#### 7(3) 1-12

## Application of dried shrimp head in the diets of sea cucumber Holothuria scabra

### Tan J.<sup>1\*</sup>

Received: November 2020

Accepted: March 2021

#### Abstract

This study aims to determine the suitability of dried shrimp head as part of the ingredient in formulated diet to promote growth of sea cucumber in captivity. The sea cucumber Holothuria scabra were divided into two tanks to test two different diets: Diet A (commercial diet supplemented with dried shrimp waste) and Diet B (commercial diet without dried shrimp waste). A total of 20 pieces of H. scabra per tank for each diet were involved in the experiment that lasted for 8 weeks. The weight increment of *H. scabra* from each tank was recorded weekly and survival rate (SR), weight gain rate (WGR) and specific growth rate (SGR) was calculated. Overall, H. scabra fed with Diet A showed higher WGR than H. scabra fed with Diet B, with increment of 30.57 % (12.10 g) recorded in Diet A to 14.26 % (11.18 g) for Diet B from their average initial weight. The overall SGR of Diet A and Diet B were 0.48 % and 0.24 %, respectively. SR remaining at 100 % for all treatments. Statistical analysis showed the average wet weight of Diet A was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than Diet B. Results showed the ability of sea cucumber H. scabra to consume and digest diet containing dried shrimp head, suggested that there is potential in using dried shrimp head to promote growth rates of sea cucumber. Using dried shrimp heads can be considered to make good use of shrimp farming waste.

Keywords: Dried shrimp head, Holothuria scabra, Sea cucumber diets

<sup>1-</sup>Marine Ecology Research Centre (MERC) is located at Gaya Island, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia. MERC is privately funded and dedicated to marine conservation since 2007. MERC actively propagates and release marine organisms for restocking in depleted habitats.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's Email: info-merc@echoresorts.com

#### Introduction

Sea cucumbers are a high-value seafood product that being harvested worldwide for their body wall (bêche-de-mer). The increasing demand of sea cucumber has led to over exploitation throughout the world (Hamel et al., 2001; Conand, 2004; Lovatelli et al., 2004; Uthicke, 2004) and has caused a significant decline in natural populations in almost all countries that harvest them (Conand, 2004). This has encouraged the development of sea cucumber aquaculture. However. knowledge of dietary requirements has limited the potential expansion of this activity. Limited data regarding the feeding behavior, nutrition requirements and artificial feeds for this species is available (Chen et al., 2015). There are also no specific formulated diets that meet the nutritional requirements of these under intensive farming organisms (Zacarias-Soto and Olvera-Nova, 2015). Sea cucumbers farmed in captivity are mostly co-cultured in shrimp ponds, feeding on the shrimp waste and excess food (Pitt et al., 2004), or cultured in abandoned shrimp ponds using the remaining natural food resources, with no supply of formulated food (Agudo, 2006). For sea cucumbers farmed in tanks, they are fed with formulated diets. Plant-based ingredients such as soy or corn meals and other grains or distillery by-products have been included in diets for sea cucumber farming (Yuan et al., 2006; Maxwell et al., 2009; Seo et al., 2011). Several species of kelp have also been grounded into paste and frozen or dried and placed in broodstock tanks (Ito, 1995; Yanagisawa, 1995). Battaglene and Bell (1997) stated that *Holothuria scabra* broodstock in India and Indonesia were fed soybean powder, rice bran, chicken manure, and ground algae and prawn-head waste. Agudo (2006) and James (1996) also reported on using shrimp head waste as part of the ingredient in the formulated feed for sea cucumber's broodstock conditioning in captivity.

Dried shrimp waste the is undecomposed dried waste of shrimp (Heuzé and Tran, 2015). It can contain whole shrimps and/or shrimp parts such as the heads, appendages and exoskeleton (Fanimo et al., 1996; Fanimo et al., 2000). They can be grounded down and the powder used as an animal feed supplement, bait or fertilizer, as well as in chitin production (Yan and Chen, 2015). Shrimp production represented 15% of all globally traded fishery product in 2013, and Asia contributed 85% of shrimp aquaculture production and 74% of wild shrimp captured (FAO, 2014; Mirzah et al., 2020). However, approximately 70% of total shrimp landings turned into waste (Heuzé and Tran, 2015), due to most shrimps are sold headless (Hossain et al., 2018). Moreover, the increase in shrimp farming industry has led to the more production of shrimp waste (Fanimo et al., 2000). The increased quantity of shrimp waste has raised environmental problems and is a waste of natural resources (Mirzah et al., 2020). Thus, using shrimp waste as feed is considered a part of waste management in the shrimp industry.

Shrimp head has rich source of protein, chitin, carotenoids, enzymes and other nutritive components (Yanar et al., 2004; Holanda and Netto, 2006; Samar et al., 2013, Thongprajukeaw, 2014), which can enhance gonad development of cultured animals (Akiyama et al., 1991; Gowsalya and Kumar, 2018). It also has higher mineral and calcium content than fish meal, as well as some amino acids such as aspartic acid, glutamic acid, leucine, lysine and argentine (Hossain et al., 2018). Shrimp head is considered a good ingredient for fish because of its high crude protein content and digestibility (Göhl, 1982; Heuzé and Tran, 2015), stimulating fish growth (Hertrampf et al., 2000; Heuzé and Tran, 2015) and used by fish nutritionists in diets to produce desired coloration in trout and salmon (Rosenfeld et al., 1997).

The objective of this study is to determine the suitability of dried shrimp head as part of the ingredient in formulated diet to promote growth of sea cucumber in captivity. Captive animals tend to lose their body mass over the period of captivity. Most studies suggested that density of sea cucumber in tank and lack of appropriate diets are the main causes for the poor performance of sea cucumber held in captivity (Turner, 2015). If proper food is not provided, the animals shrunk and the gonad is re-absorbed, rendering the material unfit for spawning purposes. Thus, feeding them nutritional feed is an important aspect to improve the growth performance of sea cucumber broodstock. In addition, good quality feed given to

broodstock will also promotes better reproduction process (Nzohabonayo *et al.*, 2017). Using dried shrimp heads can be considered to make good use of shrimp farming waste.

#### Materials and methods

# *Experimental design and rearing conditions*

The experiment was carried out in Marine Ecology Research Centre (MERC), located in Malohom Bay of Gaya Island, Sabah. The *Holothuria scabra* collected from Kudat and Gaya Island was divided into two tanks: Tank A and Tank B, to test two different diets: Diet A (commercial diet supplemented with dried shrimp waste) and Diet B (commercial diet without dried shrimp waste).

An open flow through system was used with 1.085 m<sup>3</sup> (1.445 x 1.615 x 0.465 meter) concrete tanks, where each tanks was filled with continuously running seawater pumped directly from the sea and through a sand filter. The system also included continuously supply of aeration. This system helps to ensure and reduce fluctuation of the water quality parameters in the tanks. Sand collected from seagrass area (grain size 35-1000 micron) was used as substrate in tanks, and was changed every 2-3 weeks to avoid growth of bacteria that would cause lesion to the *H. scabra* in the tanks. The tanks were situated under open environment, with natural sunlight during day time (photo period approximately 8-10 hours). By designing the tank environment as close to the nature

environment also reduced stress on *H*. *scabra* that being kept in confined space.

#### Experimental diets

Ingredients and composition of two experimental diets: Diet A (with dried shrimp head) and Diet B (without dried shrimp head) as shown in Table 1. Unwanted head parts of giant tiger prawn or Asian tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) were collected from Bayu Aquaculture Sdn. Bhd. marine fish and shrimp farm in Tuaran, Sabah. No chemicals were used in the entire process of shrimp culture. Shrimp heads collected were then dried under the sun and stored in a cool, dry place.

 Table 1: Ingredients and composition of two

 experimental diets.

Ingredients	Diet A	Diet B
Dried shrimp head	25 %	0 %
Soybean meal	23 %	35.5 %
Shrimp feed	23 %	35.5 %
Squid oil	2.5 %	2.5 %
Soybean oil	2.5 %	2.5 %
Spirulina powder	8 %	8 %
Vitamin premix	4 %	4 %
Mineral premix	4 %	4 %
Agar	4 %	4 %
Water	3 %	3 %

Prior to the preparation of diet, shrimp heads were grounded before mixing and cooking with other ingredients. The composition of dried shrimp head in Diet B was replaced with soybean meal and *Litopenaeus vannamei* shrimp feed, as both ingredients were also part of protein supply in the diet. Squid oil and soybean oil were served as the lipid sources while spirulina powder was the carbohydrate source in the experimental diets. Agar was used to bind all the mix ingredients for easy storing and feeding.

The first step in preparation of the feed was to add agar into boiled water and then left aside to reduce the temperature of the liquid agar. Other ingredients were then added and mixed evenly before allowing the agar to cool and turned into solid stage. The mixture was then transferred into a pan for further cooling. This would be stored inside a container and kept refrigerated.

#### Feeding trials

The number of *H. scabra* involved in the experiment was 20 pieces per tank for each diet. Initial weight recorded was  $39.58\pm3.31$  g for Tank A (Diet A) and  $78.41\pm6.67$  g for Tank B (Diet B). During the experiment period that lasted for 2 months (8 weeks / 56 days), the sea cucumber in both tanks was fed once per day in the evening, at about 1630 hour. Amount of feed given were based on 3% of their total wet weight recorded weekly. Uneaten feed on the following day were mixed with the sand substrate in tanks so that *H. scabra* can continue feeding on the diet when grazing on the sand.

#### Data recording

The weight of *H. scabra* were measured at the beginning of the experiment and continue to be measured weekly to record their growth in terms of wet weight (g). The process included removing the *H. scabra* out from the tanks, waited a few seconds for them to expel the water, weighing them, and returning them to their tank. Survival (%) was measured by

#### Data calculation

The weight increment of *H. scabra* from each tank was recorded weekly. Survial rate (SR), weight gain rate (WGR) and specific growth rate (SGR) were calculated as below following equation of Zamora and Jeffs (2012), and Wang *et al.* (2016):

SR (%) =  $(N_2 / N_1) \times 100$ 

WGR (%) =  $[(W_2 - W_1) / W_1] \ge 100$ 

SGR (%) =  $[(\ln W_2 - \ln W_1) / T] \times 100$ where N<sub>1</sub> is the number of individuals alive at the beginning of experiment and N<sub>2</sub> is the number of individuals surviving at end of the experiment; W<sub>1</sub> and W<sub>2</sub> are initial and final average wet weight recorded. T is the experimental period in days.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by the software SPSS with possible differences between the two diet treatments being tested by using independent-samples t-test. Differences were considered significant at a probability level of less than 0.05 (p<0.05).

#### Results

Summary of weekly weight measurement of *H. scabra* fed with two different diets is shown in Table 2. Effects of different diets on weight gain rate (WGR) and specific growth rate (SGR) of *H. scabra* is shown in Table 3.

Overall, *H. scabra* fed with Diet A (commercial diet with dried shrimp head)

showed significant higher weight gain compared to *H. scabra* fed with Diet B (commercial diet without dried shrimp head) after the 8 weeks of trial feeding, with increment of 12.10 g (30.57 %) and 11.18 g (14.26 %) from their average initial weight, respectively.

Table 2: Weekly weight (g) measurement<br/>record of *Holothuria scabra* fed with<br/>different diets.

Week	Weight (g)		
week	Diet A	Diet B	
0 (Initial)	$39.58 \pm 3.31$	$78.41 \pm 6.67$	
1	$44.89\pm3.88$	$90.54 \pm 9.48$	
2	$41.91 \pm 3.43$	$88.67 \pm 9.78$	
3	$37.35 \pm 2.14$	$104.80\pm10.64$	
4	$38.74 \pm 2.64$	$97.80 \pm 9.87$	
5	$44.70\pm2.96$	$106.98\pm10.38$	
6	$47.14 \pm 2.97$	$108.32\pm9.42$	
7	$42.00\pm3.00$	$85.69 \pm 9.35$	
8	$51.68 \pm 4.40$	$89.59 \pm 10.59$	

Both diets showed almost similar trend throughout the 8 weeks, except in week 3 and 4, where H. scabra fed with Diet A showed decrement in their average weight in week 3 and increment in week 4, and vice versa in Diet B. The decrement in average weight of H. scabra could be attributed to some H. scabra developing lesion during the treatment period. The SGR was of the same order as that of WGR. The overall SGR of Diet A and Diet B after 8 weeks (56 days) of treatment were 0.48 % and 0.24 %, respectively. The highest WGR and SGR were observed in week 8 and week 3 in Diet A and Diet B, respectively, whereas the lowest WGR and SGR was observed in week 7 for both diets.

Statistical analysis showed there was a significant difference between the average wet weight, where Diet A was significantly higher (p<0.05) than Diet B. No mortality was observed for both diets during the experiment period, with survival remaining at 100% for all treatments.

Table 3: Effects from different diets on WGR
and SGR of <i>Holothuria scabra</i> .

Growth Performance	Diet A	Diet B
Week 1 (1 - 7 day)		
Weight gain (g)	5.32	12.13
WGR (%)	11.83	15.47
SGR (%)	1.80	2.05
Week 2 (8 - 14 day)		
Weight gain (g)	-2.98	-1.87
WGR (%)	-6.64	-2.06
SGR (%)	-0.98	-0.30
Week 3 (15 - 21 day)		
Weight gain (g)	-4.56	16.13
WGR (%)	-10.88	18.19
SGR (%)	-1.64	2.38
Week 4 (22 - 28 day)		
Weight gain (g)	1.39	-7.0
WGR (%)	3.72	-6.68
SGR (%)	0.52	-0.99
Week 5 (29 - 35 day)		
Weight gain (g)	5.96	9.18
WGR (%)	15.38	9.38
SGR (%)	2.04	1.28
Week 6 (36 - 42 day)		
Weight gain (g)	2.44	1.34
WGR (%)	5.46	1.25
SGR (%)	0.75	0.18
Week 7 (43 - 49 day)		
Weight gain (g)	-5.14	-22.63
WGR (%)	-10.90	-20.89
SGR (%)	-1.65	-3.35
Week 8 (50 - 56 day)		
Weight gain (g)	9.68	3.9
WGR (%)	23.05	4.55
SGR (%)	2.96	0.64
Overall weight gain (g)	12.1	11.18
Overall WGR (%)	30.57	14.31
Overall SGR (%)	0.48	0.24
Survival rate, SR (%)	100	100

#### Discussion

The results from this study showed that sea cucumber (H. scabra) was able to consume and digest diet containing dried shrimp head. Throughout the experiment period, no mortality was recorded. However, some H. scabra in both treatment had developed lesion during experiment and caused the them shrinking in size and thus fluctuation in their weekly average weight. Decrease in weight of H. scabra was observed in week 2 and 7 for both diets. Weight decrements were also observed in week 3 for Diet A and week 4 for Diet B. Lesion was spotted on one to three individuals while others not displaying lesion were also observed to have shrunk in size. The reason could be bacteria found in sand substrate collected from wild and also parasites such as pea crab that were introduced accidentally from the sand. Nevertheless, the body weight of H. scabra fed with Diet A was able to compensate and increase more than those that fed with Diet B after recovery. This suggested that part of the nutrient in the dried shrimp head was used to maintain and promote the growth.

Sand was used during the experiment to maintain and promote the H. scabra growth in captivity. This was agreed by Robinson et al. (2013), Shi et al. (2013), and Qiu et al. (2014), which stated that keeping sea cucumber in tanks with sand actually promotes growth, weight gain, and increases the SGR. However, sand collected from wild tends to mix with many microbes such as bacteria, fungi, protozoa and virus, as well as parasitic organisms such as gastropods, flatworms and crustacean. The invasive organisms that usually cause lesion in *H. scabra* have been reported as bacteria, fungi, and protozoa. (Deng *et al.*, 2009; Lavitra *et al.*, 2009). In this study, freshly collected sand was sieved and unwanted organisms were removed. The sand in tank was changed at least once every two weeks to reduce the growth of harmful bacteria.

Final weight measurement of H. scabra fed with Diet A showed significantly higher increment compared to Diet B, which might be related to the higher crude protein content in dried shrimp head. This is supported by Nargis et al. (2006), which reported the shrimp head waste contained 49.47 % of crude protein. Other than that, Nwanna (2003) reported the shrimp head silage meal contain crude protein of 58.96 %. These reports showed that meal contain shrimp head is rich in protein and compares favorably with other conventional dietary protein sources, such as fish meal at 40 to 70 % depend on raw materials quality (Masagounder et al. 2016), and soybean meal at 43 to 53 % (Heuzé et al, 2020).

In this study, the composition of dried shrimp head in Diet B was replaced by soybean meal and shrimp feed. The major components of protein in shrimp feed used in this study were wheat flour, soybean meal and fish meal, which consist of 40% crude protein. Besides, soybean products are relatively high in carbohydrate, low crude fat and crude protein levels compared to those found in fish meal (Heuzé *et al.*, 2020). This explained the final weight increment,

where *H. scabra* fed with Diet A showed significant higher weight gain compared to H. scabra fed with Diet B. The result is supported by Krishnan (1968), stating that carbohydrates appear to be less important than protein and lipid for sea cucumber gonad development. Similarly, in the study by Seo and Lee (2011), sea cucumber juveniles show stronger growth when fed diets rich in protein. Moreover, shrimp head was also found to be rich in mineral content especially calcium, phosphorus, sodium and zinc (Ibrahim et al., 1999). In term of cost benefit, shrimp head waste is considered a low cost processing product compare to other commercial feed. It only need to be dried under the sun or oven and grounded into powder form before used as feed. The cost of production on a commercial scale is expected to be minimized.

So far no studies have been conducted to estimate the effect of diet containing shrimp head on the growth of sea cucumber. Used of shrimp waste product as part of the ingredients in the sea cucumber diets are mostly in the form of fresh wet waste which consist of feces and residual feed of shrimp. James (1996) mentioned that the sea cucumbers accepted well on feed with 6.5 % protein which was prepared with shrimp head waste, soybean powder and rice bran. However, no record or statistical analysis has been done to estimate the growth rate. Study by Chen et al. (2015) reported that the sea cucumber fed with 50 % wet shrimp waste achieved higher SGR (0.51)compare to diet contain only the commercial powdered alga after 60 days

of experimental period. The results were relatively higher than the SGR (0.48) obtained in this study, which might be cause by the fluctuation of weekly SGR due to lesion on the *H. scabra*. Besides, Slater *et al.* (2009) reported that sea cucumber fed with mussel waste diet exhibited an average SGR of 0.32 over 3 months, comparatively lower than present study, showing the potential of dried shrimp head to be used as part of the ingredient in sea cucumber diets

#### Conclusions

Results from the present study suggested that there is potential in using dried shrimp head to promote growth rates of sea cucumber. Formulated diet included dried shrimp head at 25% level can improve the performance of sea cucumber in terms of growth in 8 weeks of experiment when compared to diet without dried shrimp head included. The use of dried shrimp head in sea cucumber aquaculture can also reduce the pollution waste from shrimp aquaculture industry. However, future research is needed to determine the optimum dried shrimp head composition in the diet that could promote maximum growth and ingestion rate, and the effects of shrimp head waste on sea cucumber gonad development. The type of substrate or sediment used in the tank also needs to be examined to prevent injury on sea cucumber that could affect the growth performance.

#### Acknowledgement

I thank Datuk Robert Tan and Marine Ecology Research Centre (MERC) for financing and supporting this trial. My appreciation to Ong Fang Sing and her team for assistance in the trial and preparation of manuscript. I am grateful to Professor Dato' Dr. Aileen Tan Shau Hwai for her critical comments on the manuscript.

#### References

- Agudo, N., 2006. Sandfish hatchery techniques. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Secretariat of the Pacific Community and the WorldFish Center, Noumea, 44 P.
- Akiyama, D.M., Dominy, W.G. and Lawrence, A.L., 1991. Penaeid shrimp nutrition for the commercial feed industry: revised. In: Akiyama, D.M. Tan, R.K.H., and (eds.) Proceedings of the Aquaculture Processing and Feed Nutrition Workshop, Thailand and Indonesia, Singapore. American Sovbean Association, pp. 80–98.
- Battaglene, S.C. and Bell. J.D., 1997. Potential of the tropical Indo-Pacific sea cucumber *Holothuria scabra* for stock enhancement. In: *Proceedings of the first international symposium on stock enhancement and sea ranching*, Bergen, Norway, pp. 478–490.
- Chen, Y., Hu, C. and Ren, C., 2015. Application of wet waste from shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) with or without sea mud to feeding sea cucumber (*Stichopus monotuberculatus*). Journal of Ocean University of China, 14(1), 114-120.
- **Conand, C., 2004.** Present status of world sea cucumber resources and utilization: an international overview.

In: Lovatelli, A., Conand, C., Purcell, S., Uthicke, S., Hame, J.F. and Mercier, A. (eds) *Advances in sea cucumber aquaculture and management*. FAO Rome, pp. 13–23.

- Deng, H., He, C.B., Zhou, Z.C., Liu, C., Tan, K.F., Wang, N.B., Jiang, B., Gao, X.G. and Liu, W.D., 2009. Isolation and pathogenicity of skin ulceration pathogens from disease and viscera ejection syndrome of the sea cucumber Apostichopus japonicus. Aquaculture, 287(1), 18-27.
- Fanimo, A.O., Mudama, E., Umukoro, T.O. and Oduguwa, O.O., 1996. Substitution of shrimp waste meal for fish meal in broiler chicken rations. *Tropical Agriculture (Trinidad)*, 73(2), 201-205.
- Fanimo, A.O., Oduguwa, O.O., Onifade, A.O. and Olutunde, T.O., 2000. Protein quality of shrimp-waste meal. *Bioresource Technology*, 72, 185-188.
- **FAO, 2014.** The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2014, opportunities and challenges, *FAO Rome*.
- Göhl, B., 1982. Les aliments du bétail sous les tropiques. FAO, Division de Production et Santé Animale, Roma, Italy.
- Gowsalya, T. and Kumar, S.S., 2018. Influence of shrimp head meal incorporated diet on growth and maturation of goldfish *Carassius auratus*. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies*, 6(4), 1-7.

- Hamel, J.F., Conand, C., Pawson, D.L.
  and Mercier, A., 2001. The sea cucumber *Holothuria scabra* (Holothuroidea: Echinodermata): Its biology and exploitation as Bêche-de-Mer. *Advances in Marine Biology*, 41, 129–223.
- Hertrampf, J.W. and Piedad-Pascual, F., 2000. Handbook on ingredients for aquaculture feeds. *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, 624 P.
- Heuzé, V. and Tran, G., 2015. Shrimp meal. Feedipedia, a programme by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO. Retrieved from https://www.feedipedia.org/node/202
- Heuzé, V., Tran, G. and Kaushik, S., 2020. Soybean meal. Feedipedia, a programme by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO. Retrieved from https://feedipedia.org/node/674
- Holanda, H.D. and Netto, F.M., 2006. Recovery of components from shrimp (*Xiphopenaeus kroyeri*) processing waste by enzymatic hydrolysis. *Food Chemistry and Toxicology*, 71, 298– 303.
- Hossain, **M.I.**, Shikha, F.H. and Sharma, A.D., 2018. Waste management status of shrimp processing plants of South and SouthWest region of Bangladesh. Journal of Environmental Science and *Natural Resources*, 11(1 & 2), 73-81.
- Ibrahim, H.H., Salama, M.F. and El-banna, H.A., 1999. Shrimp's waste: composition, nutritional value, utilization. *Nahrung*, 43(6), 418-423.
- Ito, S., 1995. Studies on the technical development of the mass production

for sea cucumber juvenile, *Stichopus japonicus*, hatchery manual. *Saga Prefectural Sea Farming Center*, 87P.

- James, D.B., 1996. Artificial reefs and sea farming technologies. *Bulletin of the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute*, 48, 120-126.
- Krishnan, S., 1968. Histochemical studies on reproductive and nutritional cycles of the holothurian, *Holothuria scabra. Marine Biology*, 2, 54-65.
- Lavitra, T., Rasolofonirina, R., Jangoux, M. and Eeckhaut, I., 2009.
  Problems related to the farming of *Holothuria scabra* (Jaeger, 1833).
  SPC Beche-de-mer Information Bulletin, 29, 20-30.
- Lovatelli, A., Conand, C., Purcell, S., Uthicke, S., Hamel, J.F. and Mercier, A., 2004. Advances in sea cucumber aquaculture and management. *FAO fisheries technical paper No. 463*, 425 P.
- Masagounder, K., Ramos, S., Reimann, I. and Channarayapatna, G., 2016. Optimizing nutritional quality of aquafeeds. In: Nates, S.F. (ed) *Aquafeed Formulation*, Academic Press, pp. 239-264,
- Maxwell, K.H., Gardner, J.P.A. and Heath, P.L., 2009. The effect of diet on the energy budget of the brown sea cucumber, *Stichopus mollis* (Hutton). *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 40 (2), 157-170.
- Mirzah, M., Monstesqrit, Fitrah, E. and Choirul, A., 2020. Effect of the substitution of fish meal with shrimp head waste fermented in diet on broiler performance. The 4<sup>th</sup> Animal Production International Seminar. *IOP*

Conference Series: Earth and Environment Science, 478 P.

- Nargis, A., Ahmed, K.N., Ahmed, G.M., Hossain, M.A., Rahman, M., 2006. Nutritional value and use of shrimp head waste as fish meal. *Bangladesh Journal of Science and Industrial Research*, 41(2), 63-66.
- Nwanna, L.C., 2003. Nutritional value and digestibility of fermented shrimp head waste meal by African catfish *Clarias gariepinus. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 2(6), 339-345.
- Nzohabonayo, E., Kassam, D., Kang'ombe, J., 2017. Effect of lipid levels on reproductive performance of Oreochromis karongae. Aquaculture Research, 48(5), 1998-2003.
- Pitt, R., Duy, N.D.Q., Duy, T.V. and Long, H.T.C., 2004. Sandfish (*Holothuria scabra*) with shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) co-culture tank trials. SPC Beche-de-mer Information Bulletin, 20, 12-22.
- Qiu, T., Zhang, L., Zhang, T., Yang, H., 2014. Effects of mud substrate and water current on the behavioral characteristics and growth of the sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus* in the Yuehu lagoon of northern China. *Aquaculture International*, 22(2), 423–433.
- Robinson, G., Slater, M.J., Jones, C.L.W. and Stead, S.M., 2013. Role of sand as substrate and dietary component for juvenile sea cucumber *Holothuria scabra. Aquaculture*, 392– 395, 23–25.
- Rosenfeld, D.J., Gernat, A.G., Marcano, J.D., Murillo, J.G., Lopex, G.H. and Flores, J.A., 1997. The

Effect of using different levels of shrimp meal in broiler diets. *Poultry Science*, 76, 581–587.

- Samar, M.M., El-Kalyoubi, M.H., Khalaf, M.M. and Abd El-Razik, M.M., 2013. Physicochemical, functional, antioxidant and antibacterial properties of chitosan extracted from shrimp wastes by microwave technique. *Annals of Agricultural Science*, 58, 33–41.
- Seo, J.Y. and Lee, S.M., 2011. Optimum dietary protein and lipid levels for growth of juvenile sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus*. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 17, 56-61.
- Seo, J.Y., Shin, I.S. and Lee, S.M., 2011. Effect of dietary inclusion of various plant ingredients as an alternative for *Sargassum thunbergii* on growth and body composition of juvenile sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus*. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 17(5), 549–556.
- Shi, C., Dong, S., Wang, F., Gao, Q. and Tian, X., 2013. Effects of four fresh microalgae in diet on growth and energy budget of juvenile sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus* (Selenka). *Aquaculture*, 416–417, 296–301.
- Slater, M.J., Jeffs, A.G. and Carton, A.G., 2009. The use of the waste from green-lipped mussels as a food source for juvenile sea cucumber, *Australostichopus mollis*. *Aquaculture*, 292, 219-224.
- **Thongprajukeaw, K., 2014.** Preparation of shrimp waste as aqua feedstuff: a study of physicochemical properties

and in vitro digestibility. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology*, 36(**6**), 615-622.

- L.H., 2015. Reproductive Turner, conditioning and spawning of the sea Holothuria scabra for cucumber hatchery production. Doctoral dissertation, University of the Sunshine Coast. Queensland, Australia.
- Uthicke. S., 2004. Overfishing of holothurians: lessons from the Great Barrier Reef. In: Lovatelli, A., Conand, C., Purcell, S., Uthicke, S., Hamel, J.F. and Mercier, A. (eds) Advances in sea cucumber aquaculture and management. FAO Fisheries Paper No. Technical 463. pp. 163-171.
- Yan, N. and Chen, X., 2015. Sustainability: don't waste seafood waste. *Nature*, 524, 155-157. DOI: 10.1038/524155a.
- Yanagisawa, T., 1995. Sea cucumber ranching in Japan and some suggestions for the South Pacific. *Tonga Aquaculture Workshop*, pp. 387–400.
- Yanar, Y., Çelik, M. and Yanar, M., 2004. Seasonal changes in total carotenoid contents of wild marine shrimps (*Penaeus semisulcatus* and *Metapenaeus monoceros*) inhabiting the eastern Mediterranean. *Food Chemistry*, 88, 267–269.
- Yuan, X.T., Yang, H.S., Zhou, Y., Mao,Y.Z., Zhang, T. and Liu, Y., 2006.The influence of diets containing dried bivalve feces and/or powdered algae on growth and energy distribution in

sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus* (Selenka) (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea). *Aquaculture*, 256 (**1-4**), 457- 467.

Zacarias-Soto, M. and Olvera-Nova, A., 2015. Effect of different diets on body biochemical composition of the four-sided sea cucumber, *Isostichopus badionotus*, under culture conditions. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society, 46(1), 45-52.

Zamora, L.N. and Jeffs, A.G., 2012. The ability of the deposit-feeding sea cucumber *Australostichopus mollis* to use natural variation in the biodeposits beneath mussel farms. *Aquaculture*, 326-329, 116-122.