



## "Evaluating The Factors Influencing Employee Engagement In The Life Insurance Corporation"

Monica M Kate<sup>1\*</sup>, Swati S Gupta<sup>2</sup>, Deepa R Chawda<sup>3</sup>, Dr. Kavita Patil<sup>4</sup>, Dr S A Chourasia<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Research Scholar, Dhanwate National College, Nagpur

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Sipna college of engineering and technology, Amravati

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Sipna college of engineering and technology, Amravati

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Dhanwate National College, Nagpur

<sup>5</sup>Assistant Professor, Sipna college of engineering and technology, Amravati

**\*Corresponding Author:** Monica M Kate

\*Research Scholar, Dhanwate National College, Nagpur

### Abstract

Employee engagement is a vital driver of organizational success, especially in the competitive landscape of the life insurance industry. This study evaluates the factors influencing employee engagement within the Life Insurance Corporation (LIC), focusing on intrinsic and extrinsic motivators. By analyzing data from Administrative and Marketing Employees, the study identifies key areas impacting engagement, including job satisfaction, recognition, career growth, compensation, work-life balance, and leadership support.

The findings reveal that Administrative Employees generally report higher job satisfaction compared to their Marketing counterparts, who exhibit more dissatisfaction with their roles. Recognition is highly valued across both groups, though Marketing Employees place a higher emphasis on it. Career growth opportunities and compensation perceptions show significant differences, with Marketing Employees rating these aspects more favorably than Administrative Employees. Both groups report moderate support for work-life balance and engagement levels.

The study also highlights that while engagement is perceived to significantly impact productivity and customer satisfaction, there is room for improvement in engagement initiatives. The Chi-Square test results suggest no statistically significant relationship between intrinsic motivators and engagement in the sample.

To enhance employee engagement, LIC should consider strengthening recognition programs, improving career growth opportunities, revising compensation packages, and expanding support for work-life balance. These measures will help create a more motivated and productive workforce, ultimately driving LIC's success in a competitive market.

**Key Words:** - Factors Influencing, Employee Engagement, Life Insurance Corporation

### Introduction

Employee engagement has emerged as a critical determinant of organizational success, particularly in the highly competitive and customer-centric life insurance sector. In the Life Insurance Corporation (LIC), employee engagement is not merely a management buzzword; it represents the level of commitment, passion, and involvement that employees exhibit towards their work and the organization's goals. The relationship between employee engagement and organizational performance is well-documented, with engaged employees often driving higher productivity, better customer satisfaction, and ultimately, greater organizational success.

The importance of understanding the factors that influence employee engagement in LIC cannot be overstated. As India's largest and most trusted life insurance provider, LIC operates in a challenging environment where it must continuously adapt to regulatory changes, market dynamics, and evolving customer expectations. In such a scenario, the engagement levels of its employees become a key asset, directly impacting the quality of service delivery, policyholder satisfaction, and overall business outcomes.

This study seeks to evaluate the various factors that influence employee engagement within LIC, focusing on both intrinsic and extrinsic motivators. Intrinsic factors such as job satisfaction, recognition, and career growth opportunities play a significant role in shaping employees' emotional connection to the organization. On the other hand, extrinsic factors like compensation, work-life balance, and leadership support also contribute to how engaged employees feel. By assessing these factors, the study aims to provide insights into how LIC can enhance its employee engagement strategies, leading to improved performance and sustained success.

In an era where employee engagement is linked to organizational resilience and adaptability, understanding its drivers within LIC is crucial. This research will contribute to developing tailored strategies that can foster a more engaged, motivated, and productive workforce, ultimately driving LIC's success in an increasingly competitive market.

### Objectives

1. To identify and analyze the key factors influencing employee engagement within the Life Insurance Corporation, including both intrinsic and extrinsic motivators.
2. To evaluate the impact of these engagement factors on the overall performance and success of the Life Insurance Corporation, with a focus on improving employee retention, productivity, and customer satisfaction.

### Literature Review

Employee engagement has emerged as a critical factor in organizational success, particularly in the competitive landscape of the life insurance industry. Understanding the factors that influence employee engagement can help organizations like the Life Insurance Corporation (LIC) to foster a positive work environment, improve productivity, and enhance customer satisfaction. This literature review aims to examine the key determinants of employee engagement within the context of LIC.

### Key Factors Influencing Employee Engagement

Several studies have identified various factors that significantly impact employee engagement. Some of the most prominent factors include:

#### 1. Leadership and Management:

**Transformational Leadership:** Studies have shown that leaders who inspire and motivate employees through vision, charisma, and intellectual stimulation can foster higher levels of engagement. (Author: Bass & Avolio, Year: 1993)

**Fair and Equitable Treatment:** Employees who perceive their leaders as fair and just are more likely to be engaged. (Author: Cohen, 1993)

#### 2. Organizational Culture:

**Positive Work Environment:** A supportive and inclusive work environment can enhance employee morale and engagement. (Author: Cameron & Quinn, 2011)

**Recognition and Rewards:** Recognizing and rewarding employees' contributions can boost their motivation and engagement. (Author: Pfeffer, 1998)

#### 3. Job Characteristics:

**Job Autonomy:** Employees who have a high degree of autonomy in their work are more likely to be engaged. (Author: Hackman & Oldham, 1976)

**Job Satisfaction:** Employees who are satisfied with their jobs are more likely to be engaged. (Author: Herzberg, 1966)

#### 4. Work-Life Balance:

**Flexible Work Arrangements:** Offering flexible work arrangements can help employees manage work-life demands and improve engagement. (Author: Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985)

**Stress Management Programs:** Providing stress management programs can help employees cope with work-related stress and maintain engagement. (Author: Demerouti, Bakker, Nachreiner, & Schaufeli, 2001)

#### 5. Training and Development:

**Opportunities for Growth:** Investing in employee training and development can enhance their skills, boost their confidence, and increase engagement. (Author: Noe, 2018)

**Career Advancement:** Providing clear career paths and opportunities for advancement can motivate employees and improve engagement. (Author: Noe, 2018)

### Specific to LIC

While these factors are generally applicable across industries, there may be specific nuances within the life insurance sector and within LIC. For example, the unique nature of the insurance industry, such as the long-term nature of products and the importance of customer trust, may have specific implications for employee engagement.

### Gaps in Existing Literature

Despite the extensive research on employee engagement, several gaps remain in our understanding, particularly within the context of LIC. These include:

**Specific to LIC:** There may be a lack of research specifically focusing on employee engagement within LIC, limiting our understanding of the unique factors that influence engagement in this organization.

**Longitudinal Studies:** Most studies on employee engagement are cross-sectional, providing a snapshot of engagement at a particular point in time. Longitudinal studies that track changes in engagement over time can provide a more comprehensive understanding of its determinants.

**Qualitative Research:** While quantitative studies provide valuable data, qualitative research can offer deeper insights into the experiences and perspectives of LIC employees regarding engagement factors.

This literature review highlights the importance of employee engagement in the life insurance industry and identifies several key factors that influence it. However, further research is needed to address the specific context of LIC and to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the factors that drive employee engagement within this organization. By addressing these gaps, LIC can develop targeted strategies to enhance employee engagement and improve overall organizational performance.

**Research Methodology**

It is the systematic process that researchers follow to conduct their studies. It outlines the steps involved in collecting, analyzing, and interpreting data to answer research questions. A well-designed methodology ensures that the research is conducted in a reliable, valid, and ethical manner.

**Key Components of Research Methodology**

- **Research Design:** The overall plan or strategy for conducting the research. This includes deciding on the research approach (e.g., quantitative, qualitative, mixed methods), sampling techniques, data collection methods, and data analysis procedures. The present study is descriptive in nature.
- **Sample Size and Method:-** Stratified disproportionate random sampling method was applied to take sample size i.e.50
- **Data Collection:** The process of gathering relevant information to address the research questions. This may involve surveys, interviews, observations, experiments, or document analysis. In the present study data was collected from both the sources i.e. primary and secondary sources. Primary data was collected from Amravati Division of LIC. For that systematic questionnaire was prepared and circulated in Amravati Division of LIC. Data Was from Administrative officers and Marketing employees of LIC. Secondary data was collected from previous studies, articles, websites and blogs.
- **Data Analysis:** The process of organizing, summarizing, and interpreting the collected data. This may involve statistical analysis for quantitative data or thematic analysis for qualitative data. In the present study descriptive statistics and hypothesis testing techniques was applied.
- **Research Ethics:** Ensuring that the research is conducted in a way that respects ethical principles, such as informed consent, confidentiality, and data integrity.

**Table no. 01 How satisfied are you with your current job role within the Life Insurance Corporation?**

**Table No. 1: Job Satisfaction**

	Administrative Employees		Marketing Employees	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
- a) Very Satisfied	13	43.33	9	30
- b) Satisfied	7	23.33	5	16.67
- c) Neutral	4	13.33	4	13.33
- d) Dissatisfied	5	16.67	10	33.33
- e) Very Dissatisfied	1	3.33	2	6.67
Total	30	100	30	100

**Interpretation:**

A higher percentage of Administrative Employees (43.33%) are "Very Satisfied" with their current job role compared to Marketing Employees (30%). Conversely, a larger proportion of Marketing Employees (33.33%) are "Dissatisfied" compared to Administrative Employees (16.67%).

**Findings:**

Administrative Employees exhibit higher job satisfaction than Marketing Employees, who show a significant level of dissatisfaction. This disparity may point to underlying challenges in the Marketing department that require attention.

**Table no. 02 How important is recognition from your supervisor to your engagement at work?**

**Table No. 2: Importance of Recognition**

	Administrative Employees		Marketing Employees	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
- a) Extremely Important	15	50	19	63.33
- b) Very Important	6	20	5	16.67
- c) Moderately Important	6	20	3	10

- d) Slightly Important	1	3.33	2	6.67
- e) Not Important at All	2	6.67	1	3.33
Total	30	100	30	100

**Interpretation:**

Recognition is "Extremely Important" for a majority of both Administrative (50%) and Marketing Employees (63.33%). A small fraction in both groups considers it "Not Important at All," with 6.67% of Administrative and 3.33% of Marketing Employees sharing this sentiment.

**Findings:**

Recognition plays a crucial role in engagement, particularly for Marketing Employees. This suggests that supervisors' recognition practices may need to be more consistent and impactful, especially for Marketing teams.

Table no. 03 How do you rate the opportunities for career growth and advancement within LIC?

**Table No. 3: Career Growth Opportunities**

	Administrative Employees		Marketing Employees	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
- a) Excellent	3	10.00	6	20.00
- b) Good	5	16.67	10	33.33
- c) Fair	19	63.33	11	36.67
- d) Poor	2	6.67	3	10.00
- e) Very Poor	1	3.33	0	0.00
Total	30	100.00	30	100

**Interpretation:**

Most Administrative Employees (63.33%) rate career growth opportunities as "Fair," while Marketing Employees show a more positive outlook, with 20% rating them as "Excellent" and 33.33% as "Good."

**Findings:**

Marketing Employees perceive better opportunities for career growth compared to Administrative Employees. However, a significant portion in both groups still views the opportunities as merely "Fair," indicating room for improvement.

Table no. 04 How would you rate your compensation package compared to the industry standards?

**Table No. 4: Compensation Package**

	Administrative Employees		Marketing Employees	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
- a) Much Better	7	23.33	5	16.67
- b) Better	9	30.00	9	30.00
- c) Average	12	40.00	12	40.00
- d) Worse	1	3.33	4	13.33
- e) Much Worse	1	3.33	0	0.00
Total	30	100.00	30	100

**Interpretation:**

Both groups largely view their compensation as "Average" (40%). However, Marketing Employees show higher dissatisfaction, with 13.33% rating their compensation as "Worse," compared to only 3.33% of Administrative Employees.

**Findings:**

There is a general perception of adequacy regarding compensation, but Marketing Employees are more likely to view their package unfavorably, suggesting that compensation practices may need revisiting for this group.

Table no. 05 How well do you feel supported by your leadership in maintaining a healthy work-life balance?

**Table No. 5: Support for Work-Life Balance**

	Administrative Employees		Marketing Employees	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
- a) Extremely Well Supported	5	16.67	4	13.33
- b) Very Well Supported	7	23.33	6	20.00
- c) Moderately Supported	16	53.33	16	53.33
- d) Slightly Supported	1	3.33	3	10.00
- e) Not Supported At All	1	3.33	1	3.33
Total	30	100.00	30	100

**Interpretation:**

A majority of both Administrative (53.33%) and Marketing Employees (53.33%) feel "Moderately Supported" in maintaining a healthy work-life balance. Only a small percentage in both groups feel "Not Supported At All."

**Findings:**

While support for work-life balance is moderate across the board, there is potential for LIC to enhance this aspect to improve overall satisfaction and engagement.

Table no. 06 How would you rate your overall engagement level with your work at LIC?

**Table No. 6: Overall Engagement Level**

	Administrative Employees		Marketing Employees	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
- a) Highly Engaged	4	13.33	3	10
- b) Moderately Engaged	9	30.00	5	16.67
- c) Neutral	16	53.33	18	60
- d) Slightly Engaged	1	3.33	2	6.67
- e) Not Engaged	0	0.00	2	6.67
Total	30	100.00	30	100

**Interpretation:**

A significant portion of both Administrative (53.33%) and Marketing Employees (60%) report being "Neutral" about their engagement levels. Only a small fraction feel "Highly Engaged."

**Findings:**

The neutrality in engagement suggests that while employees are not disengaged, they are not fully engaged either. This could be an area for LIC to focus on, to drive higher engagement levels.

Table no. 07 How likely are you to recommend LIC as a good place to work to others?

**Table No. 7: Likelihood to Recommend LIC**

	Administrative Employees		Marketing Employees	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
- a) Extremely Likely	7	23.33	9	30
- b) Very Likely	10	33.33	4	13.33
- c) Moderately Likely	11	36.67	13	43.33
- d) Slightly Likely	1	3.33	2	6.67
- e) Not Likely At All	1	3.33	2	6.67
Total	30	100.00	30	100

**Interpretation:**

Marketing Employees are slightly more "Extremely Likely" (30%) to recommend LIC as a good place to work compared to Administrative Employees (23.33%). However, both groups also show a significant portion who are only "Moderately Likely" to recommend.

**Findings:**

While many employees would recommend LIC, the mixed responses suggest some reservations, indicating areas where LIC could enhance its appeal as an employer.

Table no. 08 How does your level of engagement affect your productivity at work?

**Table No. 8: Impact of Engagement on Productivity**

	Administrative Employees		Marketing Employees	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
- a) Significantly Increases	13	43.33	12	40
- b) Moderately Increases	7	23.33	5	16.67
- c) No Impact	3	10.00	9	30.00
- d) Slightly Decreases	5	16.67	1	3.33
- e) Significantly Decreases	2	6.67	3	10.00
Total	30	100	30	100

**Interpretation:**

Engagement "Significantly Increases" productivity for 43.33% of Administrative and 40% of Marketing Employees. However, a notable portion of Marketing Employees (30%) report "No Impact," and 10% feel it "Significantly Decreases" productivity.

**Findings:**

Engagement generally boosts productivity, but the varied responses, especially among Marketing Employees, indicate that engagement initiatives may not be uniformly effective across departments.

Table no. 09 To what extent do you believe that employee engagement at LIC impacts customer satisfaction?

**Table No. 9: Impact of Engagement on Customer Satisfaction**

	Administrative Employees		Marketing Employees	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
- a) To a Great Extent	19	63.33	21	70.00
- b) To a Considerable Extent	4	13.33	3	10.00
- c) To Some Extent	1	3.33	2	6.67
- d) To a Minor Extent	4	13.33	1	3.33
- e) Not at All	2	6.67	3	10.00
Total	30	100.00	30	100

**Interpretation:**

A majority of both Administrative (63.33%) and Marketing Employees (70%) believe that employee engagement impacts customer satisfaction "To a Great Extent."

**Findings:**

There is a strong belief across both groups that engagement is linked to customer satisfaction, underscoring the importance of maintaining high engagement levels for customer-facing roles.

Table no. 10 How important do you think employee engagement is in retaining talented employees at LIC?

**Table No. 10: Importance of Engagement for Retention**

	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
- a) Extremely Important	21	70.00	23	76.67
- b) Very Important	2	6.67	3	10.00
- c) Moderately Important	1	3.33	1	3.33
- d) Slightly Important	4	13.33	1	3.33
- e) Not Important At All	2	6.67	2	6.67
Total	30	100.00	30	100

**Interpretation:** Engagement is seen as "Extremely Important" for retaining talent by 70% of Administrative and 76.67% of Marketing Employees.

**Findings:** The critical importance of engagement in retention is evident, suggesting that LIC's retention strategies should heavily focus on maintaining and enhancing employee engagement across the organization.

### **Hypothesis**

The results of the Chi-Square test for the relationship between intrinsic motivators and employee engagement in the Life Insurance Corporation are as follows:

- Chi-Square Statistic ( $\chi^2$ ): 0.56
- p-value: 0.97
- Degrees of Freedom (dof): 4

### **Interpretation:**

Since the p-value (0.97) is significantly greater than the typical significance level of 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis. This suggests that there is no statistically significant relationship between intrinsic motivators and employee engagement in this sample of 30.

### **Suggestions**

1. **Enhance Recognition Programs :** Given the high importance of recognition for both Administrative and Marketing Employees, LIC should consider implementing more structured and frequent recognition programs. These programs could be tailored to individual achievements, team successes, or contributions to organizational goals. Ensuring that recognition is consistent and meaningful can significantly boost morale and engagement, particularly in the Marketing department.
2. **Address Career Growth Opportunities :** The perception of limited career growth, particularly among Administrative Employees, suggests a need for clearer career progression pathways. LIC could offer more professional development opportunities, mentorship programs, and transparent communication regarding promotion criteria. For Marketing Employees, who already have a more positive outlook on career opportunities, these initiatives could further enhance their engagement.
3. **Review Compensation Packages :** The mixed responses regarding compensation, especially the dissatisfaction among Marketing Employees, indicate that LIC should revisit its compensation structures. A comprehensive review of industry standards and internal equity can help ensure that employees feel adequately rewarded for their contributions. Consideration of performance-based bonuses or incentives could also motivate employees to achieve higher levels of productivity.
4. **Improve Support for Work-Life Balance :** While most employees feel moderately supported in maintaining a healthy work-life balance, there is potential for LIC to enhance its support systems. Flexible work arrangements, stress management programs, and wellness initiatives could be expanded to help employees manage their personal and professional responsibilities more effectively.
5. **Strengthen Engagement Initiatives :** The neutrality in engagement levels suggests that LIC's current initiatives may not fully resonate with all employees. LIC could benefit from conducting regular engagement surveys to gather more detailed feedback and tailor engagement strategies to the specific needs of different departments. Initiatives that foster a sense of belonging, purpose, and alignment with organizational goals should be prioritized.
6. **Focus on Retention through Engagement :** Since engagement is seen as crucial for retaining talented employees, LIC should integrate engagement strategies into its broader retention efforts. This could include creating a positive organizational culture, offering competitive benefits, and providing opportunities for meaningful work. Special attention should be given to high-performing employees in key roles, ensuring they feel valued and motivated to stay with the organization.

By implementing these suggestions, LIC can create a more engaged, motivated, and productive workforce, which will ultimately drive the organization's success in an increasingly competitive market.

### **Conclusion**

The analysis of employee engagement within the Life Insurance Corporation (LIC) reveals critical insights into how various factors influence the overall commitment and productivity of both Administrative and Marketing Employees. The study demonstrates that while there is a moderate level of engagement across both groups, there are significant disparities in satisfaction levels, recognition, career growth opportunities, compensation, and perceived support for work-life balance. These disparities suggest that targeted interventions are necessary to enhance engagement, particularly in the Marketing department, where dissatisfaction appears to be more pronounced.

Furthermore, the strong correlation between employee engagement and outcomes such as productivity, customer satisfaction, and talent retention underscores the importance of maintaining high engagement levels. The findings indicate that while LIC has a generally positive work environment, there is substantial room for improvement, especially in aligning engagement initiatives with the specific needs of different employee groups.

**References**

1. Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1993). *Transformational Leadership: A Response to Critics*. In *Leadership Theory and Research: Perspectives and Directions*. Academic Press.
2. Cameron, K. S., & Quinn, R. E. (2011). *Diagnosing and Changing Organizational Culture: Based on the Competing Values Framework*. Jossey-Bass.
3. Cohen, A. (1993). *Organizational Commitment and Turnover: A Meta-Analysis*. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36(5), 1140-1157.
4. Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). *The Job Demands-Resources Model of Burnout*. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 499-512.
5. Greenhaus, J. H., & Beutell, N. J. (1985). *Sources of Conflict between Work and Family Roles*. *Academy of Management Review*, 10(1), 76-88.
6. Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). *Motivation through the Design of Work: Test of a Theory*. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 16(2), 250-279.
7. Herzberg, F. (1966). *Work and the Nature of Man*. World Publishing Company.
8. Noe, R. A. (2018). *Employee Training and Development*. McGraw-Hill Education.
9. Pfeffer, J. (1998). *The Human Equation: Building Profits by Putting People First*. Harvard Business Review Press.