



Vocational Education in India: A Comparative Analysis of Policies Before and After NEP 2020

Ranu Kumari^{1*}, Dr. Mahesh Chandra Dubey²

¹*Research Scholar Department of History Mewar University Chittaurgarh

²Supervisor Department of History Mewar University Chittaurgarh

*Corresponding Author: Ranu Kumari

*Research Scholar Department of History Mewar University Chittaurgarh

Abstract

Vocational education in India has historically played a crucial role in developing a skilled workforce, essential for the country's economic growth. However, the approach towards vocational training has often been fragmented, with policies prior to the mainstream educational framework effectively. This research paper conducts a comparative analysis of vocational education policies before and after the implementation of NEP 2020, exploring the evolution, challenges, and advancements within this sector.

Before NEP 2020, vocational education in India was often seen as a secondary track, disconnected from academic education and plagued by societal stigmas, inadequate infrastructure, and limited industry collaboration. Despite efforts like schemes such as Vocational Education Scheme (VES) and Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Vikas Yojana (PMKVY), vocational education remained underutilized, with a significant gap between the skills imparted and industry demands.

The introduction of NEP 2020 marks a paradigm shift in the treatment of vocational education. NEP 2020 aims to mainstream vocational education from the school level, proposing that at least 50% of learners should have exposure to vocational skills by 2025. The policy emphasizes industry collaboration, hands-on training, and the introduction of vocational courses starting from Grade 6. It also introduces a flexible framework with multiple entry and exit points, enhancing accessibility and ensuring alignment with global standards through the National Skills Qualifications Framework (NSQF).

This paper critically examines the differences in policy approaches, implementation strategies, and expected outcomes before and after NEP 2020. Particularly in contributing to economic growth, entrepreneurship, and global competitiveness. Through this analysis, the paper underscores the importance of vocational education.

Keywords: Vocational, India, NEP 2020, National Policy on Education 1986, skill development, vocational training, educational policy, workforce development, industrial training, Kothari Commission, vocational streams, polytechnic institutes, societal biases, industry collaboration, educational reform, skill gap, economic growth, technical education, vocational courses, employment readiness

Introduction

Vocational education has been an essential, albeit often overlooked, component of India's educational system. It serves as a bridge between meet the demands of various industries. Historically, vocational education in India has been perceived as a secondary or alternative pathway, primarily catering to students who were either not inclined towards academic pursuits or were considered less academically successful. This perception has contributed to vocational education being undervalued, underfunded, and underdeveloped compared to mainstream academic education.[1]

Post-independence, India recognized the need to build a skilled workforce to support its ambitious plans for industrialization and economic self-reliance. Despite this recognition, vocational education policies have often fallen short in terms of implementation and impact. The NPE 1986 marked a significant attempt to revamp vocational education by integrating it into the higher secondary curriculum. However, issues such as inadequate infrastructure, outdated curricula, lack of trained instructors, and societal biases continued to hinder its growth and acceptance.

The introduction of the NEP 2020 represents a watershed moment, NEP 2020 aims break down barriers between vocational and academic education by mainstreaming vocational training from an early age and ensuring that it is integrated into the broader educational framework. The policy envisions a future where vocational education is not just an option for a few but a core component of the education system, accessible to all students and aligned with industry needs.[2]

This research paper aims to provide a comparative analysis of vocational education policies in India before and after NEP 2020. By examining the evolution of these policies, the challenges faced, and the advancements made, this paper seeks to highlight the critical role that vocational education plays in shaping a skilled workforce and contributing to India's economic development.

Historical Context

Pre-Independence Era

Vocational education in India originated during the pre-independence era, when the British colonial authority acknowledged the necessity of skilled workers to sustain its industrial endeavors. The British established a series of industrial schools aimed at training artisans and craftsmen, focusing on sectors like textiles, carpentry, and metalwork. These schools were strategically developed to meet the specific demands of the colonial economy, which required a workforce proficient in manual and technical skills to support the burgeoning industries in India.

However, these efforts were primarily utilitarian, with a narrow focus on producing a workforce that could serve the economic interests of the British Empire. The education provided in these industrial schools was highly specialized and limited in scope, primarily targeting basic skill acquisition rather than fostering broader intellectual development, innovation, or entrepreneurship. Moreover, the curriculum was designed to reinforce the colonial economic structure, ensuring that the skilled labor produced by these schools could be easily absorbed into the colonial industries, rather than empowering individuals to start their own enterprises or innovate within their trades.[3]

This approach to vocational education reinforced the colonial system's hierarchical nature, where the majority of the Indian population was trained to occupy subordinate positions within the colonial economy. There was little to no emphasis on developing higher-order skills or encouraging creativity, which could have led to the emergence of indigenous industries or innovation. Consequently, while the pre-independence vocational education initiatives did contribute to the development of a skilled labor force, they also entrenched a system that prioritized the colonial administration's needs over the broader socio-economic development of India.

Post-Independence Period (1947-1992)

The dawn of independence in 1947 marked a significant turning point for India, both politically and economically. The newly sovereign nation faced the daunting task of rebuilding and modernizing its economy, which had been heavily exploited under British rule. Recognizing the critical role of a skilled workforce in driving industrialization and economic growth, the Indian government placed a renewed emphasis on vocational education. This focus was clearly articulated in the first Five-Year Plan (1951-1956), which aimed to achieve economic self-sufficiency and reduce dependence on imports by developing indigenous industries.

The plan underscored the importance of vocational education in equipping the population with the skills necessary to support these industries. However, the implementation of vocational education programs during this period was fraught with challenges. Despite the government's recognition of the importance of vocational training, the infrastructure needed to support these programs was severely lacking. Many vocational training centers and institutions operated with outdated equipment, inadequate facilities, and insufficient resources, which severely hampered their ability to provide high-quality education.[4]

Moreover, the shortage of trained instructors posed a significant obstacle to the effective delivery of vocational education. Many vocational education programs struggled to attract and retain qualified teachers who possessed both the technical expertise and pedagogical skills needed to train students effectively. This shortage often resulted in subpar instruction, further undermining the credibility and effectiveness of vocational education.

In addition to these practical challenges, vocational education in post-independence India was also hampered by deep-seated societal perceptions that devalued vocational training. Within the broader social hierarchy, academic education was seen as a more prestigious and desirable pathway, leading to white-collar jobs and upward social mobility. In contrast, vocational education was often viewed as a lesser option, suitable only for those who were not academically inclined or who could not afford higher education. This perception contributed to the low enrollment rates in vocational education programs and perpetuated the stigma associated with vocational training.

The Kothari Commission, also known as the Education Commission (1964-66), aimed to tackle these difficulties and reform India's education system in order to align it more effectively with the country's developmental requirements. The commission's report was a significant milestone in Indian education policy, promoting a comprehensive and inclusive approach to education that prioritized vocational training. The Kothari Commission proposed the implementation of vocational courses at both the secondary and higher secondary levels, aiming to equip students with the necessary skills for immediate employment upon completion of their studies.[5]

Following the commission's recommendations, the Indian government established numerous vocational training institutes and introduced vocational courses in schools across the country. Despite these efforts, vocational education continued to struggle with issues of quality and accessibility. The societal bias against vocational education persisted, and many vocational programs failed to attract sufficient numbers of students or adequately prepare them for the workforce.

As a result, vocational education in post-independence India remained an underutilized and undervalued aspect of the education system. While the groundwork for vocational training had been laid, significant challenges remained in terms of changing societal attitudes, enhancing the caliber of education and ensuring that vocational training programs are in sync with the demands of the job market. The knowledge acquired during this period would later influence the formulation of subsequent education policies, such as the New Education Policy (NEP) 2020. The objective of the NEP was to tackle these long-standing problems and enhance vocational education, making it an essential and esteemed part of India's education system.

The National Policy on Education, implemented in 1986, and its subsequent effects.

The National Policy on Education (NPE) 1986 was a significant turning point in the development of India's education system, specifically in relation to vocational education. This policy originated from the acknowledgment that the current education system was insufficiently congruent with the socio-economic requirements of the nation. In order to stimulate economic growth and cater to the requirements of a progressively industrialized and technologically sophisticated society, the government recognized the necessity of overhauling the education system. This entails making it more pertinent, adaptable, and attuned to the needs of both the economy and society as a whole.

One of the central of the NPE 1986 was to fortify vocational education at the secondary level. The policy advocated for the establishment of dedicated vocational streams in higher secondary education, which would operate alongside the traditional academic streams. This approach was intended to provide students with an opportunity to pursue vocational training within the formal education system, thereby creating a more diversified and inclusive educational landscape.[6] The NPE 1986 outlined a comprehensive strategy for vocational education, proposing the introduction of vocational courses across a wide range of fields. These included agriculture, engineering, health, commerce, and various trades and crafts, reflecting the diverse needs of the Indian economy. The aim was to equip students with practical skills and knowledge that would directly prepare them for employment, thereby reducing the mismatch between the education system and the labor market.

To support this vision, the policy led to the establishment of numerous vocational training centers, polytechnic institutes, and Industrial Training Institutes (ITIs) across the country. These institutions were tasked with providing specialized vocational training in various trades and industries, catering to both urban and rural populations. The expansion of polytechnic institutes, in particular, was a key aspect of the NPE 1986, aimed at providing diploma-level education in technical fields, thus addressing the demand for mid-level technicians and skilled workers.

Despite these ambitious goals, the impact of the NPE 1986 on vocational education was mixed and, in many respects, fell short of expectations. Several factors contributed to the limited success of the policy's implementation.

First and foremost, **insufficient funding** was a major impediment. While the policy laid out a clear vision for expanding vocational education, the financial resources allocated to this sector were often inadequate. This resulted in vocational training centers and polytechnic institutes being under-resourced, with insufficient infrastructure, outdated equipment, and a lack of necessary teaching aids. Without adequate funding, many institutions struggled to deliver high-quality vocational training, which in turn affected the outcomes for students.[7]

Another significant challenge was the **lack of industry collaboration**. The success of vocational education depends heavily on its alignment. However, the NPE 1986 did not fully establish the necessary mechanisms for effective industry-education partnerships. As a result, the vocational courses offered by many institutions were not always aligned with the skills and competencies demanded by employers. This mismatch led to a situation where students, even after completing vocational training, found it difficult to secure employment, as the skills they had acquired were not always relevant to the job market.

Furthermore, **societal biases** continued to pose a significant challenge. In India, there has traditionally been a strong cultural preference for academic education, which is perceived as a more prestigious and respectable pathway. Vocational education, by contrast, has often been viewed as a secondary option, suitable primarily for students who are not academically inclined. This perception was deeply ingrained and difficult to change, despite the policy's best efforts. As a result, vocational education programs often suffered from low enrollment, as students and their families preferred academic streams, which were seen as offering better prospects for social mobility and career advancement.

These societal biases were compounded by the **quality issues** within vocational education. Due to inadequate funding, lack of qualified instructors, and outdated curricula, the quality of vocational training in many institutions was subpar. This further reinforced the perception that vocational education was an inferior option, leading to a vicious cycle where low enrollment led to further neglect of vocational programs.

As a consequence of these challenges, vocational education under the NPE 1986 did not achieve the level of success that policymakers had envisioned. While the policy laid important groundwork for the development of vocational education in India, its impact was limited by structural, financial, and societal barriers. Vocational education remained a less preferred option for students, and the programs that were implemented often did not meet the desired standards of quality and relevance.[8]

In conclusion, while the NPE 1986 was a significant milestone in recognizing the importance of vocational education and attempting to integrate it into the mainstream educational framework, its implementation revealed several critical gaps. The policy highlighted the need for a more holistic approach that included adequate funding, strong industry partnerships, and efforts to change societal perceptions. These lessons would later inform subsequent education policies, The New Education Policy (NEP) 2020, contains its objectives to rectify these deficiencies and establish a stronger and cohesive vocational education system in India.

Vocational Education in India Before NEP 2020[9]

Before the introduction of NEP 2020, vocational education in India was governed by a fragmented policy framework. The primary focus was on providing vocational training to students in the school and tertiary levels through several initiatives and initiatives.

Key Schemes and Programs

1. The Vocational Education Scheme (VES) was introduced in the 1980s with the objective of expanding educational options at the secondary level through the provision of vocational courses in different areas. The program aimed to equip students with the necessary skills and knowledge to enter the workforce immediately after finishing their secondary education, with a focus on preparing them for certain jobs.
2. The National Skill Development Mission (NSDM) is a government initiative aimed at promoting and enhancing the development of skills in the country. The National Skill Development Mission, initiated in 2015, with the objective of generating a proficient labor force through the provision of vocational training to millions of individuals nationwide. The objective is to synchronize vocational education with industry demands and enhance skill development through collaborations between the public and commercial sectors.
3. The Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Vikas Yojana (PMKVY) is a government initiative. The Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Vikas Yojana (PMKVY), initiated in 2015, was a prominent program implemented by the Ministry of Skill Development and Entrepreneurship. The program sought to offer brief vocational instruction to young people nationwide, with an emphasis on enhancing job prospects and fostering entrepreneurial endeavors.

Challenges and Shortcomings

Despite the efforts to promote vocational education, the system faced several challenges that hindered its effectiveness:

- **Lack of Integration with Mainstream Education**
 - Vocational education was often seen as a separate track, disconnected from the mainstream education system. This led to a perception that vocational courses were less prestigious and only suitable for students who were not academically inclined.
- **Inadequate Infrastructure and Resources**
 - Many vocational training centers and institutes suffered from a lack of infrastructure, outdated equipment, and insufficient resources. This affected the quality of training and made it difficult for students to acquire the skills needed to meet industry standards.[10]
- **Limited Industry Collaboration**
 - The limited coordination between vocational training institutes and enterprises resulted in a discrepancy between the skills taught in vocational courses and the skills demanded by businesses. As a consequence, vocational education graduates experienced a diminished ability to secure employment.
- **Social Stigma and Perceptions**
 - Vocational education was often perceived as a second-rate option, leading to low enrollment and lack of interest among students. The societal bias towards academic education further contributed to the challenges faced by vocational education programs.[11]

The New Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and its implications for Vocational Education

The New Education Policy 2020 brought about a significant change in the way vocational education is approached in India. In response to the demand for a proficient labor force in a swiftly evolving economy, NEP 2020 sought to incorporate vocational education into the primary education system and establish it as a fundamental component of the curriculum starting at a young age.

Key Provisions of NEP 2020[12]

1. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 suggests incorporating vocational education into mainstream education starting from secondary school, with the aim of introducing pupils to practical skills at an early stage. The program stipulates that by 2025, a minimum of 50% of students should be provided with opportunities to engage in vocational education within both schools and higher education institutions.
2. The policy recommends the introduction of vocational courses in schools starting from Grade 6, with hands-on training and internships. This aims to make vocational education more accessible and to provide students with practical skills alongside academic learning.
3. The NEP 2020 emphasizes the significance of partnership between educational institutions and industries to guarantee that vocational courses are in line with the requirements of the sector. This includes the establishment of skill laboratories, provision of apprenticeship programs, and development of a comprehensive framework for skill enhancement in collaboration with industry stakeholders.
4. The policy introduces a flexible system of multiple entry and exit points in vocational education, allowing students to pursue vocational training at different stages of their education. This is intended to provide greater flexibility and choice for students, enabling them to combine vocational and academic learning.
5. The National Skills Qualifications Framework (NSQF) is set to be enhanced according to the proposals of the NEP 2020. The aim is to provide a uniform standard for vocational education and ensure its compatibility with international standards. This framework will enable the acknowledgment of previous learning and guarantee that vocational certifications are esteemed in the labor market.

Implications and Expected Outcomes[13]

- **Increased Accessibility and Enrollment**

- By integrating vocational education into the mainstream curriculum and making it accessible from an early age, NEP 2020 is expected to increase enrollment in vocational courses and reduce the stigma associated with vocational education.

- **Improved Quality of Training**

- The focus on industry collaboration and the implementation of skill laboratories and apprenticeships are anticipated to enhance the caliber of vocational training and align it more closely with the demands of the labor market.

Comparative Analysis: Policies Before and After NEP 2020

The comparison between vocational education policies before and after NEP 2020 reveals several key differences in approach, implementation, and expected outcomes.

Policy Approach

- **Before NEP 2020**

- Vocational education was treated as a separate track, often disconnected from the mainstream education system. The primary emphasis was on delivering vocational education at the secondary and higher secondary levels, with minimal incorporation into the wider curriculum.[14]

- **After NEP 2020**

- The NEP 2020 prioritizes the incorporation of vocational education within the primary education system, commencing at the secondary school level. The policy seeks to integrate vocational education within the curriculum, emphasizing the provision of practical skills in addition to academic learning.

Implementation

- **Before NEP 2020**

- Vocational education programs were implemented through various schemes and initiatives, often with limited success due to challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, lack of industry collaboration, and societal biases.[15]

- **After NEP 2020**

- NEP 2020 proposes a comprehensive and integrated approach to vocational education, with a focus on collaboration with industries, setting up skill labs, and providing multiple entry and exit points. The policy aims to overcome the challenges faced by earlier programs and create a robust framework for vocational education.

Expected Outcomes

- **Before NEP 2020**

- The impact of vocational education policies before NEP 2020 was limited, with low enrollment, poor quality of training, and limited employability of graduates. Vocational education continued to be perceived as a less prestigious option.[16]

- **After NEP 2020**

- The NEP 2020 is anticipated to greatly enhance the accessibility, quality, and pertinence of vocational education. The policy aims to increase enrollment, enhance employability, and bridge the skill gap in various sectors. By integrating vocational education into the mainstream curriculum, NEP 2020 seeks to change societal perceptions and make vocational training a valued and essential part of the education system.

Challenges and Future Prospects

While NEP 2020 presents a promising vision for vocational education in India, several challenges need to be addressed to ensure the successful implementation of the policy.

Challenges

1. **Infrastructure and Resources**

- The successful implementation of vocational education under NEP 2020 will require significant investment in infrastructure, including setting up skill labs, workshops, and training centers. Ensuring that these facilities are available across the country, particularly in rural areas, will be a major challenge.[17]

2. **Teacher Training and Capacity Building**

- The efficacy of vocational education programs hinges upon the presence of competent and skilled instructors. Building the capacity of teachers and trainers to deliver high-quality vocational training will be essential for the success of NEP 2020.

3. **Collaboration with Industry**

- Effective collaboration between educational institutions and industries is crucial for aligning vocational education with industry needs. Establishing strong partnerships and ensuring that industries are actively involved in the design and delivery of vocational courses will be a key challenge.

4. Changing Societal Perceptions

- Although the strategy prioritizes the integration of vocational education into the mainstream, it will require time to alter cultural perceptions and diminish the negative connotations associated with vocational training. Encouraging students and parents to view vocational education as a viable and prestigious option will be an ongoing challenge.[18]

Future Prospects

- **Skill Development as a Driver of Economic Growth**

- As India continues to grow as a global economic power, the demand for a skilled workforce will only increase. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 envisions vocational education as having the capacity to fulfill this demand and stimulate economic growth.

- **Entrepreneurship and Innovation**

- By equipping students with practical skills and fostering a culture of innovation, vocational education under NEP 2020 can contribute to the growth of entrepreneurship in India. This, in turn, can create new job opportunities and support the development of small and medium enterprises (SMEs).

- **Global Competitiveness**

- Aligning vocational education with global standards and ensuring that Indian graduates possess the skills needed in a competitive job market will enhance India's global competitiveness. NEP 2020's focus on skill development and vocational training positions India to be a leader in the global workforce.[19]

In conclusion

The New Education Policy 2020 signifies a significant change in the approach towards vocational education in India. The NEP 2020 aims to include vocational education into the regular education system and synchronize it with the requirements of various industries. This is intended to provide a competent workforce capable of adapting to the fast-paced changes in the economy. The policy's potential for success hinges on effectively tackling the implementation issues, namely in the areas of infrastructure, teacher training, industry engagement, and altering public beliefs. The implementation of vocational education, as outlined in the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, has the capacity to significantly contribute to India's economic progress and enhance its worldwide competitiveness. Vocational education may enhance entrepreneurship, creativity, and economic prosperity by providing students with the necessary skills to thrive in a rapidly changing employment market. The comparative examination of policies pre and post NEP 2020 emphasizes the notable progress in the approach to vocational education, while also emphasizing the necessity for ongoing endeavors to guarantee its effective execution.

References

1. Agarwal, Pawan. *Indian Higher Education: Envisioning the Future*. SAGE Publications, 2009, pp. 45-62.
2. Banerjee, Rukmini. "Skills Development in India: The Vocational Education and Training System." *Journal of Education and Work*, vol. 25, no. 3, 2012, pp. 305-326.
3. Batra, Suraj. *The Evolution of Technical Education in India: A Historical Perspective*. Orient BlackSwan, 2015, pp. 112-134.
4. Bhattacharya, Sudhindra. *Education in India: Past, Present and Future*. Pearson, 2018, pp. 77-101.
5. Biswas, A., and S.P. Agarwal. *Development of Education in India: A Historical Survey of Educational Documents Before and After Independence*. Concept Publishing Company, 1994, pp. 221-240.
6. Deb, Ashok. "Challenges of Vocational Education in India: An Analytical Review." *Indian Journal of Education*, vol. 36, no. 4, 2015, pp. 144-167.
7. Desai, Sonalde, et al. *Human Development in India: Challenges for a Society in Transition*. Oxford University Press, 2010, pp. 89-112.
8. Ghosh, Suresh Chandra. *The History of Education in Modern India, 1757-2012*. Orient BlackSwan, 2013, pp. 173-195.
9. Gupta, Deepti. "Vocational Education and Training in India: Imperatives and Challenges." *International Journal of Training Research*, vol. 15, no. 3, 2017, pp. 203-221.
10. Jain, Pratibha. "The National Policy on Education 1986: A Critical Evaluation." *Indian Educational Review*, vol. 23, no. 1, 1988, pp. 21-42.
11. Kumar, Krishna. *Politics of Education in Colonial India*. Routledge, 2014, pp. 66-89.
12. Majumdar, Shyamal, and T.M. Tapsir. "Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) in India: A Historical Overview." *Journal of Technical Education and Training*, vol. 8, no. 2, 2016, pp. 115-130.
13. Mathur, M. "Vocational Education and Training in India: Challenges and the Road Ahead." *Journal of Vocational Education and Training*, vol. 69, no. 4, 2017, pp. 579-593.
14. Mehta, Deepa. *Vocational Education in India: Policies and Implementation*. Atlantic Publishers, 2005, pp. 91-109.
15. Mukherjee, H.N. *Education in India: Today and Tomorrow*. Cambridge University Press, 1999, pp. 53-76.
16. Nanda, S.K. *Vocational Education and Training in India: A Policy Analysis*. S. Chand Publishing, 2007, pp. 123-145.
17. National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT). *National Policy on Education 1986: With Modification Undertaken in 1992*. NCERT, 1993, pp. 17-35.

18. Pandey, Rita. Vocational Education and Training in India: A Study of Educational Policy and Planning. Academic Foundation, 2009, pp. 45-68.
19. Pathak, Avijit. Social Implications of Educational Change in India. Aakar Books, 2010, pp. 107-130.
Alpina Nira Histoyr 2nd Paper 9677936911