

Comparative Assessment of The Quality and Yield of Early-Winter Planted Jerusalem Artichoke Tubers at Different Harvesting Terms

Alexey S. Kataev, Candidate of Agricultural Sciences, Senior Researcher Sergey L. Eliseev, Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Professor Evgeniy A. Renev, Candidate of Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor Elena V. Boiarshinova, Candidate of Agricultural Sciences, Senior Lecturer D. N. Pryanishnikov, Perm State Agrarian and Technological University, Perm, Russia, E-mail: aKataev92@mail.ru.

Abstract

Jerusalem artichoke has multiple valuable economic purposes. To expand the sown area and the production of artichoke culture in the Russian Federation, it is necessary to develop adaptive cultivation technologies considering certain soil and climatic conditions. The harvesting period of tubers of early-winter planted Jerusalem artichoke, spring and autumn, and the green mass harvesting period, 10 and 20 days after the full flowering phase and before tubers harvesting, were studied. The formation of Jerusalem artichoke tubers begins in the budding phase and reaches its maximum in the flowering phase. Up to 33% of tubers die before harvest due to carbohydrate deficiency. Tuber mass formation continues until harvesting. The maximum increase in the average tuber mass by 14.0 g (65-90%) is observed from the budding to the flowering phase. A higher yield of tubers, 16.4 t/ha, is formed during autumn harvesting, which is due to an increase in the productivity of the bush by 435.7 g, the number of its tubers by 12.3 pcs. and the average weight of one tuber by 5.8 g. The maximum yield of Jerusalem artichoke tubers can be obtained by mowing the green mass before their harvesting. This is facilitated by an increase in the productivity of the bush by 78.3-168.9 g, the number of its tubers by 2.0-3.7 pcs. and the average weight of one tuber by 4.5-5.5 g. Jerusalem artichoke has mostly fine tubers -53-66%. There is a trend towards a decrease in the proportion of small tubers during late harvesting of the above-ground mass and, accordingly, an increase in the proportion of medium and large tubers. During autumn harvesting, Jerusalem artichoke tubers have a higher content of dry matter by 1.9% and protein by 0.3%, while during spring harvesting there is a higher sugar content in them by 5.4%. A trend towards an increase in these biochemical indicators was noted at later terms of harvesting green mass.

Keywords: Jerusalem artichoke, harvesting period, tuber yield, fractional composition, biochemical composition.

Introduction.

Many scientists refer Jerusalem artichoke (Helianthus tuberosus L.) to promising bioenergy crops of various economic purposes [1]. Jerusalem artichoke products can be used for fodder, food, and medicinal purposes [9]. Jerusalem artichoke tubers can be eaten raw, fried, or boiled [10]. They are used in the production of flour, tonic drinks, semi-finished sausages, health food products [11,12]. Jerusalem artichoke tubers are an excellent early spring forage for cattle. It has been reported that the use of tubers in the diet of cows increases milk yield by 4-5 kg per day [13]. Along with common chicory, Jerusalem artichoke tubers are one of the most cost-effective sources of inulin [14]. Despite a wide range of applications, the sown area of Jerusalem artichoke in the Russian Federation is disproportionately small compared to Europe and the United States and does not exceed 3 thousand hectares [2–4]. Most of the Jerusalem artichoke plantings are concentrated in private subsidiary and small peasant farms [8].

Such low demand for Jerusalem artichoke among the population of Russia is due to the insufficient studies of the crop, the lack of developed adaptive cultivation technologies and, as a result, its low yield and producibility [5,6].

Developing an adaptive cultivating technology for the crop depends much on optimal timing for harvesting tubers and green mass. Despite the harsh Russian climate, Jerusalem artichoke tubers can be harvested in the autumn after the end of the growing season or in the spring after overwintering [15]. The relevance of spring harvesting is determined by the limited duration of the autumn harvesting campaign, the difficulty of separating tubers from stolons, which creates problems during mechanized harvesting [16]. In the northern regions, it is not recommended to leave tubers for overwintering due to the risk of their freezing at low temperatures and low snow cover [17]. During autumn harvesting, preference is given to the latest dates due to the continued outflow of nutrients from the stem to the tubers until the above-ground mass dries up and dies [18]. In this regard, choosing the optimal term for cutting the above-ground mass to obtain both high yield

and quality of tubers remains a relevant issue.

Most scientists in Russia, in the Republic of Belarus, in the Republic of Kazakhstan recommend late harvesting of green mass, as they note an increase in the yield of tubers and in their dry matter content [15,19,20].

In this regard, the objective of the study was to determine the optimal time for harvesting tubers and green mass to obtain maximum yield and quality of tubers.

Tasks:

1) determine the yield of Jerusalem artichoke tubers and its structure;

2) identify the features of tuber formation;

3) determine the fractional and biochemical composition of Jerusalem artichoke tubers.

Objects and Methods. Two-year field studies were carried out from 2018 to 2021 on the basis of the Perm Agrarian and Technological University in the Middle Urals of the Russian Federation. We studied two terms of harvesting tubers, spring and autumn, and three options for cutting the green mass, 10 and 20 days after the full flowering phase and before harvesting the tubers.

A two-factor field experiment was carried out using the experimental case method in four replications [21]. The plot area was 20 m². The variants were arranged systematically. Skorospelka variety was chosen as the object of research.

The yield of tubers was calculated by a continuous method on the plot, followed by recalculation per 1 ha. Indicators of the yield structure were the density of seedlings and plants before harvesting, field germination and survival of plants during the growing season determined by a continuous method on the plot. The number of tubers in a bush, their average weight and productivity of a bush were determined on 3 plots with an area of 1 m^2 per plot. The dynamics of tuber formation was determined by one typical plant from the plot according to the phases of development: budding, flowering, or before harvesting. The fractional composition of tubers in the yield was determined by 3 typical plants from the plot. Tubers less than 20 g, 21-80 g and more than 80 g were considered as small, medium and large tubers, respectively. The content of the following biochemical parameters in the tubers was determined: dry matter according to GOST 28561-90; crude protein - according to GOST 13496.4-2019; crude fiber - according to GOST 31675-2012; crude fat - according to GOST 13496.15-2016; raw ash - according to GOST 32933-2014; water-soluble sugar - according to the Bertrand method. Mathematical data processing was carried out by the method of analysis of variance [21].

For Jerusalem artichoke ridge planting is used. Before planting, the soil was tilled with KPS-4+BZTS-1 and the ridges were cut with KON-2.8. Mineral fertilizers were applied as a background for preplant cultivation with a D-Pol spreader at doses of N221 P74 K374, calculated according to the removal with a tuber yield of 25 t/ha and a corresponding top yield.

Before planting, the tubers were dried, selected by weight of 21-80 g. Tubers were manually planted to a depth of 5-6 cm, 70x40 cm. Planting care included three interrow treatment with a KOH-2.8 cultivator (before and during germination and at a plant height of 20 cm). The tops were harvested every day with a Husgvarna 128R trimmer. The tubers were harvested by plots with a KTN-2V potato digger with manual picking. The terms of tuber and top planting and harvesting are shown in Table 1.

Experim	Tuber	Tuber harv	esting term	Top harvesting term				
ent	planting spring autumn		10 days after	20 days after	before the tuber			
CIII	term	spring	autumn	flowering	flowering	harvesting		
1 st	10.10.2018	03.05.2020	05.10.2019	06.09.2019	16.09.2019	04.10.2019		
2 nd	06.10.2019	01.05.2021	26.09.2020	07.09.2020	17.09.2020	25.09.2020		

Table 1 - The actual terms of tuber and top planting and harvesting

Field studies were carried out on sodfine-podzolic medium loamy soil with humus content from 1.7% to 2.4% by years. Meteorological conditions varied over the years of research. 2018 was favorable for the cultivation of Jerusalem artichoke. The average daily air temperature was 0.6°C above the norm, and the amount of precipitation was 28.7 mm lower. The HTI was 1.25, which indicates sufficient soil moisture during the growing season. In 2019, high waterlogging of the soil was noted. The amount of precipitation was significantly higher than the norm - by 213.1 mm, with HTI 2.67. The air temperature during the growing season of 2020 was 1.2°C above the norm, and the amount of precipitation was at the level of average long-term data. HTI was 1.32.

Results and Discussion.

The sprouting density and field germination power of plants during winter planting were 2.6–2.7 pcs/m^2 and 72–74%, respectively (Table 1). The low field germination power of Jerusalem artichoke is

	Top harvesting term (B)							
Harvesting term (A) sprouting density, pcs/m ² Harvesting field germination on power, % 2.7 73	10 day	vs after	20 days after		before the tuber		Average for A	
	flow	ering	harvesting					
	aprouting	field	enrouting	field		field	aprouting	field
	donsity	germinati	sprouting density, p_{20}/m^2	germinati	donaity	germinati	donaity	germinati
	$\frac{density}{max}$	on power,		on power,	, $\frac{defisity}{max}$	on power,	$n_{\rm ens}/m^2$	on power,
	pcs/m	%	pcs/m	%	pcs/m	%	pcs/m	%
spring	2.7	73	2.7	73	2.7	74	2.7	73
autumn	2.7	74	2.6	72	2.7	74	2.7	73
Average for B	2.7	74	2.6	72	2.7	74		
SSD of major offacto*					А	$F_f < F_{05}^{**}$	$F_f\!<\!F_{05}$	
SSD ₀₅ of major effects			В		$F_f\!<\!F_{05}$	$F_f\!<\!F_{05}$		
SSD or of	f particula	r difference	26	А			$F_f\!< F_{05}$	$F_f\!<\!F_{05}$
33D 05 0			-0		В		$F_f\!<\!F_{05}$	$F_{\rm f} \! < \! F_{05}$

due to the death of the buds on the planting tuber during the overwintering period Table 1 - Jerusalem artichoke sprouting density and field germination power

* SSD_{05} - the smallest significant difference between the options

** $F_f < F_{05}$ - no difference

Active tuber formation of Jerusalem artichoke begins in the budding phase. A significant increase in the number of tubers in the bush is observed from the budding phase to the flowering phase - by 16.0 pcs. (SSD₀₅ = 6.1 pcs) (Fig. 1). After the flowering phase until the first cutting of the green mass, a reduction of tubers by 7.9 pcs.

or 33% was noted due to carbohydrate deficiency (SSD $_{05} = 6.1$ pcs.).

The maximum increase in the average tuber weight was also noted from the budding phase to the flowering phase by an average of 14.0 g (SSD₀₅ = 7.8 g). However, after the flowering phase, the average tuber weight slightly continued to increase by 2.8-9.5 g or 10-35%.



Figure 1 - Increase in the number of tubers and their average weight

During autumn harvesting, the Jerusalem artichoke bush forms on average 18.7 tubers, which is 12.3 tubers more than during spring harvesting (Table 2). This is due to the freezing of tubers during the wintering period. Due to a longer outflow of nutrients during the late top harvesting, the number of tubers in the bush was 2.0-3.7 pcs. more than when harvesting the tops 10 days after flowering. This trend is observed both during the autumn and spring harvesting periods by 2.2-5.0 and 1.8-2.4 tubers respectively.

The average weight of one tuber harvested in autumn was 5.8 g more. This pattern is observed at each time of harvesting the tops: 10 days after full flowering - by 3.5 g, 20 days after flowering - by 7.9 g, before harvesting tubers - by 6.0 g. A significant increase in the average tuber weight was noted at top harvesting 20 days after the full flowering phase - by 4.5-5.5 g.

Tab	ole 2 -	The	numl	per c	of tu	bers	in	the	bush	n and	their	average	e weigl	nt

		Л						
	10 days after		20 days after		before the tuber		Average for A	
Harvesting	flowe	ering	flowe	ering	harves	sting		
term (A)	number	tuber	number	tuber	number of	tuber	number	tuber
	of tubers,	weight,	of tubers,	weight,	tubers pes	weight,	of tubers,	weight,
	pcs.	g	pcs.	g	tubers, pes.	g	pcs.	g
spring	5.4	26.9	6.0	29.2	7.8	31.1	6.4	29.0
autumn	16.1	30.4	18.9	37.1	21.1	37.1	18.7	34.8
Average for B	10.7	28.6	12.4	33.1	14.4 34.1			
SSDer of major offects			A			1.9	4.0	
SSD05 of major effects		В			2.3	3.7		
CCD of most include life and and				А			3.3	7.0
35D 05 0	i particula	i uniciciic	~CS		В		3.2	5.2

Due to the greater number of tubers in the bush and their average weight, the Jerusalem artichoke bush during autumn harvesting was more productive by 435.7 g or more than three times (Table 3). The productivity of the bush increases with later terms of top harvesting - by 90.6-168.9 g.

Table 3 – Bush productivity, g

		-			
Homeosting torm		Top harvesting term ((B)	Avorago	
	10 days after	20 days after	before the tuber	Average	
(A)	flowering	flowering	harvesting	A	
spring	146.8	172.1	256.6	191.8	
autumn	autumn 499.5		727.6	627.5	
Average for B	323.2	413.8	492.1		
SSD of major offects		А	A 89.4		
55D05 01 ma	ijoi enecis	В	102.	8	
SSDer of particular differences		А	154.	8	
	iai uniciciles	В	145.	4	

During the growing season, plant density decreased by an average of 0.3 pcs/m² and amounted to 2.4 pcs/m². This is

due to a decrease in plant survival by 10% (Table 4).

		T	op harvest	ing term (I	3)			
	10 days after		20 days after		before the tuber		Average for A	
Harvesting	flow	ering	flowering		harve	esting		
term (A)	plant density, pcs/m ²	survival rate, %						
spring	2.4	95	2.4	92	2.2	85	2.4	90
autumn	2.5	93	2.4	92	2.2	84	2.4	90
Average for B	2.4	94	2.4	92	2.2	84		
SSD., of moior officits			A			$F_f\!< F_{05}$	$F_f\!<\!F_{05}$	
SSD05 of major effects				В	0.1	7		
SSDor o	f particula	r differenc	A 5		A	$F_f\!< F_{05}$	$F_f\!<\!F_{05}$	
SSD 05 0	i particula		63		В		0.2	9

Table 4 - Plant density before harvesting and the survival rate during the growing season

During the autumn harvesting period, the tuber yield was 16.4 t/ha, which is 12.2 t/ha more than during spring harvesting (Table 5). When cutting the tops before harvesting the tubers, their yield was 11.4 t/ha, which is 2.7 t/ha more than when it was cut 10 days after the full flowering phase. The tendency to increase the yield from an earlier date of harvesting the tops to a later one is observed during spring and autumn harvesting periods by 0.5-1.0 and 3.7-4.6 t/ha respectively.

Horyasting tarm		Top harvesting term (B)					
	10 days after	20 days after	before the tuber	Average			
(A)	flowering	flowering	harvesting	A			
spring	3.7	4.2	4.7	4.2			
autumn	13.6	17.3	18.2	16.4			
Average for B	verage for B 8.7		11.4				
SSD _{or} of m	vior affacts	А	1.4				
55D05 01 III	ijor enects	В	2.3				
SSD. of particular differences		A 2					
	ilai uniciciles	В	3.3				

Table 5 - Productivity of Jerusalem artichoke tubers, t/ha

A higher yield of Jerusalem artichoke tubers during autumn harvesting is due to the higher productivity of the bush by 435.7 g, the number of tubers in it by 12.3 pcs. and average tuber weight by 5.8 g.

Despite the decrease in plant density by 0.2 pcs/m^2 , a higher yield of tubers was noted when the top was cut

before harvesting. This is also due to the high productivity of the bush, the number of tubers and their average weight.

One of the important indicators of the quality of Jerusalem artichoke tubers is their fractional composition. Regardless of the period of harvesting tubers and tops, the studies showed that small tubers predominate in the yield of Jerusalem artichoke - 53-66% (Fig. 2). On average, during spring harvesting, the share of small tubers is higher by 7% (SSD₀₅ = 6%). There is a trend towards a decrease in the proportion of small tubers from an earlier term of top harvesting to a later one by 3-4%. This is due to an increase in the average tuber weight by 4.5-5.5 g (see Table 2).





Figure 2 - The share of small tubers in the yield of Jerusalem artichoke, %

With a decrease in the share of small tubers at late top harvesting, the share of medium tubers in the yield of Jerusalem artichoke increases by 1-2% (Fig. 3).



Figure 3 - The share of medium tubers in the yield of Jerusalem artichoke, %

During autumn harvesting in the yield of Jerusalem artichoke, the average share of large tubers was 7%, which is 6% more than during spring harvesting (SSD₀₅

= 4%). The trend towards an increase in the share of large tubers continues by 2% with late top harvesting (Fig. 4).



Figure 4 - The share of large tubers in the yield of Jerusalem artichoke, %

During autumn harvesting, the content of dry matter and protein in Jerusalem artichoke tubers increases by 1.9% and 0.3% respectively. The term of harvesting tubers does not have a significant impact on the accumulation of crude fat in them. The content of watersoluble sugar was 5.4% higher during spring harvesting. This is facilitated by the effect of low temperatures during the wintering period. The results of the study of sugar content in Jerusalem artichoke tubers are comparable with the data of scientists from the Republic of Belarus. They reported that the content of the carbohydrate complex in the spring after overwintering was 22-33% higher [2].

The increase in all the studied biochemical parameters was noted at later terms of harvesting green mass: dry matter content - by 0.7-1.9%, water-soluble sugar - by 1.1-2.0%, fat - by 0.44-0.55%, protein - by 0.9-1.1%.

				B	iochemica	al param	leters		
Harvesting	Top harvesting	Dry	ovorogo	sugar,	ovorogo	oil,	ovorogo	protein	ovorogo
term (A)	term (B)	matter,	for A	%	for A	%	for A	% a d m	for A
		%	IUIA	a.d.m.		a.d.m.		70 a.u.iii.	IUIA
	10*	18.0		16.6		1.44		7.5	
spring	20*	19.5	19.2	19.0	17.8	1.85	1.67	8.4	8.0
	before	20.1		17.7		1.71	1	8.0	

Table 6 - Biochemical composition of Jerusalem artichoke tubers

	the tuber								
	narvesting								
	10	20.6		11.5		1.37		7.5	
	20	20.5	01.1	13.2	10.4	2.05		8.3	
autumn	before		21.1		12.4		1.79		8.3
	the tuber	22.3	3 1	12.6		1.96		9.1	
	harvesting								
Average for B ₁		19.3		14.1		1.40		7.5	
Average for B ₂		20.0		16.1		1.95		8.4	
Average for B ₃		21.2		15.2		1.84		8.6	
SSD ₀₅ m.ef. for A		0.	.6	1	.8	F _f <	< F ₀₅	0.2	
SSD ₀₅ p	$SSD_{05} p. dif. for A 1.1$.1	3.1		$F_{f} < F_{05}$		0.3	
		0.5		1.4		$F_{\rm f} < F_{05}$		0.4	
SSD_{05} r	n.ef. for B	0.	.5	1	.4	F _f <	(F_{05})	0.	4

Note: * – days after the full flowering phase

Summary:

On sod-podzolic soils of the Middle Cis-Urals of the Russian Federation during the early-winter planting Jerusalem artichoke:

1) A higher yield of tubers, 16.4 t/ha, is formed during autumn harvesting, which is due to an increase in the productivity of the bush by 435.7 g, the number of its tubers by 12.3 pcs. and the average weight of one tuber by 5.8 g. The maximum yield of Jerusalem artichoke tubers can be obtained by mowing the green mass before their harvesting. This is facilitated by an increase in the productivity of the bush by 78.3-168.9 g, the number of its tubers by 2.0-3.7 pcs. and the average weight of one tuber by 4.5-5.5 g.

2) The formation of Jerusalem artichoke tubers begins in the budding phase and reaches its maximum in the flowering phase. Up to 33% of tubers die before harvesting due to carbohydrate deficiency. Tuber mass formation continues until harvesting. The maximum increase in the average tuber mass by 14.0 g (65-90%) is observed from the budding to the flowering phase.

3) Small tubers predominate in the yield - 53-66%. During spring harvesting, their share is 7% higher than during autumn harvesting. The share of large tubers is 6% higher during autumn harvesting. At late terms of top harvesting, the share of medium and large tubers increases by 1-2%.

4) Tubers of autumn harvest contain a higher content of dry matter - by 1.9% and protein - by 0.3%, and of spring harvesting - higher water-soluble sugar by 5.4%. There is an increase in biochemical parameters at later terms of top harvesting.

References

1. Starovoitov V.I., Starovoitova O.A., Manokhina A.A., Agricultural engineering. - 2018. - No. 1. - P. 7-14.

2. Dubar D.A. Seasonal changes in the biochemical composition of Jerusalem artichoke tubers (*Helianthus tuberosus L.*) during introduction in Belarus / D.A. Dubar // Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus. Biological Sciences Series. - 2017. - No. 4. - P. 81-87.

3. McCarter, S. M. Diseases limiting production of Jerusalem artichokes in Georgia / S. M. McCarter, S. J. Kays // Plant Dis. – 1984. – 68. – P. 299-302.

4. Mezencev, R. Premiers resultats des essais 1984 AZF/AFME sur topinambours/betteraves en Midi-Pyrenees / R. Mezencev // AZF/CdF Chimie. – Toulouse. – 1985. – P. 26.

5. Ilchenko S.M. Market conjuncture of Jerusalem artichoke and its products / S.M. Ilchenko, O.Iu. Patlasov // Bulletin of the Omsk State Agrarian University. - 2016. - No. 1. - P. 261-266.

6. Food additive for bakery products with therapeutic and prophylactic effects // Riabova V.F., Latypova S.Sh., Khodakova E.E. // Young scientist. – 2015. – No. 23. – P. 217-219. – URL : https://moluch.ru/archive/103/23933/ (accessed date: 13.03.2020).

7. Starovoitov V.I. Varietal resources of Jerusalem artichoke as a fodder crop / V.I. Starovoitov, O.A. Starovoitova, A.A. Manokhina // Agroecological aspects of sustainable development of the agro-industrial complex: a collection of works based on the materials of the XIV International Scientific Conference / Bryansk State Agrarian University. - Bryansk: Bryansk State Agrarian University, 2017. - P. 180-186.

8. Mokina S.A. The effect of "Bioplant flora" organo-mineral fertilizer on the yield of Jerusalem artichoke, Skorospelka variety / S.A. Mokina, Iu.V. Pankratov // Proceedings of the Kostroma State Agricultural Academy: collection of articles based on the 67th international scientific and practical conference (March 30 - April 2, 2015; Kostroma) / Kostroma State Agricultural Academy. - Kostroma: Kostroma State Agricultural Academy, 2015. - P. 26-30.

9. Samburov A.M. Jerusalem artichoke as a valuable product of a healthy diet / A.M. Samburov // Prospects for the development of the food and chemical industry in modern conditions: a collection of works based on the proceedings of the All-Russian Scientific and Practical Conference dedicated to the 45th anniversary of the Faculty of Applied biotechnology and engineering of the Orenburg State University (October 24-25, Orenburg) / Orenburg 2019: State University. - Orenburg: OSU, 2019. - P. 441-444.

10. Weeds in Jerusalem artichoke plantings / Iu.A. Masiuk, V.N. Zeiruk, Iu.P. Boiko [et al.] // Protection and quarantine of plants. - 2018. - No. 11. - P. 43-44.

11. Magomedov M.G. Production of canned fruits and vegetables and healthy food products / M.G. Magomedov.
St. Petersburg: Lan, 2015. - 560 p.

12. Zhuchkova M.A. Jerusalem artichoke - a plant of the XXI century / M.A. Zhuchkova, S.G. Skripnikov // Vegetables of Russia. - 2017. - No. 1. - P. 31-33.

13. Gulianov Iu.A. The effect of mineral nutrition conditions on the yield of tops and tubers of Jerusalem artichoke in the southern steppes of the Orenburg Cis-Urals / Iu.S. Gulianov // Bulletin of Gorsk SAU. - 2019. - No. 3. - P. 11-16.

14. Guliuk N.G. Investigation of the diffusion process of inulin in Jerusalem artichoke tubers / N.G. Guliuk, T.S. Puchkova, D.M. Pikhalo [et al.] // Achievements of science and technology of the agro-industrial complex. - 2014. - V. 28. - No. 12. - P. 67-69.

15. Berzhanova M.I. Cultivation of Jerusalem artichoke in the conditions of the Atyrau region / M.I. Berzhanova // Actual scientific research in the modern world. - 2019. - No. 5, part 2. - P. 116-121.

16.ManokhinaA.A.Mechanization of Jerusalem artichokeharvesting / A.A.Manokhina // Bulletin ofGoryachkinMoscowStateAgroengineering University. - 2017. - No.2. - P. 15-21.

17. Krivoshapkin K.K. Jerusalem artichoke as a promising fodder and food plant for Yakutia / K.K. Krivoshapkin, F.A. Lukina // Academic Bulletin of the Yakut State Agricultural Academy. - 2020. - No. 9. - P. 29-36.

18. Starovoitov V.I. Industrial cultivation of Jerusalem artichoke for processing / V.I. Starovoitov, O.A. Starovoitova, A.A. Manokhina // Chief Agronomist. - 2019. - No. 3.

19. Manokhina A.A. Original seedfarming of Jerusalem artichoke / A.A. Manokhina, O.A. Starovoitova, V.I. Starovoitov // Technologies and commodity science of agricultural products. - 2018. - No. 1. - P. 61-65.

20. Gornyi A.V. Influence of terms of harvesting of the above-ground mass on the productivity of Jerusalem artichoke plants / A.V. Gornyi, N.P. Gurnovich, M.M. Zhishkevich // Actual problems and innovative activity in agro-industrial production: collection of works based on the proceedings of the International Scientific and Practical Conference (January 28-29, 2015; Kursk) / Ivanov Kursk State Agricultural Academy. - Kursk: Kursk State Agricultural Academy, 2015. - P. 175-179.

21. Dospekhov B.A. Methods of field experiment (with the basics of statistical processing of research results) / B.A. Dospekhov. – 6th ed., stereotyped. -Moscow: Alliance, 2011. - 352 p.