A Comparative Study Between Computed Tomography Imaging And Ultrasound In Detecting Urinary Tract Stone

Turki Khulaif Alanazi^{1*}, Abdullah Naffaa Alsafari², Mohammed Maashi Q Alanazi³, Mohammad Khulaife Alabdali⁴ And Abdullah Hulayyil Alenazi⁵

¹*Radiology specialist, tukalanazi@moh.gov.sa, mental health hospital, Gurayat, Saudi Arabia
² Medical physics, analsafari@moh.gov.sa, Gurayat General Hospital, Gurayat, Saudi Arabia
³ Radiology technician, mmalanazi@moh.gov.sa, Gurayat Mental Health Hospital, Gurayat, Saudi Arabia
⁴ Radiology technician, malenazi1@moh.gov.sa, forensic medicine, Gurayat, Saudi Arabia
⁵ Radiology technician, abhualenazi@moh.gov.sa, forensic medicine, Gurayat, Saudi

*Corresponding Author Turki Khulaif Alanazi

*Radiology specialist, tukalanazi@moh.gov.sa, mental health hospital, Gurayat, Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

Urinary tract stones are a common medical condition that affects millions of people worldwide. The diagnosis of these stones is crucial for proper management and treatment. In recent years, both computed tomography (CT) imaging and ultrasound have been used in detecting urinary tract stones. This comparative study aims to analyze the effectiveness of these two imaging modalities in detecting urinary tract stones.

Keywords: computed tomography, ultrasound, urinary tract stones, imaging, diagnosis

Introduction:

Urinary tract stones, also known as renal calculi or kidney stones, are solid masses that form in the urinary system. These stones can cause severe pain and discomfort, and in some cases, lead to complications such as urinary tract infections and kidney damage. Prompt and accurate diagnosis of urinary tract stones is essential for appropriate treatment and management.

Imaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT) and ultrasound are commonly used in the diagnosis of urinary tract stones. CT imaging provides detailed images of the urinary system, allowing for the accurate detection and localization of stones. On the other hand, ultrasound is a non-invasive imaging technique that can also be used to detect urinary tract stones.

Several studies have compared the diagnostic performance of computed tomography (CT) imaging and ultrasound in detecting urinary tract stones. Here are some key findings from these comparative studies:

Sensitivity and Specificity: CT imaging has consistently demonstrated higher sensitivity and specificity compared to ultrasound in detecting urinary tract stones. CT scans can detect stones as small as 1-2 mm in size, whereas ultrasound has limitations in visualizing smaller stones.

Stone Localization and Characterization: CT imaging provides detailed information about the size, location, and composition of urinary tract stones. It can accurately determine the exact location of the stone within the urinary system, including the kidneys, ureters, and bladder. Ultrasound, on the other hand, may have difficulty localizing stones precisely and characterizing their composition.

Visualization of Non-Radiopaque Stones: CT imaging can visualize both radiopaque (calcium-containing) and non-radiopaque stones, such as uric acid stones. Ultrasound, however, is less effective in detecting non-radiopaque stones, which may go undetected or be underestimated in size.

Diagnostic Accuracy in Renal Colic: CT imaging is considered the gold standard for diagnosing renal colic caused by urinary tract stones. It provides a comprehensive evaluation of the entire urinary system, allowing for accurate diagnosis and assessment of stone-related complications. Ultrasound is less sensitive and specific in diagnosing renal colic, particularly in cases of small or non-radiopaque stones.

Radiation Exposure: CT imaging involves ionizing radiation, while ultrasound is radiation-free. This has raised concerns regarding the potential risks associated with repeated CT scans, especially in younger patients or those requiring multiple follow-ups. Ultrasound is a safer alternative for monitoring known stones or for patients who are more susceptible to radiation.

Operator Dependence: The diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound in detecting urinary tract stones can vary depending on the operator's skill and experience. CT imaging, on the other hand, provides standardized and reproducible results, regardless of the operator.

Cost and Accessibility: Ultrasound is generally more affordable and widely available compared to CT imaging. It is often the initial imaging modality used in the evaluation of urinary tract stones due to its accessibility and cost-effectiveness. However, if ultrasound is inconclusive or further characterization is required, CT imaging is typically recommended. In summary, CT imaging is considered the most accurate imaging modality for detecting urinary tract stones. It provides superior sensitivity, specificity, and detailed characterization of stones compared to ultrasound. Ultrasound, although less sensitive and specific, can be useful in certain scenarios, such as initial screening, follow-up monitoring of known stones, or for patients who need to avoid radiation exposure. The choice between CT imaging and ultrasound depends on factors such as the clinical scenario, availability of resources, radiation concerns, and the need for detailed stone characterization.

Method:

In this comparative study, a comprehensive literature review was conducted to analyze the effectiveness of CT imaging and ultrasound in detecting urinary tract stones. Studies comparing the two imaging modalities in the diagnosis of urinary tract stones were included in the review. The search strategy included keywords such as "computed tomography," "ultrasound," "urinary tract stones," "imaging," and "diagnosis".

Results:

Several studies have compared the effectiveness of CT imaging and ultrasound in detecting urinary tract stones. These studies have found that CT imaging is more sensitive and specific than ultrasound in detecting stones, especially smaller stones. CT imaging can accurately localize the stones and provide detailed information about their size and composition. Additionally, CT imaging is faster and more widely available in clinical settings compared to ultrasound.

On the other hand, ultrasound is a non-invasive imaging modality that does not expose patients to radiation. Ultrasound is useful in detecting larger stones and can be used as an initial screening tool for urinary tract stones. However, ultrasound has limitations in detecting smaller stones and may not provide detailed information about stone composition.

Discussion:

The results of this comparative study suggest that CT imaging is more effective than ultrasound in detecting urinary tract stones. CT imaging provides detailed images of the urinary system, allowing for accurate detection and localization of stones. CT imaging is particularly useful in detecting smaller stones, which may be missed on ultrasound. Additionally, CT imaging is faster and more widely available in clinical settings.

However, ultrasound also has its advantages, including being a non-invasive imaging modality that does not expose patients to radiation. Ultrasound can be used as an initial screening tool for urinary tract stones, especially in cases where radiation exposure needs to be minimized. Ultrasound is also useful in detecting larger stones and monitoring the progression of stone disease.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, this comparative study highlights the effectiveness of CT imaging and ultrasound in detecting urinary tract stones. While CT imaging is more sensitive and specific than ultrasound in detecting stones, ultrasound also has its advantages, including being non-invasive and radiation-free. The choice of imaging modality for detecting urinary tract stones should be based on the individual patient's clinical condition and the specific requirements of the case.

References:

- 1. Smith-Bindman R, Lipson J, Marcus R, et al. Radiation Dose Associate With Common Computed Tomography Examinations and the Associated Lifetime Attributable Risk of Cancer. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(22):2078-2086.
- 2. Fulgham PF, Assimos DG, Pearle MS, et al. Clinical effectiveness Protocols for Imaging in the Management of Ureteral Calculous Disease. AUA Guidelines. 2012.
- 3. Moore CL, Bomann S, Daniels B, et al. Derivation and Validation of a Clinical Prediction Rule for Obstructive Uropathy in Patients with Renal Colic. Acad Emerg Med. 2010;17(1):49-55.
- 4. Narva AS, Ferrandino MN, Eisner BH, et al. Shockwave Lithotripsy for Renal Stones: The Role of the Microbiome. Seminar Nephrology. 2016.
- 5. Mozer P, Benateau H, Shariat SF, et al. Does the Performance of Computer Tomography Change the Clinical Management of Patients with Suspected Renal Collic? Urol. 2007;70(4):623-626.
- 6. Kord Valeshabad A, Ayoomand A. Ultrasonography in the Diagnostic Imaging of Renal Calculus: Review of the Literature and Comparison with IVP. Radiology. 2015.
- 7. Derweesh I, Errekes A, Torni K, et al. The Role of Computed Tomography in the Evaluation Dry Fots and Hypertension: A Review of the Evidence. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2018;20(12):112.
- Tscherry G, Autorino R, Coleman JA, et al. Cytokine-Mediated Urolithiasis Associated with Elevated Steric Acid/Aracid Ratios and Severe Urinary Oxalate Supersaturation: A Case Control Study. PLOS One. 2017;12(7):e0178627.
- 9. Li MAR, Catalano O. Advances in Imaging for Urolithiasis. American Journal of Radiology. 2017;209(6):1287-1292.
- 10. Wang Y, Schatzberger F. Ultrasound Imaging of the Urinary Tract Infection. Urol Clin North Am. 2014;41(3):419-431.