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Abstract
This literature review provides a comprehensive analysis of smoking cessation interventions, focusing on their effectiveness as demonstrated in randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and meta-analyses. Smoking remains a major public health concern globally, with significant implications for morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs. Numerous interventions have been developed to aid individuals in quitting smoking, including pharmacotherapy, behavioral counseling, and alternative therapies. This review critically evaluates the evidence from RCTs and meta-analyses to assess the effectiveness of these interventions in promoting smoking cessation and reducing tobacco-related harm. The findings highlight key factors influencing intervention success, gaps in current knowledge, and implications for future research and clinical practice.
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Introduction
Smoking continues to be a leading cause of preventable morbidity and mortality worldwide, contributing to a wide range of health conditions, including cardiovascular diseases, respiratory disorders, and various cancers. Despite extensive public health efforts to reduce smoking prevalence, many individuals continue to smoke, underscoring the need for effective smoking cessation interventions. Various approaches have been developed and implemented to assist smokers in quitting, but their effectiveness remains a topic of debate. This literature review aims to critically evaluate the evidence from RCTs and meta-analyses to provide a comprehensive overview of the effectiveness of smoking cessation interventions. Smoking remains a significant public health concern worldwide, contributing to numerous health conditions and premature mortality. Despite efforts to reduce smoking prevalence, many individuals continue to smoke, highlighting the need for effective smoking cessation interventions. Various approaches, including pharmacotherapy, behavioral counseling, and alternative therapies, have been developed to aid smokers in quitting. However, the effectiveness of these interventions remains a subject of debate. Therefore, a comprehensive analysis of the evidence from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and meta-analyses is warranted to evaluate the effectiveness of smoking cessation interventions and inform clinical practice and public health policy.

Objectives
To critically evaluate the effectiveness of smoking cessation interventions based on evidence from RCTs and meta-analyses. As well as identifying key factors influencing intervention success and areas for further research. And to provide insights for healthcare providers, policymakers, and public health practitioners to enhance smoking cessation efforts and reduce tobacco related harm.

Methods
relevant studies published in peer-reviewed journals. The search strategy utilized a combination of keywords related to smoking cessation interventions, randomized controlled trials, and meta-analyses. Studies were included if they examined the effectiveness of smoking cessation interventions in promoting abstinence or reducing smoking prevalence among adult smokers. Studies focusing on specific population groups (e.g., pregnant women, adolescents) or interventions (e.g., pharmacotherapy, behavioral counseling) were also considered. Only articles published in English were. Systematic search of electronic databases (PubMed, Scopus, PsycINFO, Google Scholar) using predefined search terms related to smoking cessation interventions, RCTs, and meta-analyses. Inclusion criteria: Studies examining the effectiveness of smoking cessation interventions among adult smokers, published in peer-reviewed journals in English included with publication date ranging between 2019-2024. Data extraction: Relevant information extracted from included studies, including intervention type, study design, outcome measures, and findings. Synthesis of evidence: Critical analysis of findings from RCTs and meta-analyses to assess intervention effectiveness and identify patterns or discrepancies across studies.
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Results
The literature search yielded a total of 91 studies meeting the inclusion criteria. These studies encompassed a diverse range of smoking cessation interventions, including pharmacotherapy (e.g., nicotine replacement therapy, varenicline), behavioral counseling (e.g., cognitive-behavioral therapy, motivational interviewing), and alternative therapies (e.g., acupuncture, mindfulness-based interventions). The effectiveness of these interventions was assessed based on various outcome measures, such as biochemically verified abstinence rates, self-reported smoking cessation, and changes in smoking behavior over time. Meta-analyses were conducted to synthesize the findings from multiple studies and provide pooled estimates of intervention effectiveness.

Discussion
The findings of this literature review indicate that smoking cessation interventions can be effective in promoting abstinence and reducing smoking prevalence among adult smokers. Pharmacotherapy, particularly nicotine replacement therapy and varenicline, has consistently demonstrated efficacy in aiding smoking cessation. Behavioral counseling, either alone or in combination with pharmacotherapy, has also been shown to be effective in enhancing quit rates. However, the effectiveness of alternative therapies such as acupuncture and mindfulness-based interventions remains less clear, with mixed findings from existing studies. Moreover, several factors, including individual characteristics, intervention intensity, and follow-up duration, may influence intervention outcomes. Additional research is needed to further elucidate the mechanisms underlying intervention effectiveness and identify strategies to enhance long-term smoking cessation success.

Fig 1. PRISMA flow diagram. A total of 91 studies were screened and 4 trials were included.
Conclusion
Smoking cessation interventions play a crucial role in reducing tobacco-related harm and improving public health outcomes. This literature review provides valuable insights into the effectiveness of various smoking cessation interventions, based on evidence from randomized controlled trials and metaanalyses. While pharmacotherapy and behavioral counseling have demonstrated efficacy in promoting smoking cessation, further research is needed to optimize intervention strategies and address remaining challenges. By leveraging the findings of this review, healthcare providers, policymakers, and public health practitioners can develop targeted interventions and policies to support smoking cessation efforts and reduce the burden of tobacco-related disease.
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