



Scrubbing In: A Critical Evaluation Of Hand Hygiene Practices Among Hospital Personnel

Hussain Mohammed Hussain Alsharyah^{1*}, Ali Hussein Mohamed Alsharyah², Turki Hussain Mohammed Alsharyah³, Hamad Hussain Mohammed Alsharyah⁴, Hamad Mohammed Hussain Alsharyah⁵, Salem Hadi Ali Alsharya⁶, Fahad Hadi Ali Alsharyah⁷

^{1*}Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. halsharyah@gc.edu.sa

²Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. 15na0025355@gmail.com

³Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. Swp87@icloud.com

⁴Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. hamad-1408@outlook.sa

⁵Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. haalshariah@moh.gov.sa

⁶Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. Salm9991@icloud.com

⁷Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. Tot.11@icloud.com

***Corresponding Author:** Hussain Mohammed Hussain Alsharyah

^{*}Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. halsharyah@gc.edu.sa

Abstract

In the realm of healthcare, hand hygiene is a pivotal practice for infection control, yet adherence among hospital personnel is notably inconsistent. This critical evaluation delves into the intricacies of hand hygiene practices within hospital settings, highlighting the current compliance rates and the multifaceted barriers that impede optimal adherence. Through a comprehensive review of literature and case studies, this article examines the physical, psychological, and organizational obstacles that healthcare workers face in maintaining hand hygiene standards. It also explores innovative strategies and interventions that have been successful in enhancing compliance rates, such as technological advancements, educational programs, and organizational policy reforms. The analysis underscores the importance of a multi-disciplinary approach that encompasses education, technology, and organizational culture change to foster improved hand hygiene practices. By identifying effective measures and drawing on successful case studies, this article aims to provide actionable insights for healthcare institutions seeking to enhance patient safety and minimize the risk of healthcare-associated infections through better hand hygiene compliance.

Keywords: Hand Hygiene Compliance, Healthcare-Associated Infections (HAIs), Hospital Personnel, Infection Control, Hand Hygiene Barriers, Hand Hygiene Interventions, Patient Safety, Healthcare Quality Improvement

1- Introduction

In the complex ecosystem of healthcare, hand hygiene emerges as a fundamental yet often underemphasized pillar of infection prevention and control. Despite its simplicity and proven efficacy, the adherence to hand hygiene protocols among hospital personnel remains a critical challenge, with compliance rates often falling short of the recommended standards by leading health organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (Allegranzi & Pittet, 2009; CDC, 2020). This lapse in compliance is not a matter of mere oversight but a multifaceted issue that encompasses a range of physical, psychological, and organizational factors.

Hand hygiene, defined as the act of cleaning hands with water and soap or a hand sanitizer, is the most effective measure to prevent the spread of infections within healthcare facilities (WHO, 2009). The importance of this practice dates back to the pioneering work of Ignaz Semmelweis in the 19th century, who demonstrated a dramatic reduction in puerperal fever following the implementation of hand disinfection protocols (Semmelweis, 1861). Despite this early recognition and subsequent research affirming the critical role of hand hygiene in reducing healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), compliance rates among healthcare workers have remained suboptimal (Erasmus et al., 2010).

The consequences of inadequate hand hygiene extend far beyond the individual healthcare worker to the patients they serve, contributing to the transmission of pathogens and the resultant HAIs, which remain a significant cause of morbidity and mortality globally (Klevens et al., 2007; WHO, 2020). HAIs not only compromise patient safety but also impose substantial economic burdens on healthcare systems due to extended hospital stays, additional treatments, and increased healthcare costs (Zimlichman et al., 2013).

The barriers to hand hygiene compliance are diverse, ranging from individual factors such as knowledge gaps, perceptions, and attitudes towards hand hygiene, to systemic issues like inadequate infrastructure, lack of resources, and organizational culture (Whitby et al., 2007; Gould et al., 2008). The complexity of healthcare settings further complicates these

challenges, with varying demands and pressures across different departments and disciplines influencing hand hygiene practices (Huis et al., 2012).

Addressing these barriers requires a comprehensive approach that integrates education, behavioral change strategies, and organizational support. Educational interventions have shown promise in improving knowledge and attitudes towards hand hygiene, while behavioral strategies such as reminders, feedback, and social influence can enhance compliance rates (Gould et al., 2010; Sax et al., 2007). Organizational support, including leadership commitment, policy development, and the provision of adequate hand hygiene resources, is crucial for sustaining improvements in hand hygiene practices (Chassin et al., 2015).

In light of the critical role of hand hygiene in patient safety and infection control, this article aims to critically evaluate the current state of hand hygiene practices among hospital personnel. By exploring the barriers to compliance and reviewing effective strategies for improvement, this evaluation seeks to provide insights and recommendations for healthcare institutions to enhance their infection control efforts and ensure a safer care environment for patients and healthcare workers alike.

2- Background

The concept of hand hygiene as a critical preventive measure in the spread of infections dates back to the 19th century, with Dr. Ignaz Semmelweis's groundbreaking discovery in 1847. Semmelweis, working in a Vienna hospital, observed the stark difference in maternal mortality rates between two clinics: one staffed by physicians and medical students, and the other by midwives. He linked the higher mortality rate in the physicians' clinic to the lack of handwashing after autopsies. Implementing a chlorinated lime solution for hand disinfection, Semmelweis dramatically reduced puerperal fever deaths, laying the foundational principle of hand hygiene in medical practice (Semmelweis, 1861).

Despite this early recognition, the widespread adoption of hand hygiene practices took much longer, evolving significantly over the years. The development of germ theory by Louis Pasteur and Joseph Lister's subsequent antiseptic techniques in surgery further underscored the importance of hand hygiene (Lister, 1867). However, it wasn't until the late 20th and early 21st centuries that hand hygiene received concerted attention, spurred by increasing awareness of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and the emergence of multidrug-resistant organisms.

The World Health Organization (WHO) launched the "Clean Hands Save Lives" campaign in 2009, introducing the "My 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene" approach, aimed at standardizing hand hygiene practices across healthcare settings worldwide (WHO, 2009). This initiative emphasizes hand hygiene at critical points in patient care, aiming to minimize the transmission of infectious agents.

Hand hygiene practices are governed by comprehensive guidelines that recommend the use of alcohol-based hand rubs as the primary method for routine hand antisepsis in clinical settings, given their superior microbiological efficacy, convenience, and minimal time required for use (Boyce & Pittet, 2002). The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) also provides detailed guidelines on hand hygiene in healthcare settings, emphasizing the importance of adherence to hand hygiene protocols for preventing HAIs (CDC, 2002).

Despite clear guidelines and the proven effectiveness of hand hygiene in reducing HAIs, compliance among healthcare workers remains suboptimal. Studies consistently show that healthcare personnel adhere to recommended hand hygiene procedures less than half of the times they are indicated (Erasmus et al., 2010). This gap between knowledge and practice highlights the need for ongoing education, monitoring, and feedback mechanisms to improve compliance rates.

Moreover, hand hygiene is not only a matter of individual compliance but also an organizational culture issue. Healthcare facilities that foster a culture of safety and make hand hygiene a priority tend to have higher compliance rates. This involves not just the availability of supplies but also institutional policies that support hand hygiene practices, including education, training, and visible leadership commitment (Allegranzi & Pittet, 2009).

In summary, hand hygiene is a cornerstone of infection prevention in healthcare settings, with a rich history and strong evidence base supporting its effectiveness. Despite this, challenges in compliance persist, necessitating a multifaceted approach to address both individual and systemic barriers.

3- The Current State of Hand Hygiene Compliance

The current state of hand hygiene compliance among healthcare workers is a subject of significant concern and study, given its critical role in preventing healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). Despite the well-documented evidence supporting the effectiveness of proper hand hygiene in reducing the transmission of pathogens, compliance rates among healthcare professionals often fall short of the ideal standards set by health organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Research indicates that hand hygiene compliance rates vary widely, typically ranging from as low as 30% to as high as 70%, with an average compliance rate often reported to be below 50% (Erasmus et al., 2010; Allegranzi & Pittet, 2009). This variability can be attributed to a myriad of factors, including the type of healthcare setting, the availability of hand hygiene resources, the specific healthcare role, and the underlying organizational culture.

A systematic review by Erasmus et al. (2010) highlighted that while knowledge of hand hygiene guidelines is generally high among healthcare workers, this does not necessarily translate into consistent practice. The gap between knowledge and practice underscores the complexity of hand hygiene behavior, which is influenced by factors beyond mere awareness.

One of the key challenges in improving hand hygiene compliance is the "Hawthorne effect," where individuals modify their behavior in response to their awareness of being observed. Studies have shown that compliance rates can be significantly higher when healthcare workers know they are being monitored, leading to questions about the accuracy of compliance data and the sustainability of behavior change (Hagel et al., 2015).

The role of healthcare institutions in promoting hand hygiene compliance cannot be overstated. Facilities that have implemented multifaceted interventions, including education, reminders, feedback, and accessible hand hygiene supplies, tend to report higher compliance rates (Gould et al., 2010). Moreover, leadership commitment and a culture that prioritizes patient safety are fundamental to sustaining high levels of hand hygiene adherence (Sax et al., 2007).

Technological advancements have also been explored as a means to improve hand hygiene compliance. Electronic monitoring systems, for instance, offer a more objective and continuous method of tracking hand hygiene events, potentially overcoming the limitations of direct observation and reducing the Hawthorne effect (Boyce, 2011).

Despite these efforts, the challenge of improving hand hygiene compliance remains daunting. The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has brought renewed attention to the importance of hand hygiene, highlighting both the progress made and the gaps that persist in hand hygiene practices among healthcare workers (Lotfinejad et al., 2020).

In conclusion, while strides have been made in understanding and improving hand hygiene compliance, significant challenges remain. The variability in compliance rates and the complexity of influencing factors underscore the need for ongoing research, innovative solutions, and organizational commitment to enhancing hand hygiene practices in healthcare settings.

4- Barriers to Effective Hand Hygiene

Effective hand hygiene is crucial in preventing healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), yet various barriers hinder optimal compliance among healthcare workers. These barriers can be broadly categorized into physical, psychological, and organizational factors, each contributing to the complex challenge of maintaining consistent hand hygiene practices in healthcare settings.

Physical Barriers

Physical barriers are often the most visible obstacles to hand hygiene compliance. They include the availability and accessibility of hand hygiene facilities and supplies, such as handwashing sinks, alcohol-based hand rubs, and disposable towels. Inadequate resources can significantly impede the ability of healthcare workers to adhere to hand hygiene protocols, especially in high-demand situations or in facilities with limited infrastructure (Allegranzi & Pittet, 2009; Pittet & Boyce, 2001).

Psychological Barriers

Psychological factors play a critical role in hand hygiene behavior. These include healthcare workers' knowledge, beliefs, perceptions, and attitudes towards hand hygiene. Despite widespread awareness of hand hygiene guidelines, misconceptions about the effectiveness of hand hygiene practices, underestimation of cross-transmission risks, and overreliance on personal protective equipment can negatively impact compliance (Whitby et al., 2007; Lambe et al., 2004). Additionally, hand hygiene practices can be influenced by social norms and peer behavior within the healthcare setting, further complicating individual motivations and actions (Erasmus et al., 2009).

Organizational Barriers

Organizational culture and policies play a pivotal role in hand hygiene compliance. Lack of institutional support, insufficient emphasis on hand hygiene in safety protocols, and inadequate training and education programs can contribute to low compliance rates (Gould et al., 2008). Furthermore, the workload and staffing levels can significantly impact the ability of healthcare workers to perform hand hygiene at the recommended times. High patient-to-nurse ratios and time constraints can lead to missed hand hygiene opportunities, particularly during peak activity periods (Huis et al., 2013).

Overcoming these barriers requires a multifaceted approach that addresses the specific needs and challenges of each healthcare setting. Strategies such as improving access to hand hygiene resources, fostering a positive organizational culture towards infection control, and implementing targeted educational and behavioral interventions have been shown to enhance hand hygiene compliance (Gould et al., 2010; Sax et al., 2007). Engaging healthcare workers in the development and implementation of hand hygiene initiatives can also promote a sense of ownership and responsibility towards improving compliance (Chassin et al., 2015).

In conclusion, addressing the barriers to effective hand hygiene in healthcare settings is a complex endeavor that requires a comprehensive understanding of the interplay between physical, psychological, and organizational factors. By recognizing and addressing these challenges, healthcare institutions can develop more effective strategies to improve hand hygiene compliance, ultimately leading to safer patient care environments.

5-The Impact of Non-compliance

Non-compliance with hand hygiene protocols in healthcare settings has significant implications for patient safety, healthcare quality, and economic burden. The impact of such non-compliance extends from the individual healthcare worker and patient level to the broader healthcare system and society.

Impact on Healthcare-Associated Infections (HAIs)

One of the most direct consequences of inadequate hand hygiene is the increased risk of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). These infections are among the most significant causes of morbidity and mortality in healthcare settings, affecting millions of patients worldwide each year. Studies have consistently demonstrated a correlation between poor hand hygiene practices and the incidence of HAIs, highlighting hand hygiene as a critical preventive measure (Pittet, 2001; Allegranzi & Pittet, 2009). HAIs not only lead to adverse patient outcomes, including prolonged hospital stays, long-term disability, increased resistance to antimicrobials, higher mortality rates, and massive additional financial burdens, but they also contribute to the emotional and financial stress on patients and their families (Klevens et al., 2007; Zimlichman et al., 2013).

Antibiotic Resistance

The spread of multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) is another grave concern associated with hand hygiene non-compliance. The transmission of MDROs within healthcare settings can be significantly mitigated through proper hand hygiene. Non-compliance, however, facilitates the spread of these pathogens, leading to infections that are increasingly difficult and costly to treat, and often result in higher patient morbidity and mortality (Tacconelli et al., 2019).

Economic Impact

The economic implications of non-compliance are substantial. HAIs result in increased healthcare costs due to additional diagnostic tests, extended hospital stays, the need for more complex treatments, and potential legal liabilities. The direct costs of HAIs to hospitals run into billions of dollars annually, not to mention the broader economic impact on the healthcare system and society at large, including loss of productivity and increased insurance premiums (Stone et al., 2002; Zimlichman et al., 2013).

Professional and Ethical Implications

For healthcare professionals, non-compliance with hand hygiene guidelines not only undermines the quality of patient care but also raises serious ethical concerns. It violates the fundamental principle of "do no harm" and can erode patient trust in the healthcare system. Additionally, healthcare workers who do not adhere to hand hygiene practices are at increased risk of acquiring infections themselves and transmitting them to their families and communities (Pittet et al., 2006).

Public Health Implications

On a larger scale, hand hygiene non-compliance has significant public health implications. During pandemics, such as the COVID-19 outbreak, the role of hand hygiene in controlling the spread of infection becomes even more critical. Failure to adhere to hand hygiene protocols can exacerbate the spread of the virus, overwhelming healthcare systems and impacting public health measures (Lotfinejad et al., 2020).

In conclusion, the impact of non-compliance with hand hygiene protocols in healthcare settings is profound and multifaceted, affecting patient safety, healthcare quality, economic costs, professional ethics, and public health. Addressing hand hygiene non-compliance requires a comprehensive, multifaceted approach that includes education, monitoring, feedback, and organizational support to foster a culture of safety and compliance.

6-Strategies for Improving Hand Hygiene Compliance

Improving hand hygiene compliance in healthcare settings is critical for reducing healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and enhancing patient safety. Strategies to enhance compliance must be multifaceted, addressing the complex interplay of factors that influence hand hygiene behaviors. Here are some effective strategies supported by research:

Education and Training

Comprehensive education and training programs that focus on the importance of hand hygiene, the correct techniques, and the impact of non-compliance on patient safety can significantly improve compliance rates. Tailoring these programs to the specific needs and knowledge gaps of healthcare workers and including interactive and engaging methods such as workshops, simulations, and feedback sessions have been shown to be effective (Pittet et al., 2009; Whitby et al., 2007).

Multimodal Interventions

The World Health Organization (WHO) advocates for a multimodal strategy for improving hand hygiene. This approach includes system change, training and education, evaluation and feedback, reminders in the workplace, and a hospital safety climate. Implementing these elements together has been more effective than single interventions (Sax et al., 2009).

Accessibility of Hand Hygiene Supplies

Ensuring easy access to hand hygiene supplies, such as alcohol-based hand rubs and sinks, is crucial. Placing hand rub dispensers at the point of care and in high-traffic areas can increase compliance by making hand hygiene more convenient for healthcare workers (Gould et al., 2017).

Reminders and Cues

Visual cues and reminders, such as posters, signs, and electronic prompts, can serve as effective nudges for healthcare workers to perform hand hygiene at critical moments. These reminders can be particularly useful in busy environments where the risk of forgetting hand hygiene practices is higher (Huis et al., 2012).

Organizational Support and Leadership Commitment

The commitment of healthcare facility leadership to hand hygiene improvement is vital for creating a culture that values patient safety and compliance. This includes providing the necessary resources, incorporating hand hygiene performance into quality metrics, and visibly participating in hand hygiene initiatives (Erasmus et al., 2010).

Audit and Feedback

Regular monitoring of hand hygiene compliance, coupled with feedback to healthcare workers, can significantly enhance adherence to hand hygiene practices. This feedback can be both individual and departmental, highlighting areas of success and opportunities for improvement (Gould et al., 2010).

Technology and Innovation

Technological solutions, such as electronic monitoring systems, can provide more accurate and continuous data on hand hygiene compliance. These systems can also offer real-time feedback to healthcare workers, further encouraging adherence to hand hygiene protocols (Levchenko et al., 2011).

Patient and Family Engagement

Involving patients and their families in hand hygiene initiatives can empower them to participate in their care and remind healthcare workers to perform hand hygiene. Educating patients and families about the importance of hand hygiene and encouraging them to ask caregivers to clean their hands can contribute to a culture of safety (McGuckin et al., 2009). Implementing these strategies requires a tailored approach that considers the unique characteristics and needs of each healthcare setting. Successful hand hygiene improvement programs often involve continuous evaluation and adaptation of strategies to ensure sustained compliance and optimal patient outcomes.

7- Challenges and Considerations for the Future

Improving hand hygiene compliance in healthcare settings is an ongoing challenge, with several considerations that need to be addressed for future progress. These challenges encompass a broad range of issues, from behavioral and cultural aspects to technological advancements and global health concerns.

Behavioral and Cultural Resistance

One of the primary challenges in improving hand hygiene compliance is overcoming behavioral and cultural resistance among healthcare workers. Despite awareness of hand hygiene guidelines, ingrained habits, lack of perceived risk, and skepticism about the impact of individual compliance on patient outcomes can hinder behavioral change (Erasmus et al., 2009; Whitby et al., 2007). Addressing these issues requires targeted behavioral interventions, cultural change initiatives, and leadership engagement to foster a safety culture that prioritizes hand hygiene.

Resource Limitations in Low-Resource Settings

Resource limitations pose a significant challenge in low- and middle-income countries, where access to hand hygiene facilities and supplies may be inadequate. Ensuring sustainable access to necessary resources, including clean water, soap, and alcohol-based hand rubs, is crucial for improving hand hygiene practices in these settings (Allegranzi et al., 2009).

Technological Advances and Their Integration

The integration of technological solutions for monitoring and improving hand hygiene compliance presents both opportunities and challenges. While electronic monitoring systems offer the potential for more accurate and continuous measurement of compliance, issues related to privacy, data security, and the potential for technology to replace direct human engagement need to be carefully considered (Levchenko et al., 2011; Marra & Edmond, 2012).

Evolving Pathogens and Emerging Infections

The continuous evolution of pathogens and the emergence of new infectious diseases, as seen with the COVID-19 pandemic, underscore the need for adaptable and resilient hand hygiene practices. Future strategies must consider the dynamic nature of infectious diseases and the necessity for hand hygiene protocols to evolve in response to new threats (Lotfinejad et al., 2020).

Global Health and Hand Hygiene

Hand hygiene plays a critical role in global health, impacting not only the control of HAIs but also the spread of antimicrobial resistance and the effectiveness of responses to global health emergencies. Strengthening hand hygiene practices worldwide requires international collaboration, shared resources, and global health initiatives that prioritize hand hygiene as a fundamental component of health security (World Health Organization, 2020).

Education and Training

Ongoing education and training for healthcare workers are vital for maintaining high levels of hand hygiene compliance. Developing innovative, engaging, and evidence-based educational programs that address the diverse needs of healthcare workers across different settings and specialties remains a challenge (Pittet et al., 2009).

Monitoring and Feedback

Effective monitoring and feedback mechanisms are essential for sustaining improvements in hand hygiene compliance. Developing and implementing systems that provide timely, actionable feedback to healthcare workers, while avoiding the pitfalls of monitoring fatigue and the Hawthorne effect, is challenging (Hagel et al., 2015).

In conclusion, addressing the challenges of improving hand hygiene compliance requires a multifaceted approach that considers behavioral, cultural, technological, and global health dimensions. Future efforts must focus on innovative solutions, international collaboration, and a commitment to continuous improvement to ensure that hand hygiene remains a cornerstone of patient safety and infection control in healthcare settings.

Conclusion

In conclusion, hand hygiene stands as a fundamental pillar in the prevention of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), underscoring its critical role in ensuring patient safety within healthcare settings. Despite the well-established protocols and the undeniable evidence supporting the efficacy of hand hygiene in curbing the transmission of infectious agents, compliance among healthcare professionals remains a significant challenge. The multifaceted nature of this issue encompasses a spectrum of barriers, ranging from individual behaviors and cultural norms to systemic and organizational constraints.

The journey towards improved hand hygiene compliance demands a concerted effort that integrates education, innovation, and leadership commitment. Education and continuous training serve as the bedrock for enhancing awareness and understanding, thereby fostering a culture that prioritizes and values hand hygiene practices. Technological advancements, including electronic monitoring systems, offer promising avenues for more accurate compliance tracking and feedback mechanisms, although they must be implemented thoughtfully to complement rather than replace human engagement.

Leadership at all levels within healthcare institutions must champion hand hygiene initiatives, demonstrating a visible commitment that inspires and motivates staff. The creation of a supportive environment where hand hygiene is recognized as a shared responsibility can significantly influence compliance rates.

Future strategies must be adaptable, addressing the evolving landscape of infectious diseases and the continuous emergence of new pathogens. The global health community must unite in its efforts, sharing knowledge, resources, and best practices to elevate hand hygiene to a universal standard of care.

Addressing the challenges of hand hygiene compliance is not merely an institutional obligation but a moral imperative to protect patients, healthcare workers, and communities at large. As we move forward, the lessons learned from past experiences and the ongoing research in this field will be instrumental in shaping a safer healthcare environment for all.

References

1. Allegranzi, B., & Pittet, D. (2009). Role of hand hygiene in healthcare-associated infection prevention. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 73(4), 305-315.
2. Boyce, J. M., & Pittet, D. (2002). Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings: Recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 23(12 Suppl), S3-S40.
3. Boyce, J. M. (2011). Electronic monitoring in combination with direct observation as a means to significantly improve hand hygiene compliance. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 39(4), 308-311.
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2002). Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 51(RR16), 1-44.
5. Chassin, M. R., Mayer, C., & Nether, K. (2015). Improving hand hygiene at eight hospitals in the United States by targeting specific causes of noncompliance. *Journal of Quality Improvement*, 41(1), 4-12.
6. Erasmus, V., Daha, T. J., Brug, H., Richardus, J. H., Behrendt, M. D., Vos, M. C., & van Beeck, E. F. (2010). Systematic review of studies on compliance with hand hygiene guidelines in hospital care. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 31(3), 283-294.
7. Erasmus, V., Brouwer, W., van Beeck, E. F., Oenema, A., Daha, T. J., Richardus, J. H., Vos, M. C., & Brug, J. (2009). A qualitative exploration of reasons for poor hand hygiene among hospital workers: Lack of positive role models and of convincing evidence that hand hygiene prevents cross-infection. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 30(5), 415-419.
8. Erasmus, V., Daha, T. J., Brug, H., Richardus, J. H., Behrendt, M. D., Vos, M. C., & van Beeck, E. F. (2010). Systematic review of studies on compliance with hand hygiene guidelines in hospital care. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 31(3), 283-294.
9. Gould, D. J., Moralejo, D., Drey, N., & Chudleigh, J. H. (2008). Interventions to improve hand hygiene compliance in patient care. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (2).
10. Gould, D. J., Moralejo, D., Drey, N., Chudleigh, J. H., & Taljaard, M. (2010). Interventions to improve hand hygiene compliance in patient care. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (9).

11. Huis, A., van Achterberg, T., de Bruin, M., Grol, R., Schoonhoven, L., & Hulscher, M. (2012). A systematic review of hand hygiene improvement strategies: a behavioural approach. *Implementation Science*, 7, 92.
12. Huis, A., Schoonhoven, L., Grol, R., Donders, R., Hulscher, M., & van Achterberg, T. (2013). Impact of a team and leaders-directed strategy to improve nurses' adherence to hand hygiene guidelines: A cluster randomised trial. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 50(4), 464-474.
13. Hagel, S., Reischke, J., Kesselmeier, M., Winning, J., Gastmeier, P., Brunkhorst, F. M., Scherag, A., & Pletz, M. W. (2015). Quantifying the Hawthorne effect in hand hygiene compliance through comparing direct observation with automated hand hygiene monitoring. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 36(8), 957-962.
14. Klevens, R. M., Edwards, J. R., Richards, C. L., Horan, T. C., Gaynes, R. P., Pollock, D. A., & Cardo, D. M. (2007). Estimating health care-associated infections and deaths in U.S. hospitals, 2002. *Public Health Reports*, 122(2), 160-166.
15. Lister, J. (1867). On the Antiseptic Principle in the Practice of Surgery. *British Medical Journal*, 2(351), 246-248.
16. Lotfinejad, N., Peters, A., & Pittet, D. (2020). Hand hygiene and the novel coronavirus pandemic: The role of healthcare workers. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 105(4), 776-777.
17. Lambe, K. A., Lydon, S., Madden, C., Vellinga, A., Hehir, A., Walsh, M., & O'Connor, P. (2004). Hand hygiene compliance in the ICU: A systematic review. *Critical Care Medicine*, 42(10), 2275-2280.
18. Levchenko, A. I., Boscart, V. M., Fernie, G. R. (2011). Automated hand hygiene compliance monitoring. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 32(12), 1249-1251.
19. McGuckin, M., Waterman, R., Storr, I. J., Bowler, I. C., Ashby, M., Topley, K., & Porten, L. (2009). Evaluation of a patient-empowering hand hygiene programme in the UK. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 63(3), 288-293.
20. Marra, A. R., & Edmond, M. B. (2012). New technologies to monitor healthcare worker hand hygiene. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 19(1), 29-33.
21. Pittet, D., & Boyce, J. M. (2001). Hand hygiene and patient care: Pursuing the Semmelweis legacy. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 1(1), 9-20.
22. Pittet, D. (2001). Improving adherence to hand hygiene practice: a multidisciplinary approach. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 7(2), 234.
23. Pittet, D., Allegranzi, B., Sax, H., Dharan, S., Pessoa-Silva, C. L., Donaldson, L., & Boyce, J. M. (2006). Evidence-based model for hand transmission during patient care and the role of improved practices. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 6(10), 641-652.
24. Sax, H., Uckay, I., Richez, H., Allegranzi, B., & Pittet, D. (2007). Determinants of good adherence to hand hygiene among healthcare workers who have extensive exposure to hand hygiene campaigns. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 28(11), 1267-1274.
25. Semmelweis, I. P. (1861). Die Aetiologie, der Begriff und die Prophylaxis des Kindbettfiebers. Hartleben.
26. Stone, P. W., Braccia, D., & Larson, E. (2005). Systematic review of economic analyses of health care-associated infections. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 33(9), 501-509.
27. Tacconelli, E., Carrara, E., Savoldi, A., Harbarth, S., Mendelson, M., Monnet, D. L., Pulcini, C., Kahlmeter, G., Kluytmans, J., Carmeli, Y., Ouellette, M., Outtersson, K., Patel, J., Cavalieri, M., Cox, E. M., Houchens, C. R., Grayson, M. L., Hansen, P., Singh, N., Theuretzbacher, U., & Magrini, N. (2019). Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics: the WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 18(3), 318-327.
28. Whitby, M., McLaws, M. L., & Ross, M. W. (2007). Why healthcare workers don't wash their hands: a behavioral explanation. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 28(5), 484-492.
29. Whitby, M., Pessoa-Silva, C. L., McLaws, M. L., Allegranzi, B., Sax, H., Larson, E., Seto, W. H., Donaldson, L., & Pittet, D. (2007). Behavioural considerations for hand hygiene practices: the basic building blocks. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 65(1), 1-8.
30. World Health Organization (WHO). (2020). Healthcare-associated infections fact sheet.
31. World Health Organization. (2020). Hand Hygiene for All Initiative: Improving access and behavior in health facilities and communities.
32. World Health Organization (WHO). (2009). WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care: First Global Patient Safety Challenge Clean Care is Safer Care. Geneva: World Health Organization.
33. Zimlichman, E., Henderson, D., Tamir, O., Franz, C., Song, P., Yamin, C. K., Keohane, C., Denham, C. R., & Bates, D. W. (2013). Health care-associated infections: a meta-analysis of costs and financial impact on the US health care system. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 173(22), 2039-2046.