatoms could make use of the conditions better than the others.

Akköz et al. (2000) identified 89 taxa in their study on the epiphytic and epipelic algae of the Besgöz Lake and their seasonal changes. Considering the taxa identified, while the diatoms ranked first with 76 taxa, Chlorophyta was recorded with 7, Cyanophyta with 4, Euglenophyta and Xantophyta with 1 taxon each in the flora. The majority of the epipelic and epiphytic algal flora of the Besgöz Lake is composed of Bacillariophyta members and some of it composed of Cyanophyta is and Chlorophyta members. As Euglenophyta Chrysophyta and members are represented by only one species, they do not show any importance in regard to population or floristics. In the same sense, the dominant algae in the epiphyton in the Güvercinlik Pond were diatoms, and other algal groups were neglected because they were recorded at very low numbers.

In their research on the epilithic algae of the Ladikli Lake, Maraşlıoğlu *et al.* (2005) reported that the prevalent species of the epilithon were *Aulacoseria distans, Cymbella affinis, Encyonema leibleinii, Navicula* cryptocephala, Navicula veneta, Craticula halophila and Surirella linearis. Except for Cymbella affinis, the species identified in our study carried out in the Güvercinlik Pond show no similarity with those in the related study.

Gomphonema olivaceum, Navicula cincta, Cymbella prostrata, Navicula lanceolata, and Nitzschia palea were recorded in the epiphyton with high relative densities in the Güvercinlik Pond. These species are stated to be true epilithic and epiphytic species attached to stones or plants by a stem clothed with mucilage; and tubes released from their raphae; their thin ends or whole surfaces (Gönülol, 1993). The diatom species found in the Güvercinlik Pond are very similar to the diatoms found in other previously researched lakes (Yıldız, 1986; Yıldız 1986a; Yıldız 1986b; Akköz et al., 2000). Some of the benthic flora in lakes and ponds are dragged by other physical factors such as wave movements and currents and they appear as dependent algae by joining the flora on stones and plants. However, species with mucilage clothed stems such as Gomphonema olivaceum and Rhoicosphenia curvata are indeed dependent algae.

Studies on the littoral zone algae of lakes and ponds have shown that a rich algal flora develops on sediments. This flora has been studied in detail especially in the lakes of England, Ireland and Finland (Round, 1984).

According to Round and Hickman, benthic algae are defined as organisms

living in the confined space between solid and liquid surfaces (Nienhuis, 1980). In the Tortum Lake, Navicula, Amphora (Altuner and Aykulu, 1987); in the Tercan Dam Lake, Navicula, Nitzschia, Cymbella and Amphora (Altuner and Gürbüz, 1996); and in the Beytepe Pond, Navicula, Nitzschia, Caloneis, Amphora and Synedra have been observed to be widespread and in high numbers. In the Cubuk-I Dam Lake (Gönülol. 1980). Amphora. Nitzschia, Navicula, Caloneis and centric diatom species; in the Altınapa Dam Lake (Yıldız, 1982; Yıldız, 1986), Nitzschia, Navicula, Cymbella, Pinnularia, Amphora and Caloneis species were reported to be dominant and prevalent. Among the epilithic diatoms in the Güvercinlik Pond, Navicula, Nitzschia, Cymbella and Gomphonema as the prevalent species showed similarities with the above findings.

In the epilithic algal communities, Ulnaria species such as Ulnaria ulna, which are actually environmentdependent species, and Gomphonoma parvulum, G. angustatum, Cymbella tumidula, and C. cistula species are constantly and densely available in all seasons. Other than these species, Navicula and Nitzschia were also observed continuously. Epithemia sorex and E. turgida, which are seen as epiphytic and epilithic organisms by Round, have also been important in this flora (Round, 1957b).

As a result, no significant difference was observed between the selected stations in the pond in terms of the number and diversity of species. It is believed that this can be because of the fact that the pond is supplied with rainwater from the stream beds.

References

- Akköz, C., Küçüködük, M., Obah,
O., Öztürk, C. and Doğan, H.H.,
2000. Beşgöz Gölü
(Sarayönü/Konya) alg Florası II:
Epilitik ve Epifitik Algleri. Selçuk
Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi
Fen Dergisi, 1, 5-11.
- Allan, J.D., 1995. Stream Ecology Kluwer Academic Publishers. The Netherlands, 388 pp.
- Altuner, Z. and Aykulu, G., 1987.Tortum Gölü Epipelik Alg Florası Üzerinde Bir Araştırma. İstanbul Üniv. *Su Ürünleri Dergisi*, 1, 1, 119P.
- Altuner, Z. and Gürbüz, H. 1989. Karasu (Fırat) Nehri Fitoplankton Topluluğu Üzerinde Bir Araştırma. İstanbul Üniversitesi, *Su Ürünleri Dergisi*, 3 (1-2), 151-176.
- Altuner, Z. and Gürbüz, H., 1996. Tercan Baraj Gölü Bentik Alg Florası Üzerinde Bir Araştırma. *Turkish Journal of Botany*, 20, 1, 41.
- Bingöl, (Akanıl) N., Özyurt, S.M., Dayıoğlu, H. and Solak, C.N. 2007. Yukarı Porsuk Çayı (Kütahya) Epilitik Diyatomeleri, Academia. edu. *Centre for Innovation in Learning and Teaching (CILT)*, 15, 62, 23-29.
- **Çağlar, M. and Pala, G., 2016.** Epiphytic and epipsammic diatom communities of Gölbaşı Lake (Adıyaman/Turkey). *Ege Journal of*

Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 33(**3**), 193-199.

- **Çağlar, M. and Pala, G., 2016.** Seasonal variations in the epilithic diatoms of Koçan Falls (Erzincan, Turkey). *Journal of Survey in Fisheries Sciences*, 3(1), 47-59.
- Çağlar, M., Pala, G. and Selamoğlu, Z., 2017. Study on epilithic diatoms in the Balikli Tohma Creek (Darende/Malatya in Turkey). *İranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 16(2), 858-868.
- Chesman, B.C., 1986. Diatom flora of an Australian River System: Spatial Patterns and environmental relationnships. *Freshwater Biology*, 16, 805-819.
- **Çiçek, N.L., Kalyoncu, H., Akköz, C.** and Ertan, Ö.O., 2010. Dariören Deresi ve Isparta Çayı (Isparta)'nın Epilitik Algleri ve Mevsimsel Dağılımları. *Journal of FisheriesSciences*, 4(1), 78-90.
- Dere, U.S. and Sivaci, R., 1995. Kızılırmak (Sivas, Giriş-Çıkış) epipelik, epifitik, epilitik alg florası. XII. Ulusal Biyoloji Kongresi. *Hidrobiyoloji Seksiyonu*, Edirne, IV, 180-188.
- Fakıoğlu, Ö., Atamanalp, M., Şenel, M., Şensurat, T. and Arslan, H., 2012. Pulur Çayı (Erzurum) Epilitik ve Epifitik Diyatomeleri. Eğirdir Su Ürünleri Fakültesi Dergisi, 8(1), 1-8.
- Gönülol, A., 1980. Çubuk-I Baraj Gölü Fitoplankton ve Kıyı Bölgesi (litoral bölge) Algleri Üzerinde Araştırmalar. Yüksek Lisans Tezi.

Ankara Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi, s. 38.

- Gönülol, A. 1993. Bafra Balık Gölleri (Balık Gölü, Uzun Göl) Bentik Alg Florası. Gustav Fischer Verlag, 576 pp., Stuttgart. *İstanbul Üniversitesi Su Ürünleri Dergisi*, 1-2, 31-56.
- Kivrak, E. and Gurbuz, H., 2010. Tortum Çayı' nın (Erzurum) epipelik diyatomeleri ve bazı fizikokimyasal özellikleri ile ilişkisi. *Ekoloji*, 19(72), 102-109.
- Kocatas, A., 1999. Ecology and Environmental Biology Course Book, Ege University, 564 s. Bornova-İzmir.
- Krammer, K. and Lange-Bertalot,
 H., 1991a. Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa. Bacillariophyceae,
 Band 2/3, 3. Teil: Centrales,
 Fragillariaceae, Eunoticeae,
- Krammer, K. and Lange-Bertalot,
 H., 1991b. Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa. Bacillariophyceae,
 Band 2/4, 4. Teil: Achnanthaceae,
 Kritische Ergänzungen zu Navicula (Lineolatae) und Gomphonema
 Gesamtliteraturverzeichnis. Gustav
 Fischer Verlag, 436P., Stuttgart.
- Krammer, K. and Lange-Bertalot,
 H., 1999a. Süßwasserflora von
 Mitteleuropa. Bacillariophyceae,
 Band 2/1, 1. Teil: Naviculaceae,
 Spectrum Academicher Verlag, 876
 P., Berlin.
- Krammer, K. and Lange-Bertalot,
 H., 1999b. Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa. Bacillariophyceae,
 Band 2/2, 2. Teil: Bacillariaceae,
 Epithemiaceae,

Surirellaceae,Spectrum Academicher Verlag, 610 P., Berlin.

- Maraşlıoğlu, F., Soylu E.N. and Gönülol, A., 2005. Seasonal Variation of the Phytoplankton of Lake Ladik Samsun, Turkey. Journal of Freshwater Ecology, 22(3), 549-554.
- Nienhuis, P.H., 1980. The Epilithic Algal Vegetation of the SW Netherlands. *Nova Hedwigia*, 33, 1. Pp. 35-49.
- Özer, G. and Pala, G., 2009. Suluçayır Düzü (Sivrice-Elazığ)' nde bulunan bir gölet (TMİ 12)' in fitoplanktonik algleri ve mevsimsel değişimleri-I Bacillariophyta. XV. Ulusal Su Ürünleri Sempozyumu, 01-04 Temmuz, Rize.
- Pala (Toprak) G. and Çağlar, M., 2006. Epilithic algae and seasonal changes of Keban Dam Lake,. *Firat* University Journal of Science. Bilder, 18(3), 323-329.
- Pala, G. and Caglar, M., 2008. Epilithic Diatoms and Seasonal Changes of Peri-Stream (Tunceli/ Turkey), *Firat Universty Journal of Science*, 20(4), 557-562.
- Pala, G., 2014. Hazar Gölü (Suluçayır Düzü) epifitik diyatome florası. *Fırat Üniversitesi*, "Fen Bilimleri Dergisi, 26(1), 45-51.
- Pala, G., Çağlar, M. and Selamoğlu, Z., 2017. Study on epilithic diatoms in the Kozluk Creek (Arapgir/Malatya, Turkey). *İranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 16(1), 441-450.
- Round, F.E., 1957b. The Late-Glacial and Past-Glacial Diatom Succession

in the KentmereValley Deposit. Part I.Introduction, Methods and Flora. *The New Phytologist*, 56(1), 98.P.

- Round, F.E., 1984. The ecology of algae, 3nd ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 653P.
- Şen, B., Çağlar, M. and Pala, G., 2001. Tadım Göleti (Elazığ) diyatomeleri ve yıl içindeki dağılımları. Fırat Üniversitesi, "Fen Bilimleri Dergisi, 13(2), 255-261.
- Sıvacı, E.R. and Pabuçcu, K., 2007. Epipelic and epilithic diatoms of the Balikli Spa in central Anatolia, Turkey. *Journal of Freshwater Ecology*, 22(1), 145-146.
- Sorensen, T., 1948. A method of establishing groups of equal amplitude in plant sociology based on similarity of species and its application to analyses of the vegetation on Danish commons, *Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskab*, 5(4), 1–34.
- Soylu, E.N. and Gönülol, A., 2006. Seasonal variation in the diversity, species richness and composition of the phytoplankton assemblages in a shallow lake. *Cryptogamie Algologie*, 27(1), 85-101.

URL, 1. google.com.tr

URL 2. Google earth.

- Yıldız, K., 1982. Altınapa Baraj Gölü
 Algleri Üzerinde Araştırmalar.
 Doktora Tezi. Selçuk üniv. *Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi*, 70, Konya.
- Yıldız, K., 1986. Altınapa Baraj Gölü Alg Toplulukları Üzerinde Araştırmalar. Kısım I: Ftoplankton topluluğu. *Doğa Bilim Dergisi*, A2, 9, 2, 419.

- Yıldız, K., 1986a. Altınapa Baraj Gölü Alg Toplulukları Üzerinde Araştırmalar, Kısım II: Sedimanlar Üzerinde Yaşayan Alg Topluluğu, *Doğa Türk Biyoloji Dergisi*, 10, 3, 547-554.
- Yıldız, K., 1986b. Altınapa Baraj GölüAlgTopluluklarıÜzerinde

Araştırmalar Kısım III: Taş ve Bitkiler ÜzerindeYaşayan Alg Topluluğu. *Gazi Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Dergisi*, 4, 147-155.

Yıldız, K. and Atıcı, T., 1996. Ankara Çayı Diyatomeleri. *Gazi Üniversitesi, Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi Dergisi*, 6, 59- 87.