Effects Of Pesticides on Enzyme Activity of Amylase and Phosphatase Enzymes in Soil of Kannauj Region (U.P.) India

Sarvesh Kumar¹ and Ranvir Singh²

¹Department of Chemistry, Nehru P.G. College, Chhibramau, Kannauj ²Department of Chemistry, S.V. College, (Dr. B.R.A. University Agra), Aligarh 202001, ¹E-mail Address: sarveshgovil786@gmail.com

Abstract

Enzymes are vital activators in life processes, likewise in the soil they are known to Play a Substantial role in maintaining soil health and its environment. The laboratory studies were conducted to resolute the effects of pesticides (such as atrazine, paraquat and fluridone) on enzyme activities of amylase and phosphatse soil enzymes in selected soil from different region of Kannauj U.P. (Tehsil: Tirwa, Chhibramau and Kannauj) in rainy season. In the present study, It was observed that an effect of pesticides on enzyme activity of amylase and phosphatase feably decreased as compared to control soil in selected region of Kannauj (U.P.).

Keywords: Soil, Pesticides, Amylase and Phosphatase.

INTRODUCTION

Soil is an important component of all terrestrial ecosystems, as well as a main source of production in agriculture and forestry. Its function is essential for maintenance of the global biogeochemical cycles for all important nutrients, and thus, the process in soils affect many other components of ecosystem both biotic and abiotic.

Enzymes are the vital activators in life processes, likewise in the soil they are known to play a substantial role in maintaining soil health and its environment. The enzymatic activity in the soil is mainly of microbial origin, being derived from intracellular cell associated or free enzymes. Soil enzymes play key biochemical functions in the overall process of organic matter decomposition in the soil system¹.

Amylase is a starch hydrolysing enzyme². It is known to be constituted by α -amylase and β -amylase³. Studies have shown that α -

amylase are synthesized by plants, animals and microorganisms where as β -amylase is mainly synthesized by plants⁴. This enzyme play a significant role in the breakdown of starch. Research evidence suggests that several other enzymes are involved in the hydrolysis of starch⁵, but of major importance are α -amylase, which converts starch to glucose and which converts starch β-amylase, to maltose⁶. Studies have indicated that roles and activities of \Box -amylase and \Box -amylase enzymes may be influenced by different factors ranging from agricultural practices, type of vegetation environment and soil types⁷.

Phosphatase enzyme are a broad group of enzymes that are capable of catalysing hydrolysis of esters and anhydrides of phosphoric acid. In soil ecosystem, these enzymes are believed to play critical roles in phosphorus cycles⁸ as evidence shows that they are correlated to phosphorous stress and plant growth. Apart from being good indicators of soil fertility, phosphatase enzymes play key roles in the soil system⁹.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Collection of Soil Sample

The soil pertaining to the experimental setup will be collected from the different region of Kannauj U.P., India in rainy season. The soil will be collected stored at room temperature.

Pesticides and Tools

Selected pesticides in proposed research work such as atrazine, paraquat and fluridone. Tools will be used for detection enzymatic activity are Flamphotometer, UV Vis spectrophotometer AAS and X–ray differaction spectroscopy in proposed research work.

Soil Analysis

То determine the Physicochemical characteristics of soil (control) and selected pesticides with soil of Kannauj Region. To determine the parameters such as pH, EC (Electric Conductivity), TOC (Total Organic Carbon), TP (Total Phosphorous), TK (Total Potassium) and TKN (Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen) from selected soil and include pesticides soil. It will be used analytical procedures by total kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) and total organic carbon (TOC) of the soil analysis were measured with the micro kjeldahl methods¹⁰ and Walkely and Black's Rapid titration method (1934)¹¹ respectively, total phosphorous (TP) was determined spectrophotometrically¹² While total potassium (TK) was detected by flame photometer¹³.

Procedures for determination of enzymatic activities in soil

Amylase activity will be determined according to Tu CM method

A total of 5 g soil samples in test tubes were incubated with selected pesticides singly and in combination. Duplicate soil samples were withdrawn after 10 days of incubation at room temperature $(28 \pm 4^{\circ}C)$ to determine the amylase activity. The method employed for determining amylase activity is the method adapted by Tu CM.

Soil samples were transferred in 200 ml erlenmeyer flasks and 1 ml of toluene was added. After 15 min., 6 ml of 0.2 M acetatephosphate buffer (pH - 5.5) containing 2% of starch was added to the soil samples and the flasks were stoppered and held for 24 and 72 h at 30°C. Soil extract were passed through Whatmann No. 1 filter paper and glucose content in the filtrate was assayed. Activity of Phosphatase will be determined according to Tabatabi and Bremner (1969)¹⁴ and Eivazi and Tabatabi (1977)¹⁵ using p-nitrophenyl phosphate solution as the subtrate. Clear yellow coloured solution formed as a result of the action of phosphatase on the substrate was analysed spectrophotometrically at a wavelength of 410 nm to measure the amount of pnitrophenol released.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Amylase and alkaline phosphatase were the enzymes of choice in the soils of Kannauj region (U.P.) because of their paramount importance in biochemical functions in the overall process of organic matter decomposition in soil system. Since amylase enzymes play an important role in global recycling of starch, it would be of critical importance to understand this enzyme better so that it may be used more regularly as a predictive tool in our soil fertility programmes. To date, there have been few studies examining the influence of management options in the ecosystem on phosphatase activity in soil where most crops are grown. Understanding the dynamics of enzyme activities in these

2023

systems is crucial for predicting their interactions as their activities may, in turn, regulate nutrient uptake and plant growth. In the present study, the observed facts are shown below :

TABLE 1 : Physico-chemical characteristics of soil of Kannauj (Tirwa, Chhibramau and Kannauj) region in rainy season (August). The various physico-chemical properties were obtained from R.G. College of Pharmacy, Hathras.

| рН (1:2.5) | EC (dS/m) 1:2.5 | Organic carbon (%) | Available P2O5 (mg kg ⁻¹) | Available K2O (mg kg ⁻¹) | Available Nitrogen (mg kg ⁻¹) | Sodium (%) | | |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|--|---|---------------|--|--|
| | TIRWA REGION | | | | | | | |
| 7.20 | 7.69 | 0.46 | 13.38 | 369.10 | 167.70 | 0.56 | | |
| | CHHIBRAMAU REGION | | | | | | | |
| 7.35 | 7.68 | 0.45 | 13.23 | 354.06 | 162.11 | 0.58 | | |
| KANNAUJ REGION | | | | | | | | |
| 7.44 | 7.71 | 0.48 | 12.76 | 347.38 | 165.33 | 0.51 | | |

$\begin{array}{c} TABLE\ 2\\ Phosphatase\ Activity\ of\ Tirwa\ soil\ in\ rainy\ season\ (August)\\ (\mu g\ PNP\ g^{-1}hr^{-1}) \end{array}$

| | Sample code | Treatment Name | Replicates | Activity | Mean | Mean ± Standard Deviation |
|----|----------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|----------|------------------------------|
| | | G | 1 | 14.96 | | |
| | 1 | 5 | 2 | 13.72 | 14.57 | 14.57 ± 0.73 |
| | | | 3 | 15.03 | | |
| | | C. | 1 | 13.52 | | |
| | 2 | Sa | 2 | 13.89 | 13.83 | 13.83 ± 0.29 |
| | | | 3 | 14.10 | | |
| | | | 1 | 13.02 | | |
| | 3 | Sb | 2 | 13.10 | 13.10 | 13.10 ± 0.09 |
| | | | 3 | 13.20 | | |
| | | | 1 | 12.96 | | |
| | 4 | Sc | 2 | 12.83 | 12.40 | 12.40 ± 0.84 |
| | | | 3 | 11.43 | | |
| S | S = soil; | | Sa = | soil + at | razine; | • |
| Sb | = | soil + paraquat; | Sc = | soil + fl | oridone. | |







Phosphatase Activity of Chhibramau soil in rainy season (August) $(\mu g \ PNP \ g^{-1}hr^{-1})$

| Sample code | Treatment Name | Replicates | Activity | Mean | Mean ± Standard Deviation |
|----------------|-------------------|------------|----------|-------|------------------------------|
| | C | 1 | 16.86 | | |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 15.93 | 16.67 | 16.67 ± 0.73 |
| | | 3 | 17.23 | | |
| | Ç. | 1 | 15.52 | | |
| 2 | Sa | 2 | 14.80 | 14.87 | 14.87 ± 0.29 |
| | | 3 | 14.30 | | |
| | | 1 | 13.96 | | |
| 3 | Sb | 2 | 13.80 | 13.77 | 13.77 ± 0.09 |
| | | 3 | 13.57 | | |
| | | 1 | 11.99 | | |
| 4 | Sc | 2 | 11.70 | 11.67 | 11.67 ± 0.84 |
| | | 3 | 11.33 | | |
| | | | | | |

| S | = | soil; | Sa | = | soil + atrazine; |
|----|---|------------------|----|---|-------------------|
| Sb | = | soil + paraquat; | Sc | = | soil + floridone. |





Phosphatase Activity of Kannauj soil in rainy season (August)

 $(\mu g PNP g^{-1}hr^{-1})$

| Sample code | Treatment Name | Replicates | Activity | Mean | Mean ± Standard Deviation |
|----------------|----------------|------------|----------|-------|------------------------------|
| | S | 1 | 18.92 | | |
| 1 | S | 2 | 18.10 | 18.44 | 18.44 ± 0.42 |
| | | 3 | 18.30 | | |
| | S.c. | 1 | 14.09 | | |
| 2 | Sa | 2 | 14.47 | 14.47 | 14.47 ± 0.09 |
| | | 3 | 14.86 | | |
| | | 1 | 15.75 | | 15.83 ± 0.10 |
| 3 | Sb | 2 | 15.80 | 15.83 | |
| | | 3 | 15.90 | | |
| 4 | | 1 | 16.82 | | 16.80 ± 0.10 |
| | Sc | 2 | 16.90 | 16.80 | |
| | | 3 | 16.70 | | |

Figure 3 : Phosphatase Activity of Kannauj soil in rainy season (µg PNP g⁻¹ hr⁻¹)



Amylase Activity of Tirwa soil in rainy season (August)

(µg starch $g^{-1}hr^{-1}$)

| Sample code | Treatment Name | Replicates | Activity | Mean | Mean ± Standard Deviation | |
|----------------|-------------------|------------|----------|-------|------------------------------|--|
| | C | 1 | 25.12 | | | |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 23.15 | 24.13 | 24.13 ± 0.98 | |
| | | 3 | 24.12 | | | |
| | C. | 1 | 19.20 | | | |
| 2 | Sa | 2 | 15.32 | 17.21 | 17.21 ± 2.13 | |
| | | 3 | 17.11 | | | |
| | Sb | 1 | 20.25 | 19.95 | 19.95 ± 4.84 | |
| 3 | | 2 | 18.30 | | | |
| | | 3 | 21.32 | | | |
| 4 | Sc | 1 | 21.33 | | | |
| | | 2 | 19.10 | 20.81 | 20.81 ± 2.44 | |
| | | 3 | 22.00 | | | |

| S | = | soil; | Sa | = | soil + atrazine; |
|----|---|------------------|----|---|-------------------|
| Sb | = | soil + paraquat; | Sc | = | soil + floridone. |



Amylase Activity of Chhibramau soil in rainy season (August)

(μg starch $g^{-1}hr^{-1}$)

| Sample code | Treatment Name | Replicates | Activity | Mean | Mean ± Standard Deviation |
|----------------|-------------------|------------|----------|-------|------------------------------|
| | C | 1 | 27.08 | | |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 28.09 | 27.12 | 27.12 ± 0.94 |
| | | 3 | 26.20 | | |
| | C. | 1 | 25.46 | | |
| 2 | Sa | 2 | 25.49 | 25.48 | 25.48 ± 0.020 |
| | | 3 | 25.50 | | |
| | | 1 | 24.60 | | |
| 3 | Sb | 2 | 24.75 | 24.65 | 24.65 ± 0.027 |
| | | 3 | 24.60 | | |
| | | 1 | 22.70 | | |
| 4 | Sc | 2 | 23.05 | 22.91 | 22.91 ± 0.026 |
| | | 3 | 22.98 | | |
| | | | | | |

| S | = | soil; | Sa | = | soil + atrazine; |
|----|---|------------------|----|---|-------------------|
| Sb | = | soil + paraquat; | Sc | = | soil + floridone. |





Amylase Activity of Kannauj soil in rainy season (August)

(µg starch g⁻¹hr⁻¹)

| Sample code | Treatment Name | Replicates | Activity | Mean | Mean ± Standard Deviation |
|----------------|-------------------|------------|----------|-------|------------------------------|
| | C | 1 | 28.09 | | |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 29.30 | 28.91 | 28.91 ± 0.17 |
| | | 3 | 29.35 | | |
| | C. | 1 | 26.10 | | |
| 2 | Sa | 2 | 25.41 | 25.69 | 25.69 ± 0.18 |
| | | 3 | 25.56 | | |
| | | 1 | 25.92 | | |
| 3 | Sb | 2 | 26.86 | 24.93 | 24.93 ± 0.16 |
| | | 3 | 22.03 | | |
| | | 1 | 27.66 | | |
| 4 | Sc | 2 | 27.01 | 27.02 | 27.02 ± 0.18 |
| | | 3 | 26.40 | | |
| | | | | | |

| S | = | soil; | Sa | = | soil + atrazine; |
|----|---|------------------|----|---|-------------------|
| Sb | = | soil + paraquat; | Sc | = | soil + floridone. |



Figure 6 : Amylase Activity of Kannauj soil in rainy season (µg starch g⁻¹ hr⁻¹)

CONCLUSION

From the present study, It was concluded that the effects of pesticides (Atrazine, Paraquate and Floridone) on enzymatic activity of amylase and phosphatase slightly decreases as compared to control soil in selected region of Kannauj (Tirwa, Chhibramau and Kannauj) U.P. India.

REFERENCES

1. Sinsabaugh R.L., Antibus R.K., Linkins A.E. (1991). *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, **34** : 43 – 54.

2. **Fitzgerald J.W., Stickland T.C.** (1987). *Soil. Biol. Biochem.*, 19 : 779 – 781.

3. **Ross D.J.** (1976). Soil Biol. Biochem., 8: 351 – 356.

4. **King N.J. (1967).** *Biochem. J.*, **105** : 577 – 583.

5. **Thoma J.A., Spradlin J.E., Dygert S (1971).** *In* : *The Enzymes (Boyer PD Ed.)* **5** : 115 – 189. 6. **Pancholy S.K., Rice E.L. (1973).** *SSSAJ*, **37** : 47 – 50.

7. **Ross D.J., Roberts H.S. (1970).** *J. Soil. Sci.*, **21** : 368 – 381.

8. **Speir T.W., Ross D.J. (1978).** Soil Enzymes, 197 – 250, Academic Press, London, UK, 380.

9. Dick W.A., Tabatabai M.A. (1984). Soil Sci., 137 : 7 − 15.

10. Takashikunito, Takashi S., Hitoshimoro and Hirotaka S. (2018). Applied and environmental soil science, 7: 1155-1173.

11. Jyoti K., Seema M., Singh G., Arun R., Arya S.K. (2018). *Biocatalysis* and Agricultural Biotechnology, 16: 192-199.

12. **Rozylo K. and Bohacz J. (2019).** *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, **17:** 1051-1062. 13. Jiangqi W.U., Wang H. and GuorongXu (2020). Article number 21271.

15. Eivazi F. and Tabatabi M.A.
(1977). Soil Biol. and Biochem., 9 : 167-172.

16.

14. Tabatabi M.A., Bremner J.M.
(1969). Soil Biol. and Biochem., 1 : 301-307.