Role Of Biopestcides And Biofertilizer In Sustainable Agriculture

Nabi Ullah[1*], Tayyaba Bari[2], Adeel Ali[3], Hira fatima[4], Dr. Sumaira Salahuddin Lodhi[5], Asia Noureen[6], Iqra Munir[7]

[1*]PhD Student, Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, China, Nabiullahch90@gmail.com
[2]Institute of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, University of Vaterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore
[3]Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan
[4]Lecturer, Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology.The University of Lahore, Sargodha campus. hiramakhdom@gmail.com
[5]Department of Biochemistry Hazara University Mansehra, Sumaira.bch@gmail.com
[6]Center for Interdisciplinary Research in Basic Sciences, International Islamic University Islamabad Pakistan 44000
[7]Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, The University of Lahore Sargodha Campus

*Corresponding Author: Nabi Ullah
*PhD Student, Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, China, Nabiullahch90@gmail.com

Abstract
The intersection of environmental responsibility, economic viability, and agronomic innovation is sustainable agriculture. This study focuses on the crucial functions of biopesticides and biofertilizers as it explores the complex relationships among the aspects of sustainable agriculture. In order to understand the complex interplay between environmental, economic, and agronomic aspects, the study includes correlation analysis and reliability testing. The correlation analysis reveals complex patterns, such as the inverse relationship between "Pesticide Residue" and "Biopesticides," which supports the viability of biopesticides for residue management. The relationship between "Net Profits" and "Biopesticides" is favorable, underscoring the financial advantages of using sustainable methods. The reliability analysis supports the validity of the study's conclusions, and the survey instrument's robustness is supported by a high Cronbach's Alpha coefficient ($a = 0.82$). The report summarizes findings, underlines the interplay of factors across dimensions, and provides policymakers with useful takeaways for promoting environmentally friendly and financially successful farming techniques. The study adds a thread to the complex web of sustainable agriculture while acknowledging its limitations and outlining potential directions. It emphasizes the significance of comprehensive approaches to solving current agricultural problems.

Introduction

The implementation of sustainable agriculture practices has become necessary due to the worldwide concerns of population expansion, environmental degradation, and food security. Biopesticides and biofertilizers have developed as ground-breaking approaches to these problems, with the potential to completely transform traditional farming practices.(Sharma, Tiwari, Kumawat, & Cardinale, 2023). A thorough introduction to the quantitative examination of the function of biopesticides and biofertilizers in sustainable agriculture is the goal of this article.

To increase crop yields, traditional agriculture primarily relies on chemical pesticides and synthetic fertilizers. However, this strategy frequently has unexpected consequences including soil erosion, water contamination, and detrimental effects on organisms that are not the target. (Adetunji et al., 2022) The investigation of biopesticides and biofertilizers as sustainable substitutes has been motivated by the need for a more rounded and environmentally responsible approach. (Tenkouano, Dubois, & Afari-Sefa, 2016) While biofertilizers use benevolent microorganisms to improve nutrient availability and soil health, biopesticides are drawn from natural sources and target specific pests. (Khan et al., 2023)

Conventional agricultural methods not only endanger the environment, but they also raise prices for goods and decrease soil fertility. (Tuomisto, Hodge, Riordan, & Macdonald, 2012) Concerns regarding the safety of food and long-term sustainability have been raised by the overuse of chemical pesticides and fertilizers. Additionally, it might be difficult for smallholder farmers to get and purchase these inputs in underdeveloped nations.(Shah & Wu, 2019)

The importance of this research is found in its ability to support a more resilient and sustainable agriculture system. We can shed light on the usefulness of biopesticides and biofertilizers in lowering chemical usage, boosting crop output, and minimizing environmental harm by quantitatively analyzing their effects. For academics, politicians, and farmers who want to move toward sustainable farming methods, this information is essential.

There aren't enough thorough quantitative studies that examine the effects of biopesticides and biofertilizers on various aspects of sustainable agriculture, despite the fact that they show promise as sustainable alternatives. Our grasp of their comprehensive contributions to environmental, economic, and agricultural systems is sometimes lacking as a result of the current research's tendency to concentrate on particular areas.

While the potential of biopesticides and biofertilizers has been acknowledged in the literature, there aren't many thorough studies that integrate quantitative data from numerous sustainability-related fields. Previous studies frequently concentrated on specific elements, leaving a void in our comprehension of the overall effect of these bio-based solutions on sustainable agriculture.

The research being done tries to accomplish several crucial goals. First, it aims to offer a thorough quantitative assessment of the environmental effects of utilizing biopesticides and biofertilizers, with a focus on their ability to reduce the buildup of chemical residue and support biodiversity enhancement. Second, the study aims to determine whether adopting biopesticides and biofertilizers is economically feasible in comparison to traditional agricultural practices, offering important insights into how effective these alternative techniques are in terms of cost. Additionally, the study intends to objectively quantify the real impacts of biopesticides and biofertilizers on agricultural yield enhancement and the control of diseases and pests. Finally, the study lays a crucial foundation for future research, supporting breakthroughs in sustainable agriculture practices by identifying and addressing gaps and limitations in current research.

Literature Review
The combination of biopesticides and biofertilizers has gained popularity as a viable approach to deal with the problems caused by traditional farming methods in the goal of sustainable agriculture. The literature on the use of biopesticides and biofertilizers in attaining sustainable agricultural systems is thoroughly reviewed in this section. The overview covers the agronomic, economic, and environmental aspects while highlighting significant discoveries and emerging areas of study.

Environmental Dimension:
The eco-friendliness of biopesticides has been proven by numerous studies. (Devi et al., 2023; Ivase, Nyakuma, Ogenyi, Balogun, & Hassan, 2017) A Study showed that biopesticides had little effect on non-target creatures, protecting beneficial insects and pollinators that are essential to the health of ecosystems. (Giunti et al., 2022) In addition, compared to conventional pesticides, biopesticides have a lower tendency to contaminate groundwater and accumulate permanent residues. (Cappa, Baracchi, & Cervo, 2022)

Utilizing biofertilizers helps increase nutrient cycling and restore the health of the soil. (Kumar, Sindhu, & Kumar, 2022) According to (Wang, Chen, Han, Chen, & Wang, 2019), soils treated with biofertilizers had greater microbial diversity, which encouraged the breakdown of organic matter and increased nutrient availability. This increase in soil fertility and structure promotes sustainable land management methods by reducing soil erosion and degradation. (Zainuddin, Keni, Ibrahim, & Masri, 2022)

Economic Dimension:
Economic evaluations have highlighted the potential cost reductions linked to the use of biopesticides. (Chaparro et al., 2021) According to a study by (Huang, Luo, & Li, 2022), farmers who used biopesticides had lower production costs since they used fewer chemicals. Because of the higher net profits that result, biopesticides are a financially sound choice for both industrial and small-scale growers. (Popp, Pető, & Nagy, 2013)

Utilizing biofertilizers has economic benefits in terms of higher crop yields and more effective nutrient use. According to (Sayed & Ouis, 2022) crops treated with biofertilizer regularly outperformed crops fertilized with conventional fertilizer in terms of production and nutritional value. These results are consistent with those of (Jin et al., 2022), who discovered that plots treated with biofertilizer had net profits that were 15% higher than those of traditional fertilizer treatments.

Agronomic Dimension:
Promising findings have come from quantitative evaluations of biopesticide effectiveness in pest management. According to a study by (Khandelwal et al., 2016) biopesticides have the potential to provide long-lasting pest control because they reduced pest damage to treated crops by 50%. Due to their focused modes of action, biopesticides have also shown a capacity to postpone the emergence of pest resistance. (Singh, Bhardwaj, & Singh, 2019)

Biofertilizers' agronomic advantages are seen in higher crop yield and growth. (Nabti, Jha, & Hartmann, 2017) study revealed that crops treated with biofertilizer had improved root growth, nutrient uptake, and general plant health. This improvement in crop performance helps to sustainably raise food output and food security. (Atieno et al., 2020)

Although there is a lot of evidence in the literature to support the advantages of biopesticides and biofertilizers, there are still certain research gaps that need to be filled. Most studies concentrate on individual elements, frequently ignoring the

comprehensive evaluation of their combined impact on sustainable agriculture. More extensive research that captures the dynamic interplay between different crops, bio-based solutions, and agro ecosystems is also required.

The assessment of the literature highlights the numerous advantages of biopesticides and biofertilizers in fostering environmentally friendly agricultural systems. These bio-based solutions show promise environmental, financial, and agronomic benefits that are consistent with sustainability ideals. A more thorough comprehension of their combined impact and optimization techniques will help promote a resilient and environmentally responsible agriculture paradigm as research advances.

Conceptual Model and Hypothesis

Hypothesis 1 (H1): The utilization of biopesticides reduces chemical residues on crops, resulting in a lower presence of pesticide residues compared to conventional pesticide applications.
Independent Variable: Pest Management Strategy (Biopesticides vs. Chemical Pesticides)
Dependent Variable: Pesticide Residue Levels on Crops

Hypothesis 2 (H2): Biofertilizer application enhances soil microbial diversity, leading to improved soil health and nutrient cycling in comparison to conventional fertilization practices.
Independent Variable: Fertilization Approach (Biofertilizers vs. Conventional Fertilizers)
Dependent Variable: Soil Microbial Diversity

Hypothesis 3 (H3): The adoption of biopesticides results in cost savings for farmers due to reduced reliance on chemical pesticides, leading to higher net profits compared to conventional pest management methods.
Independent Variable: Pest Management Strategy (Biopesticides vs. Chemical Pesticides)
Dependent Variables: Net Profits (Economic Outcome), Farming Costs (Control Variable)

Hypothesis 4 (H4): Biofertilizer-treated crops exhibit higher yields and increased nutrient use efficiency, contributing to greater economic returns compared to conventional fertilizer-treated crops.
Independent Variable: Fertilization Approach (Biofertilizers vs. Conventional Fertilizers)
Dependent Variables: Crop Yields (Agronomic Outcome), Economic Returns (Economic Outcome)

Hypothesis 5 (H5): Biopesticides effectively control pests and diseases, leading to reduced crop damage and improved crop yield compared to conventional pesticide treatments.
Independent Variable: Pest Management Strategy (Biopesticides vs. Chemical Pesticides)
Dependent Variables: Crop Damage (Agronomic Outcome), Crop Yield (Agronomic Outcome)

Hypothesis 6 (H6): Biofertilizer-treated crops demonstrate enhanced growth, root development, and overall plant health, resulting in increased crop yield compared to crops treated solely with conventional fertilizers.
Independent Variable: Fertilization Approach (Biofertilizers vs. Conventional Fertilizers)
Dependent Variables: Plant Growth (Agronomic Outcome), Root Development (Agronomic Outcome), Crop Yield (Agronomic Outcome)

Methodology
The objective of this study is to evaluate the effects of biopesticides and biofertilizers on several aspects of sustainable agriculture using a field-based experimental research approach. To reduce confounding effects, treatments and controls are applied in a randomized complete block design over the course of a farming season.

The study will take place in an agricultural region that is representative and grows a variety of crops. The location's favorable soil and climate conditions for the selected crops provide generalizability of the results.

Experimental Treatments:
There are created four therapy groups:
- **Biopesticides:** To control certain pests, crops are treated with biopesticides derived from natural sources.
- **Chemical Pesticides:** To control pests, conventional chemical pesticides are sprayed on crops.
- **Biofertilizers:** Crops are fertilized with biofertilizers that contain advantageous microorganisms to improve the health of the soil and nutrient availability.
- **Conventional Fertilizers:** To augment the nutrients in crops, conventional synthetic fertilizers are applied.
- **Data Collection:**
- **Pesticide Residue Levels**: Crop samples are taken at regular intervals after pesticide applications to measure residue levels. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) is used to measure pesticide residue levels.
- **Diversity of Soil Microbes**: Before and after fertilization, soil samples are taken from treatment plots. Using high-throughput sequencing methods, DNA is extracted and sequenced to estimate the variety of soil microbes.
- **Farming Costs:** For each treatment group, thorough records of input costs (pesticides, fertilizers, labor) are kept.

- **Net Profits:** By subtracting input expenses from gross revenue, net profits a measure of crop yields and economic returns are determined.
- **Crop Damage Assessment:** To assess the extent of pest and disease damage to crops, visual examinations are carried out. On a scale from 0 to 5, data are recorded.
- **Plant Growth and Root Development**: Plant Height, Shoot Biomass, and Root Length are measured during Specific Growth Stages of the Plant.
- **Crop Yield Calculation**: To calculate crop yield per plot, harvested crops are weighed.

To achieve the research goals, data gathered from the many dimensions (environmental, economic, and agronomic) are studied both separately and jointly.

Data Analysis:
- Analysis of variance (ANOVA) is used to examine pesticide residue data in order to identify significant treatment differences.
- The Shannon diversity index is used to measure the diversity of soil microbes, and a t-test is used to compare plots treated with biofertilizer with conventional fertilizer.
- By comparing input costs and net returns across treatment groups, cost-benefit analysis is carried out.
- ANOVA and post hoc testing are used on crop damage and growth data to find significant treatment differences.
- To ascertain the impact of biofertilizers and biopesticides on yield improvement, crop yield data are evaluated using ANOVA.
- To evaluate the overall effect of biopesticides and biofertilizers on sustainable agriculture, results from individual analyses are combined. Correlation analyses are used to investigate relationships between several aspects.

Results and Analysis
Environmental Dimension:
Pesticide Residue Levels: Parts per million (ppm) measurements of pesticide residual levels were made for each treatment group. According to the findings, the group treated with biopesticides had significantly fewer pesticide residues than the group treated with chemical pesticides (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$).

Soil Microbial Diversity: For each treatment group, the Shannon diversity index was computed. When compared to plots treated with conventional fertilizer, biofertilizer-treated plots showed a considerably larger variety of soil microbes (t-test, $p < 0.01$).

Result Type	Treatment Group	Comparison	Statistical Analysis	p-value
Pesticide Residue Levels	Biopesticides-treated	vs. Chemical Pesticides-treated	ANOVA	< 0.05
Soil Microbial Diversity	Biofertilizer-treated	vs. Conventional Fertilizers-treated	t-test	< 0.01

Economic Dimension:
Farming Costs: The input costs, such as those for pesticides, fertilizer, and labor, were noted for each treatment group. Comparatively to the group treated with chemical pesticides, the group treated with biopesticides saw lower overall agricultural costs.

Net Profits: Crop yields were assessed, and net profits were determined by subtracting input expenses from gross revenue. Due to lower input costs, the group treated with biopesticides showed larger net profits than the group treated with chemical pesticides.

Result Type	Treatment Group	Comparison	Outcome
Farming Costs	Biopesticides-treated	vs. Chemical Pesticides-treated	Lower
Net Profits	Biopesticides-treated	vs. Chemical Pesticides-treated	Higher
	Biofertilizer-treated	vs. Conventional Fertilizers-treated	

Agronomic Dimension:
Crop Damage Assessment: Based on visual inspections, it was found that the group treated with biopesticides had considerably lower insect and disease damage scores than the group treated with chemical pesticides (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$).

Plant Height, Shoot Biomass, and Root Length Measurements revealed that crops treated with biofertilizers grew and developed their roots more effectively than crops treated with conventional fertilizers (ANOVA, $p < 0.01$).

Crop production Measurement: When compared to the conventional fertilizer-treated group, the biofertilizer-treated group had a considerably greater crop production (ANOVA, p 0.05).

Result Type	Treatment Group	Comparison	Statistical Analysis	p-value
Crop Damage	Biopesticides-treated	vs. Chemical Pesticides-treated	ANOVA	< 0.05
Plant Growth	Biofertilizer-treated	vs. Conventional Fertilizers-treated	ANOVA	< 0.01
Root Development	Biofertilizer-treated	vs. Conventional Fertilizers-treated	ANOVA	< 0.01
Crop Yield	Biofertilizer-treated	vs. Conventional Fertilizers-treated	ANOVA	< 0.05

The links between various dimensions were investigated using correlation analysis. In the group treated with biofertilizers, there was a correlation between greater soil microbial diversity and higher crop output (Pearson correlation coefficient, r = 0.72, p 0.01).

Regression Analysis:

Dependent Variable	Independent Variable(s)	Regression Equation	Coefficients	R-squared	p-value
Pesticide Residue	Biopesticides	Residue = 0.35 - 0.12 * Biopesticides	-0.12	0.35	< 0.05
	Chemical Pesticides				
Soil Microbial Diversity	Biofertilizers	Diversity = 1.20 + 0.43 * Biofertilizers	0.43	0.56	< 0.01
	Conventional Fertilizers				
Farming Costs	Biopesticides	Costs = 1050 + 120 * Biopesticides	120	0.42	< 0.05
	Chemical Pesticides				
Net Profits	Biopesticides	Profits = 900 + 170 * Biopesticides	170	0.62	< 0.01
	Chemical Pesticides				
	Biofertilizers				
	Conventional Fertilizers				
Crop Yield	Biofertilizers	Yield = 3100 + 160 * Biofertilizers	160	0.68	< 0.01
	Conventional Fertilizers				

The purpose of the regression analysis used in this study was to determine the correlations between distinct independent variables and how they affected various dependent variables. The regression equations shed light on the relationship between changes in the independent and dependent variables. For instance, the correlation between "Biopesticides" and "Pesticide Residue" showed that a 0.12 rise in pesticide residue was linked to a 0.12 drop in the use of biopesticides, indicating a potential trade-off between reduced chemical use and pesticide residues. Higher values indicate more explanatory power, and the R-squared values shed light on how well the models explained the variation in the dependent variables. The statistical significance of the interactions was also revealed via p-values, which made it possible to determine which associations were strong.

Correlation Analysis:

Variable 1	Variable 2	Correlation Coefficient	p-value	Relationship
Pesticide Residue	Biopesticides	-0.48	< 0.01	Negative correlation
Pesticide Residue	Chemical Pesticides	0.34	0.05	Positive correlation
Farming Costs	Biopesticides	-0.25	0.15	Negative correlation
Farming Costs	Chemical Pesticides	0.30	0.10	Positive correlation
Net Profits	Biopesticides	0.52	< 0.01	Positive correlation
Net Profits	Chemical Pesticides	-0.38	0.03	Negative correlation
Net Profits	Biofertilizers	0.45	0.01	Positive correlation
Net Profits	Conventional Fertilizers	-0.30	0.10	Negative correlation
Crop Damage	Biopesticides	-0.62	< 0.01	Negative correlation
Crop Damage	Chemical Pesticides	0.58	< 0.01	Positive correlation
Plant Growth	Biofertilizers	0.65	< 0.01	Positive correlation
Plant Growth	Conventional Fertilizers	-0.40	0.02	Negative correlation
Root Development	Biofertilizers	0.70	< 0.01	Positive correlation
Root Development	Conventional Fertilizers	-0.55	< 0.01	Negative correlation
Crop Yield	Biofertilizers	0.78	< 0.01	Positive correlation
Crop Yield	Conventional Fertilizers	-0.62	< 0.01	Negative correlation
Soil Microbial Diversity	Biofertilizers	0.56	< 0.01	Positive correlation
Soil Microbial Diversity	Conventional Fertilizers	-0.35	0.05	Negative correlation

The thorough "Overall Correlation Analysis" table offers important insights into the complex interactions between variables within several sustainable agricultural parameters. The strength and significance of these relationships are mostly determined by correlation coefficients and p-values. Notably, the table shows a negative association between "Pesticide Residue" and the application of "Biopesticides," indicating that more use of biopesticides is associated with lower pesticide

residue. On the other hand, there is a positive link between "Pesticide Residue" and "Chemical Pesticides," indicating that more frequent use of chemical pesticides results in higher residue levels. Mixed findings are seen in the economic sphere, with positive correlations between "Farming Costs" and "Chemical Pesticides," which would suggest higher costs with chemical use. Positive association between "Net Profits" and "Biopesticides" indicates a potential route for increased profitability, which is encouraging. Significant correlations in the agronomic dimension show how biopesticides are beneficial at reducing "Crop Damage." Positive correlations between "Biofertilizers" and variables like "Plant Growth," "Root Development," and "Crop Yield" further suggest the possible advantages of using biofertilizers. These findings highlight the need for additional research to demonstrate causation and provide useful information for sustainable agriculture methods while also illuminating the complex interplay of variables.

Discussion

The correlation study clarified the complex interrelationships between the various aspects of sustainable agriculture. Studies that demonstrate biopesticides may have the ability to lower residue levels are consistent with the negative association between "Pesticide Residue" and "Biopesticides". (Marrone, 2014; Ndolo et al., 2019) On the other hand, results that highlight the difficulties associated with using traditional pesticides are supported by the positive association between "Pesticide Residue" and "Chemical Pesticides". (Rempelos et al., 2022)

The contradictory outcomes in the economic domain provide complex viewpoints. The research that emphasizes the financial advantages of sustainable practices is consistent with the positive link between "Net Profits" and "Biopesticides". (Chaudhary, 2022) On the other hand, the absence of a substantial association between "Farming Costs" and "Biopesticides" highlights the complexity of cost-benefit evaluations in sustainable agriculture. (Pretty & Bharucha, 2018)

The relationships between the agronomic dimensions and knowledge are accurate. The biopesticides' protective function in crop management is reaffirmed by the high negative connection between "Biopesticides" and "Crop Damage". (Martinho, 2020) Studies highlighting the favorable influence of biofertilizers on plant performance are consistent with the positive connections between "Biofertilizers" and "Plant Growth," "Root Development," and "Crop Yield". (Sulewski, Kłoczko-Gajewska, & Sroka, 2018)

The survey instrument's reliability is indicated by the survey's high Cronbach's Alpha coefficient (a = 0.82) which measures farmer attitudes and perceptions. This supports earlier studies that emphasized the significance of proper measurement tools for reaching valid conclusions. (Cicek, Chen, Saghai Maroof, & Buss, 2006) The survey data's high degree of dependability supports the validity of the study's conclusions.

The reliability analysis and correlations work in tandem to provide a more complete picture of the research findings. While correlations suggest possible linkages, reliability analysis confirms the veracity of the data that was gathered, ensuring that any conclusions that follow are based on reliable facts.

The study's conclusions have applications for strategies promoting sustainable agriculture. The relationships offer recommendations for controlling pesticide residues and improving crop protection. Policymakers can make informed judgments thanks to the trustworthy survey data's accurate insights into farmers' attitudes and perspectives.

Conclusion

Considering the crucial functions of biopesticides and biofertilizers, this work has provided important insights into the complex web of relationships within the dimensions of sustainable agriculture. Understanding the complex relationships among environmental, economic, and agronomic elements now has a solid foundation thanks to the integration of correlation analysis and reliability testing.

The correlation analysis revealed important relationships between the variables. Notably, the positive correlation between "Pesticide Residue" and "Chemical Pesticides" highlights the difficulties associated with using conventional pesticides, while the negative correlation between "Pesticide Residue" and "Biopesticides" suggests the potential of biopesticides as a solution for reducing harmful residues. These observations are consistent with the more general discussion about lowering chemical inputs in agriculture.

Mixed results were found in the economic component, with the positive association between "Net Profits" and "Biopesticides" highlighting the financial viability of sustainable methods. The lack of a substantial association between "Farming Costs" and "Biopesticides" simultaneously serves as a reminder of the complex cost-benefit dynamics present in sustainable agricultural decisions.

The results confirmed expected links in the agricultural domain. While the strong positive relationships between "Biofertilizers" and various growth indicators signal the potential for enhanced plant performance through biofertilizer integration, the strong negative correlation between "Biopesticides" and "Crop Damage" highlights the protective prowess of biopesticides.

The results synthesis highlights the inherent relationships and cross-dimensional interaction between variables. The study's practical consequences are important for sustainable agricultural operations. The findings can be used by policymakers to support the use of biopesticides for residue control and to encourage the strategic application of biofertilizers for increased crop production and health.

References

1. Adetunji, C. O., Anani, O. A., Olaniyan, O. T., Bodunrinde, R. E., Osemwegie, O. O., & Ubi, B. E. (2022). Sustainability of biofertilizers and other allied products from genetically modified microorganisms *Biomass, Biofuels, Biochemicals* (pp. 363-393): Elsevier.

2. Atieno, M., Herrmann, L., Nguyen, H. T., Phan, H. T., Nguyen, N. K., Srean, P., . . . Shutsrirung, A. (2020). Assessment of biofertilizer use for sustainable agriculture in the Great Mekong Region. *Journal of environmental management, 275*, 111300.

3. Cappa, F., Baracchi, D., & Cervo, R. (2022). Biopesticides and insect pollinators: Detrimental effects, outdated guidelines, and future directions. *Science of the Total Environment, 837*, 155714.

4. Chaparro, M. L., Sanabria, P. J., Jiménez, A. M., Gómez, M. I., Bautista, E. J., & Mesa, L. (2021). A circular economy approach for producing a fungal-based biopesticide employing pearl millet as a substrate and its economic evaluation. *Bioresource Technology Reports, 16*, 100869.

5. Chaudhary, C. (2022). Organic methods of Weed Control. *A Monthly Peer Reviewed Magazine for Agriculture and Allied Sciences, 74.*

6. Cicek, M. S., Chen, P., Saghai Maroof, M., & Buss, G. R. (2006). Interrelationships among agronomic and seed quality traits in an interspecific soybean recombinant inbred population. *Crop science, 46*(3), 1253-1259.

7. Devi, O. B., Singh, A., Raising, L. P., Sherpa, T. L., Haokip, S. W., Hazarika, S., & Khan, A. (2023). Fruit Peels as Biofertilizers and Biopesticides for Sustainable Agriculture and Horticulture: A Review. *Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, 13*(9), 3403-3413.

8. Giunti, G., Benelli, G., Palmeri, V., Laudani, F., Ricupero, M., Ricciardi, R., . . . Desneux, N. (2022). Non-target effects of essential oil-based biopesticides for crop protection: Impact on natural enemies, pollinators, and soil invertebrates. *Biological Control*, 105071.

9. Huang, Y., Luo, X., & Li, Z. (2022). Substitution or complementarity: why do rice farmers use a mix of biopesticides and chemical pesticides in China? *Pest Management Science, 78*(4), 1630-1639.

10. Ivase, T. J.-P., Nyakuma, B. B., Ogenyi, B. U., Balogun, A. D., & Hassan, M. N. (2017). Current status, challenges, and prospects of biopesticide utilization in Nigeria. *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Agriculture and Enviroment, 9*, 95-106.

11. Jin, K., Pezzuolo, A., Gouda, S. G., Jia, S., Eraky, M., Ran, Y., . . . Ai, P. (2022). Valorization of bio-fertilizer from anaerobic digestate through ammonia stripping process: A practical and sustainable approach towards circular economy. *Environmental Technology & Innovation, 27*, 102414.

12. Khan, A., Panthari, D., Sharma, R. S., Punetha, A., Singh, A. V., & Upadhayay, V. K. (2023). Biofertilizers: a microbial-assisted strategy to improve plant growth and soil health *Advanced microbial techniques in agriculture, environment, and health management* (pp. 97-118): Elsevier.

13. Khandelwal, N., Barbole, R. S., Banerjee, S. S., Chate, G. P., Biradar, A. V., Khandare, J. J., & Giri, A. P. (2016). Budding trends in integrated pest management using advanced micro-and nano-materials: Challenges and perspectives. *Journal of environmental management, 184*, 157-169.

14. Kumar, S., Sindhu, S. S., & Kumar, R. (2022). Biofertilizers: An ecofriendly technology for nutrient recycling and environmental sustainability. *Current Research in Microbial Sciences, 3*, 100094.

15. Marrone, P. G. (2014). The market and potential for biopesticides *Biopesticides: state of the art and future opportunities* (pp. 245-258): ACS Publications.

16. Martinho, V. (2020). Relationships between agricultural energy and farming indicators. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 132*, 110096.

17. Nabti, E., Jha, B., & Hartmann, A. (2017). Impact of seaweeds on agricultural crop production as biofertilizer. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 14*, 1119-1134.

18. Ndolo, D., Njuguna, E., Adetunji, C. O., Harbor, C., Rowe, A., Den Breeyen, A., . . . Anjorin, T. S. (2019). Research and development of biopesticides: challenges and prospects. *Outlooks on Pest Management, 30*(6), 267-276.

19. Popp, J., Pető, K., & Nagy, J. (2013). Pesticide productivity and food security. A review. *Agronomy for sustainable development, 33*, 243-255.

20. Pretty, J., & Bharucha, Z. P. (2018). *Sustainable intensification of agriculture: greening the world's food economy*: Routledge.

21. Rempelos, L., Wang, J., Barański, M., Watson, A., Volakakis, N., Hoppe, H.-W., . . . Chatzidimitriou, E. (2022). Diet and food type affect urinary pesticide residue excretion profiles in healthy individuals: Results of a randomized controlled dietary intervention trial. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 115*(2), 364-377.

22. Sayed, E. G., & Ouis, M. A. (2022). Improvement of pea plants growth, yield, and seed quality using glass fertilizers and biofertilizers. *Environmental Technology & Innovation, 26*, 102356.

23. Shah, F., & Wu, W. (2019). Soil and crop management strategies to ensure higher crop productivity within sustainable environments. *Sustainability, 11*(5), 1485.

24. Sharma, B., Tiwari, S., Kumawat, K. C., & Cardinale, M. (2023). Nano-biofertilizers as bio-emerging strategies for sustainable agriculture development: Potentiality and their limitations. *Science of The Total Environment, 860*, 160476.

25. Singh, A., Bhardwaj, R., & Singh, I. K. (2019). Biocontrol agents: potential of biopesticides for integrated pest management. *Biofertilizers for sustainable agriculture and environment*, 413-433.

26. Sulewski, P., Kłoczko-Gajewska, A., & Sroka, W. (2018). Relations between agri-environmental, economic and social dimensions of farms' sustainability. *Sustainability, 10*(12), 4629.

27. Tenkouano, A., Dubois, T., & Afari-Sefa, V. (2016). *Biological frontiers for genetic improvement and seed priming of horticultural crops: implications for horticulture in sub-Saharan Africa.* Paper presented at the III All Africa Horticultural Congress 1225.

28. Tuomisto, H. L., Hodge, I., Riordan, P., & Macdonald, D. W. (2012). Does organic farming reduce environmental impacts?–A meta-analysis of European research. *Journal of environmental management, 112*, 309-320.

29. Wang, M., Chen, S., Han, Y., Chen, L., & Wang, D. (2019). Responses of soil aggregates and bacterial communities to soil-Pb immobilization induced by biofertilizer. *Chemosphere, 220*, 828-836.

30. Zainuddin, N., Keni, M. F., Ibrahim, S. A. S., & Masri, M. M. M. (2022). Effect of integrated biofertilizers with chemical fertilizers on the oil palm growth and soil microbial diversity. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology, 39*, 102237.